

1 **Characterizing the source of the Eastern Galicia Magnetic Anomaly (NW**
2 **Spain): the role of extension in the origin of magnetization at the Central**
3 **Iberian Arc.**

4 Ayarza, P.¹, Villalaín, J.J.², Martínez-Catalán, J.R.¹, Alvarez Lobato, F.¹, Durán Oreja, M.¹,
5 Calvin, P.², Recio, C.¹, Suárez Barrios, M.¹ Gómez Martín, E.¹

6 1. Departamento de Geología, Universidad de Salamanca

7 2. Departamento de Física, Universidad de Burgos

8
9 **Abstract**

10 The Eastern Galicia Magnetic Anomaly is the most conspicuous and the best studied
11 magnetic anomaly of the Central Iberian Arc. This is due to its location, on the Lugo-
12 Sanabria gneiss dome and to the fact that its source rocks crop out in the Xistral Tectonic
13 Window. Multiple studies of this anomaly have been carried out in the last 25 years but
14 still, new results keep on shedding light on its understanding. This paper presents the first
15 results on rock magnetic analyses, natural remanent magnetization, anisotropy of the
16 magnetic susceptibility and stable isotopes geochemistry carried out on the magnetic
17 rocks that produce this anomaly. Also, new insights on the characteristics of magnetic
18 minerals are provided by X-Ray diffraction and microprobe studies. Results suggest that
19 magnetization results from the increase in oxygen fugacity underwent by metamorphic
20 and magmatic rocks affected by late-Variscan extensional tectonics. Extensional
21 detachments were the pathways that allowed the entrance of fluids that led to syn-
22 tectonic crystallization of magnetite and hematite in S-Type granites. Accordingly,
23 magnetization is mostly linked to extensional structures. This process took place in the
24 late Carboniferous/earliest Permian, during the Kiaman reverse superchron. Magnetic
25 remanence exhibited by hematite-bearing samples confirms the age of the magnetization
26 and adds complexity to the interpretation of the anomaly, where remanence has been
27 often largely overlooked. Understanding the EGMA contributes to the interpretation of
28 other anomalies existing in the CIA, also located on thermal domes. Furthermore, it
29 provides new hints to interpret magnetic anomalies located in extensional tectonic
30 contexts worldwide.

31
32 **Keywords:**

33 Iberian Massif, Central Iberian Arc, Aeromagnetic anomaly, magnetic S-Type granites,
34 Kiaman superchron, extensional tectonics

35

36 **Main points:**

- 37 1) NRM of the EGMA exhibits an inverse polarity coherent with the characteristics of
38 the Kiaman superchron
39 2) S-Type granites of the Lugo-Sanabria dome are heterogeneously but highly
40 magnetic
41 3) Late Variscan extensional tectonics in the Iberian Massif is responsible of the
42 magnetization of the EGMA and the Central Iberian Arc

43

44 **Plain language summary:**

45 This paper presents a further step in the understanding of the Eastern Galicia Magnetic
46 Anomaly (Iberian Massif), the biggest and most studied magnetic anomaly in Spain.
47 Understanding the source and age of magnetization of this anomaly is key to understand
48 the age of the Central Iberian Arc as it allows 1) to infer the origin of the rest of the
49 anomalies of the Central Iberian Arc and 2) shed more light on the age of the arc itself.
50 Previous papers on this matter are: *Ayarza et al. (2007)* and *Martínez Catalán et al.*
51 *(2018)*. Both represent the evolution on the investigations carried out in this area after the
52 papers by *Aller et al. (1994)* and that of *de Poulpique (2012)*. The MS submitted now
53 includes new AMS, rockmag, NRM, field work and geochemistry data of the area and
54 proves the role that late Variscan orogenic extension has played in magnetization.

55

56 **1. Introduction**

57 Aeromagnetic data are a valuable source of geological information. Regional datasets
58 have been commonly used to establish the depth to the Curie Point and hence the
59 thermal structure of large areas (Andres et al., 2018; Lawal and Nwankwo, 2017; Salem et
60 al., 2014); to unravel the basement structure and depth (Ali et al., 2017; Baptiste et al.,
61 2016; Bascou et al., 2013); to map mineral deposits (Dufréchou et al., 2015) and fractures
62 (Grauch et al., 2001); to establish relationships with certain types of mineralizations
63 (Sant’Ovaia et al., 2013); to contribute to the knowledge of crustal structure and evolution
64 (Calvín et al., 2014; Pedreira et al., 2007), etc. However, as a rule of thumb, the minimum
65 wavelength of aeromagnetic anomalies coincides with the altitude of acquisition. Thus,
66 large regional-scale maps usually lack the resolution to carry out detailed interpretations
67 of the source of the anomaly. Furthermore, the diagnostic potential of these datasets
68 decreases if the source of the magnetic anomaly is not identified. In these cases, resulting
69 models are a trade-off between the unknown magnetic susceptibility and magnetic

70 remanence and the size and depth of magnetic bodies, leading to multiple and poorly
71 constrained solutions.

72 The aeromagnetic map of the Iberian Peninsula (Fig. 1) is based on datasets acquired in
73 1986-1987 in Spain (Ardizzone et al., 1989) and between 1979 and 1981 in Portugal
74 (Miranda et al., 1989). It features a number of high amplitude anomalies that, in the
75 western part of Iberia, present also long wavelengths partly resulting from the altitude of
76 acquisition (3000 m), but also indicative of the presence of deep sources. These anomalies
77 often coincide with Variscan thermal domes, i.e., prominent structures where high-grade
78 metamorphic rocks and granitoids occur at relatively high crustal levels. Thus, the latter
79 may be key features in the interpretation of the anomalies and in understanding the
80 tectonic evolution of the Iberian Massif.

81 One of such features is the Eastern Galicia Magnetic Anomaly (EGMA; Aller et al., 1994).
82 This anomaly coincides with the Lugo-Sanabria Dome, a late Variscan extensional
83 structure that straddles the boundary between the West Asturian-Leonese Zone and
84 Central Iberian Zone (Fig. 1). In the N, both zones are separated by an important
85 extensional feature, the W dipping, normal Viveiro Fault, which shows high offsets that
86 put into contact Precambrian rocks of the Vilalba Series to the E with Silurian and
87 Ordovician metasediments and metavolcanics of the Ollo de Sapo Domain to the W. The
88 EGMA is also one of the most conspicuous anomalies of the Central Iberian Arc (CIA), an
89 orocline that has been partly defined by the curvature of the magnetic anomalies in its
90 external part (Aerden, 2004; Martínez Catalán, 2011, 2012). However, the most important
91 anomalies occur at the core of the arc (Fig. 1b): the EGMA, the Tormes Dome Magnetic
92 Anomaly (TDMA), the Central System Magnetic Anomaly (CSMA) and its prolongation to
93 Porto in Portugal along the Porto-Viseu-Guarda Magnetic Anomaly (PVGMA).

94 Interpretations of the EGMA have somehow followed the evolution of the understanding
95 of the Lugo-Sanabria Dome. Primarily this structure was viewed as an antiformal stack
96 resulting from the first stages of Variscan compression (Pérez-Estaún et al., 1991)
97 Accordingly, Aller et al. (1994) explained the EGMA as the result of the emplacement of
98 dense basic and ultrabasic rocks at high crustal levels due to Variscan thrusting. However,
99 this interpretation lacked the constraints provided by outcropping magnetic rocks, and
100 failed to explain the gravity signature of the area. Later on, the re-interpretation of the
101 Lugo-Sanabria Dome in the light of evidences of extensional tectonics (Martínez Catalán et
102 al., 2003; Arenas and Martínez Catalán, 2003) led to models where the EGMA resulted from
103 magnetite-rich migmatites and heterogeneous granites outcropping in the Xistral Tectonic
104 Window, at the core of the Lugo Dome, and formed during the late Variscan extensional
105 event (Ayarza & Martínez Catalán, 2007). These models were backed up by *ca.* 1000 in-
106 situ measurements of magnetic susceptibility of rocks and by its gravity response, which

fitted the observed Bouguer gravity anomaly. Later models (de Poulpiquet, 2012) considered the EGMA as a result of mixed sources, with a shallow contribution of granitic composition and a deeper mafic one that controlled its long wavelength. Lastly, high resolution magnetic mapping of the EGMA in its northern part, the Xistral Tectonic Window, has led to models where extensional detachments affecting metasediments, migmatites and inhomogeneous granites are the key to magnetization (Martínez Catalán et al., 2018).

Regardless of the number of interpretations, the EGMA and the rest of the magnetic anomalies defining the CIA are not fully understood. Despite being geographically related all with thermal domes, magnetic rocks have only been identified in the northern part of the EGMA, where the Xistral Tectonic Window allows access to deep parts of the crust, thus providing insights to the geometry and effects of extensional structures. But even in this case, magnetic remanence has been under-sampled as lithologies with high Königsberger ratios (Q_n) where initially ignored. In this area, Anisotropy of the Magnetic Susceptibility (AMS) studies have been only devoted to non-magnetic, syn- and late orogenic granites and have been mostly related to intrusion patterns (Aranguren et al., 1991, 2003; Aranguren & Tubia, 1994). Finally, no detailed rock-mag analyses exist for any of the CIA anomalies.

In this paper, we present a detailed analysis of the magnetic properties of the rocks that, according to Ayarza and Martínez Catalán (2007) and Martínez Catalán et al. (2018) are the source of the EGMA. When studied, all these rocks, characterized by the existence of two diachronous magnetic minerals, have shown to perform high magnetic susceptibilities and intense magnetic remanence, a very high AMS factor, and high δO^{18} ratios. These characteristics further help to understand the origin of the EGMA and set the basis for the next generation of models. Also, this paper briefly analyzes the rest of the anomalies of the CIA providing a hypothesis for the lack of magnetic outcrops and the mechanisms that may have led to magnetization. Finally, we give a warning on the complexity inherent to the interpretation of magnetic anomalies. Often, they are not thoroughly investigated, and studies that allowed to relate them with known outcropping lithologies and structures are missing.

2. Geological and geophysical setting

The Central Iberian Arc (CIA, Fig. 1) is an oroclinal structure located in the central part of Iberia. It was defined on the basis of the geometry of Variscan folds and also by that of its curved and conspicuous magnetic signature (Martínez Catalán, 2011, 2012; Martínez Catalán et al., 2015). It is contiguous to the Ibero-Armorican Arc and has an opposite

curvature. Both arcs are not coeval, and structural crosscutting relationships show that the Central Iberian Arc is older. The CIA is mainly developed in the Central Iberian Zone (CIZ), although its core is occupied by the Galicia-Trás-Os-Montes Zone (GTMZ) the most internal part of the Iberian Variscides. The latter is characterized by a stack of allochthonous terranes preserved as a klippen (Fig. 1a), and represent the suture of a Variscan (Devono-Carboniferous) collision. The existence of the CIA has been the key to understand the anomalous width of the CIZ and has opened the debate to new interpretations on the evolution of the European Variscides. For an historical review see Martínez Catalán et al. (2015).

The study area, the Lugo-Sanabria Dome, lies in the boundary between the West Asturian-Leonese Zone (WALZ) and the Central Iberian Zone (CIZ) of the Iberian Massif, which represents the largest outcrop of the Variscan basement in the Iberian Peninsula (Fig. 1a). The tectonic evolution of these two internal zones is characterized by three compressional phases (C1, C2 and C3) and two extensional stages (E1 and E2). Although these tectonic episodes partly overlap, they are diachronous and a deformation sequence can be established (Alcock et al., 2015; Dallmeyer et al., 1997; Martínez Catalán et al., 2014): C1 (360-335 Ma) is the response to the initial plate convergence and is characterized by E-vergent recumbent folds and S1 schistosity. The progression of the collision developed a second compressional phase, C2 (345-320 Ma), characterized by reverse ductile shear zones and thrust faults that cut and displace the C1 folds. A second mylonitic schistosity, S2, accompanies this deformation. The main structure of this phase is the Mondoñedo Nappe basal thrust (Fig. 2c). Crustal thickening due to shortening triggered heating and after several million years of thermal relaxation, gravitational collapse produced the first extensional episode, E1 (330-315 Ma). Gneiss domes started to form together with normal faults, conjugated E- and W-dipping extensional detachments and SE1 schistosity. Then, oblique plate convergence occurred with a strong component of dextral transcurrance, resulting in a C3 deformation phase (315-305 Ma) of strike-slip ductile shear zones and associated upright folds with an axial planar S3 crenulation or slate cleavage. Crustal thickening continued in the external Cantabrian Zone (CZ) between 325-300 Ma while the internal zones had not been fully re-equilibrated. This resulted in a second extensional event, E2 (310-295 Ma), which locally developed a SE2 foliation. New extensional detachments and gneiss domes formed. Among them, the Viveiro normal extensional detachment and fault and the Lugo-Sanabria Dome are some of the most important features (Fig. 2). The extensional tectonics episodes also triggered the formation and emplacement of a wide range of granites in other areas of the CIZ like northern Portugal, the Tormes Dome and the Central System. Finally, late Variscan NW-SE and NE-SW faulting puts an end to the Variscan deformation in the internal Iberian Massif. Alpine

180 tectonics played an important role in reactivating Varisan Faults in the Central System (de
181 Vicente et al., 1996; Vegas et al., 1990), but its effect was limited in the rest of the CIZ.

182 Accompanying these deformation phases, three metamorphic events are identified
183 (Arenas & Martínez Catalán, 2003): M1 is a regional Barrovian event characterized by the
184 development of chlorite, biotite, garnet, staurolite and kyanite during C1 and C2. M2 is a
185 high temperature/low pressure (HT/LP) event that represents the thermal peak reached
186 after C2 and heralding E1 and later extension. During M2, sillimanite-orthoclase conditions
187 were met, resulting in widespread migmatization and crustal melting. This metamorphism
188 was contemporaneous with E1, C3 and E2. M3 represents a retrograde metamorphism
189 associated with late stages of C2, E1 and E2 structures as well as with C3 ductile shearing.

190 The stratigraphic sequence of the WALZ spans from the Neoproterozoic terrigenous
191 Vilalba Series to Lower Devonian metasediments. The Vilalba series is composed of more
192 than 3000 m of slates and metagreywackes which evolved to paragneisses, migmatites
193 and inhomogeneous granites in the autochthon of the Mondoñedo Nappe cropping out in
194 the Xistral Tectonic Window (Fig. 2a). Unconformably above them, the Paleozoic features
195 up to 8000 m of platform facies slates, sandstones and carbonates ranging from the early
196 Cambrian to the Lower Devonian without significant discontinuities. In the adjacent CIZ to
197 the W, the sequence is similar but includes an important event of Lower Ordovician felsic
198 volcanism, the Ollo de Sapo Fm. (Díez Montes et al., 2010; Parga Pondal et al., 1964).

199 The Lugo-Sanabria Dome is a N-S antiformal structure, slightly concave to the E,
200 developed essentially during the E2 event. Previously, the basal thrust of the Mondoñedo
201 Nappe was reactivated as, or cut across by an extensional structure, and at least an
202 important extensional detachment developed in the relative autochthon: the Lower
203 Extensional Detachment (Fig. 2c). These structures can be studied in the Xistral Tectonic
204 Window. They were formed prior to doming and might be attributed to E1, while the
205 Viveiro Fault and associated 2-3 km wide shear zone are coeval with, and contributed to
206 the dome development during E2. The detachments eased the intrusion of partial melts
207 and probably the circulation of various fluids. The Viveiro Fault continues another 90 km
208 to the S of the Xistral Tectonic Window bounding the western limb of the Lugo Dome.
209 Then it is replaced by the Chandoiro Fault, which features an equivalent structural
210 relationship with the Sanabria Dome (Díez Montes et al., 2010). No magnetic rocks have
211 been found to the S of the Xistral Tectonic Window, but the continuation of the EGMA
212 there suggests that the early detachments and magnetic rocks continue at depth to the S
213 (Martínez Catalán et al., 2018).

214 The EGMA overlaps the Lugo-Sanabria Dome (Figure 2b). This anomaly is the largest in size
215 and the second in amplitude in Spain. It reaches maxima of 190 nT in the aeromagnetic
216 dataset (Ardizzone et al., 1989) and a total amplitude of ~1100 nT in the ground-based

dataset (Martínez Catalán et al., 2018). This anomaly was first described and studied by Aller et al., (1994). These authors interpreted it as the result of a middle-lower crustal body of highly magnetic mafic or ultramafic rocks emplaced by the so-called eastern Galicia thrust at upper crustal levels. In addition, the northernmost part of the anomaly was attributed to ultramafic intrusives of Variscan age cropping out near the Viveiro Fault. This model was supported by the existence of high velocity rocks modelled from wide angle seismic reflection and refraction data (Córdoba et al., 1987) in the Lugo Dome. However, it failed to explain the gravity signature in the area, which is characterized by lower values in the Lugo Dome and higher values to the W of the Viveiro Fault (Ayarza and Martínez Catalán, 2007).

This model was later revisited considering the interpretation of the Lugo Dome as an extensional dome instead of an antiformal stack (Martínez Catalán et al., 2003). The identification of highly magnetic migmatites and inhomogeneous granites in the Xistral Tectonic Window (Ayarza and Martínez Catalán, 2007) opened the door to a new model where a lens-shaped body of felsic rocks was the source of the anomaly. Although this body cropped out in the above mentioned tectonic window, in the northern part of the Lugo Dome, it was interpreted to reach mid crustal depths. The magnetic susceptibility attributed to these rocks reached $\kappa=0.03$ (SI), and was in accordance with measured in-situ values. The main identified magnetic mineral was multi-domain (MD) magnetite (size up to 1 cm) but alterations of the latter to hematite were also reported. However, this model also failed to include the effect of possible magnetic remanence, did not provide an explanation for the origin of the observed heterogeneous magnetization and excluded anisotropy studies that could relate the anomaly with structures.

A further step to understand the EGMA (de Poulpique, 2012) suggested that the magnetic anomaly of the South Armorican continental shelf is the continuation of the EGMA, thus resulting in a 600 km long arcuate feature that is interpreted as the magnetic signature of the relics of a Cambro-Ordovician aborted rift. Again, the source of magnetism is primarily addressed to deep mafic rocks, although the anomaly needs the contribution of a shallower source that could correspond to the migmatites and inhomogeneous granites modeled by Ayarza and Martínez Catalán (2007). As in previous models, a detailed characterization of the source of the anomaly is missing and thus, the interpretation relies merely on the fit of the observed and modeled anomalies, without considerations about origin, age, and remanence of the magnetization.

Finally, the acquisition of detailed ground-based magnetic data has allowed to present the most detailed interpretation of the EGMA up to date (Martínez Catalán et al., 2018). These authors show that, when looked in detail, the EGMA magnetic maxima overlap extensional features, most importantly the Viveiro Fault extensional detachment. Their

resulting models include preliminary results of magnetic remanence and their final interpretation indicates that the magnetization is not linked to a particular lithology but to extensional tectonics features.

No regional magnetic studies have been presented as yet of the TDMA, CSMA and PVGMA. Although some paleomagnetic data exist (*e.g.* Palencia Ortas et al., 2006; Pastor-Galán et al., 2016) no inferences to the origin of the anomalies have been done. However, studies of the characteristics of the rocks that underlie them indicate that, for the CSMA and the PVGMA, they are mostly granites belonging to the I-Type, although there are also S-Type granites (*e.g.* Sant'Ovaia et al., 2013; Villaseca et al., 2017). But most importantly, these studies indicate that these granites are, very seldom, magnetic. Only the Lavadores granite to the S of Porto and La Pedriza Batholith in the Central System show moderate to high magnetic susceptibilities ($\kappa=0.001-0.04$ (SI)) that, even though may result in local magnetic anomalies, cannot explain the maxima observed in the PVGMA and the CSMA respectively.

3. Characterization of the EGMA

During the last decade, several studies have been carried out at the Xistral Tectonic Window, where outcropping magnetic lithologies have been found and are thought to be the source of the EGMA. Detailed surveying has included the acquisition of ground-based magnetic data and collection of samples for characterization studies. The map resulting from land acquisition (Martínez Catalán et al., 2018) is shown in figure 3, with the location and number of paleomagnetic sites. Thorough tracking of the anomaly and later mapping showed that not only Late Carboniferous migmatites and inhomogeneous granites were highly magnetic (Ayarza and Martínez Catalán, 2007), but Neoproterozoic and early Cambrian metasediments (quartzites, schists and gneisses) were also found to be magnetic in some areas (Fig. 4c and d). In fact, the latter sometimes appeared as enclaves in late, non-magnetic granites (Fig. 4a and b), raising the question about the origin of the magnetization.

According to the above, samples from 39 locations were picked (Fig. 3). These include metasediments, inhomogeneous syn-tectonic granites, migmatites and late undeformed granites. A variable number of cores (between 4 and 10 depending on the degree of alteration and size of the outcrop) were taken at each site using a portable gas drill. Multiple studies were carried out in these samples in order to characterize their magnetic properties, including the natural remanent magnetization (NRM). The next sections include a description of the methods applied and their results.

3.1. Optical and microprobe (MP) identification of minerals

The magnetic behavior of a rock is strongly controlled by its mineral content. The existence of minerals belonging to the titano-magnetite and titano-hematite series is most commonly responsible of rock's magnetic properties. Magnetic samples of the Xistral Tectonic Window have been studied by optical microscopy. Conflicting identifications were aided by additional studies undertaken by a JEOL-Superprobe JXA-8900M microprobe with five spectrometers from the ICTS-National Electronic Microscope Centre (<https://www.cnme.es>) at Complutense University of Madrid (Spain).

In the studied samples, magnetite and hematite are the most common magnetic minerals. In granites and migmatites, magnetite often presents exsolutions of ilmenite (Fig. 5a and c) and, in some cases it is also altered to hematite (Fig. 5b and c). Transformation of biotite to magnetite has also been observed (Fig. 5d). Finally, unaltered hematite (Fig. 5f), sometimes presenting exsolutions with rutile (Fig. 5e), is found in samples with low magnetic susceptibility. These crystals have no apparent relation with magnetite. Grain size goes from a couple of cm, clearly identifiable at outcrop scale (*e.g.* in DL8, Fig. 3), to μm . Grain shape varies from equigranular to elongated and subparallel to the stretching lineation.

3.2. Rock magnetic analyses

Different rock magnetism experiments have been carried out in the Paleomagnetic Laboratory of Universidad de Burgos in order to determinate the main ferromagnetic minerals. Isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) acquisition and backfield curves as well as induced magnetization thermomagnetic curves have been measured by means of a variable field translation balance (MMVFTB, Magnetic Measurements).

Two main groups of behaviours have been observed. A group of samples classified as Type B shows the contribution of only low coercivity minerals to the IRM, being saturated at fields lower than 300 mT (Fig. 6d, e). The thermomagnetic curves present almost reversible cycles showing very sharp decays at about 575°C characteristic of the Curie temperature of pure magnetite. In addition, in some cases, *e.g.* DL13-D, a smooth non reversible inflection can be detected at about 400 °C (Fig. 6e) probably generated by high Ti-content titanomagnetite. The type A group of samples presents a more complicated magnetic mineralogy. Its IRM acquisition pattern shows an inflexion at about 200 mT due to the saturation of a low coercivity phase (Fig. 6a,b,c). However, this phase coexist with others that are not saturated at the maximum applied field of 1 T. The induced magnetization thermomagnetic curves of this group show also sharp Curie points at temperatures typical of magnetite. However they also present falls at higher temperatures (above 600°C), probably caused by low Ti content titanohematite. In some cases a non-reversible low temperature phase is also present (Fig. 6a) as the one described in the Type B group.

Susceptibility thermomagnetic curves have also been obtained by means of a KLY4S Kappabridge (AGICO) susceptometer with a CSL cryostat ($-195^{\circ}\text{C} < T^a < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$) and a CS3 furnace ($710^{\circ}\text{C} > T^a > 25^{\circ}\text{C}$). Figure 7 shows two of these examples. The low temperature heating presents a clear Verwey transition at -160°C characteristic of pure magnetite (Verwey, 1939; Walz, 2002). The high temperature cycles are roughly reversible and define a pronounced decay of susceptibility around 580°C confirming the dominance of magnetite. Another smoothed step can be observed at around 620°C , that could be caused by low Ti-content titano-hematite, as it has been showed in the magnetization thermomagnetic experiments. This experiment also indicates that the susceptibility is dominated by the ferromagnetic fraction since the hyperbolic decrease typical of paramagnetic minerals cannot be observed.

Hysteresis loops have been drawn using the MMVFTB translation balance. Type B samples present a narrow loop with just ae magnetic phase and very low coercivity of less than 3 mT, characteristic of multidomain (MD) magnetite (Fig. 8b). Type A samples show wasp-waisted shape curves characteristic of rocks where different coercivity fractions coexist (Fig. 8a). Following the interpretation of the experiments above described, A-type samples may represent a mixture of magnetite with a small contribution of hematite. Type B samples do not show any contribution of paramagnetic minerals, but Type A samples do.

Figure 8c shows the Day plot (Day et al., 1977; Dunlop, 2002) for different samples displaying a distribution following the tendency from pseudo-single domain (PSD) to MD magnetite, being the last one the most typical domain state behaviour. The samples with highest content of hematite as DL14 show outsider's points in the diagram.

Some standard samples have been thermally demagnetized after applying a composite three axis IRM, orthogonally induced at 2, 0.4 and 0.12 T respectively (Lowrie, 1990). The IRM has been applied with a pulse magnetizer M2T-1 (Ferronato), the thermal demagnetization using a TD48-DC (ASC) thermal demagnetizer and the magnetization has been measured by means of a JR5 spinner magnetometer (AGICO). Results of this experiment are illustrated in figure 9. The low coercivity fraction (<120 mT) presents unblocking temperatures between 100°C and 300°C , and more moderately around and above 500°C , pointing to the existence of minerals of the titano-magnetite series. In addition there is a remarkable medium-high coercivity fraction with drops at 620°C and 670°C indicating an important contribution of two phases of hematite or low Ti-hematite.

According to the above data, the ferromagnetic minerals observed on the rock magnetism experiments are dominated by almost pure MD magnetite (Type B samples) although some samples feature lesser amounts of Ti-low hematite (Type A samples).

3.3. Natural Remanent Magnetization (NRM)

A paleomagnetic study has been carried out on 39 paleomagnetic sites (ca. 200 cores) from the studied area. The natural remanent magnetization (NRM) has been measured using a 2G-755 cryogenic magnetometer at the Laboratory of Paleomagnetism of the Universidad de Burgos (Spain). The values of NRM are comprised between $0,3 \times 10^{-3}$ A/m and 5 A/m. However, most of the studied sites (27) have a coherent and often strong NRM, showing an order of magnitude of 0,5 A/m. Table 1 shows the mean value of the NRM intensity for each paleomagnetic site, as well as the mean of susceptibility (κ). Parameter κ shows widespread values between 5×10^{-6} (SI units) and 0,035 (SI units) with a most common value ranging around 0.01 (SI units). These values give rise to Königsberger ratios (Q_n) that vary between 0.1-434. In table 1 the parameters of NRM, the susceptibility and Q_n are grouped by areas where NRM presents similar behavior. These groups are: Viveiró (in the center of the tectonic window, do not mistake with Viveiro, which lies in the coast ca. 20 km to the N), South Fault, East Orol, Viveiro Fault, Rúa, North Fault and Xove.

The NRM of all samples has been systematically demagnetized either thermally using a TD48-SC thermal demagnetizer or by alternating fields (AF) with a 2G magnetometer AF demagnetization unit. Figure 10 shows orthogonal and intensity decay plots of representative samples. In each example both thermal and AF demagnetizations of twin specimens are shown for comparison. The behaviour of the NRM demagnetization can be grouped in 3 types.

Most samples can be assign to Type 1 (Fig. 10a,b) in which the NRM is composed of a single component with a high unblocking temperature spectrum between 580°C and 680°C. This component has high coercivity since it cannot be demagnetized applying AF with a peak field of 100 mT. This directional component has been considered in this work as the characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) and is unequivocally carried by hematite, also in agreement with the results of the rock-mag experiments. The diagrams of the decay of NRM during thermal demagnetization allow us to observe two inflections at 620°C and 680°C suggesting that two populations of hematite grains are responsible for the ChRM, with different grain size or different degree of Ti substitutions.

In a second group of samples (Type 2) two components can be distinguished (Fig. 10c,d). First a high unblocking temperature component with the same magnetic properties as the ChRM observed in the Type 1, *e.g.* unblocking temperatures >580°C and high coercivity. In addition, a low coercivity component is also observed with variable maximum unblocking temperatures (350°C in fig. 10c or 580°C in fig. 10d). Based on the paleomagnetic direction, this low temperature component can be clearly identified with the low coercivity fraction that can be isolated by the AF cleaning (Fig 10c,d). This component is probably due to titanomagnetite, probably with different Ti content.

Finally, in some samples the high coercivity ChRM component is absent (Type 3). The complete NRM can be demagnetized by applying an AF with a peak field of 100 mT. Accordingly, a unique low coercivity component exists and presents, as in Type 2, variable unblocking temperatures ranging between 350°C-580°C (Fig. 10e, f).

In summary, the NRM of most samples (Types 1 and 2) present a very stable paleomagnetic component with consistent directions carried by two populations of hematite and which has been considered the ChRM in the subsequent interpretation. The site mean directions of this component have been plotted in figure 11 and listed in table 1. The ChRM component presents systematically reversed polarity (Fig. 11a) and consistent directions in each area (Fig. 11b). The mean declinations (D) vary from 132° to 202°, most of them around 160°. Inclinations (I) are usually low, generally from -18° to -41.5°, except to the N where they increase to about -60°. In figure 11b, the most stable NRM directions (Table 1) are plotted in stereographic projection by groups and located in the magnetic map.

The low coercivity and low unblocking temperature components observed in groups type 2 and 3 present directions with broadly normal polarity. However they show widespread directions that do not allow a solid interpretation. As it will be discussed later, these scattered low coercivity components are probably viscous magnetizations carried by MD magnetite which has been observed in the rock magnetic experiments.

3.4. X ray diffraction analysis and results

Mineralogical characterization of some samples (DL2-D, DL7-A, DL7-C, DL8-D, DL11-A, DL11-D, DL12-C, DL12-D, and DL13-E) was carried out by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a SIEMENS D-500 diffractometer with Cu K α and a graphite monochromator, at a scanning speed of 0.05°2 θ /1s. The XRD studies were carried out in powdered samples (scanned from 2° to 65°2 θ). In addition, a powdered concentrate of magnetic minerals extracted from DL8-D has also been studied. This sample has been labeled as DL8. In this case, the scanning speed was 0.05°2 θ /3s to be able to obtain enough intensity of the peaks in a sample that is enriched in very radiation-absorbent minerals.

The mineralogical compositions of the raw samples are very similar. The main minerals are quartz and feldspars, and a minor proportion of micas can also be identified. There are small differences regarding feldspar content: Ca-plagioclase is the only feldspar in sample DL2-D. In the other samples, Ca-plagioclase appears together with K-feldspar but the plagioclase is more abundant in DL12-C, DL12-D, and DL13-E samples, while K-feldspar is more abundant in samples DL8-D and DL11-D. In the other three samples (DL7-A, DL7-C, and DL11-A) the proportion of Ca-plagioclase and K-feldspar is similar. As magnetic

minerals are in low proportion and they are very absorbent of X-radiation, they cannot be identified in the raw powdered samples. However, after concentration of these minerals in DL8 sample different Fe-rich components can be identified. The XRD pattern of DL8 sample (Fig. 12) shows mainly magnetite, in addition to hematite and ilmenite. A small proportion of remaining quartz, mica, and K-feldspar are also identified.

3.5. Anisotropy of the Magnetic Susceptibility (AMS)

In areas with heterogeneous deformation, the study of AMS helps to understand tectonics and, sometimes, the age and origin of magnetization. All 39 sites (about 200 samples) collected in the Xistral Tectonic Window have been analyzed using this technique. Samples include metasediments, syn-tectonic granites with varying degrees of deformation, post-tectonic granites and migmatites. Among samples, analyses have been made on leucosomes, melanosomes and fine and coarse-grained specimens. Results are presented in figures 13 and 14.

None of the late Variscan granites (2 sampled sites) provide coherent AMS results so they are excluded from the discussion. The rest of sites show ellipsoid orientations that are grouped by areas of coherent and similar anisotropy. To the W of the study area, samples are divided in 4 groups: VF1, VF2, VF3 and VF4. These groups include rocks that are in the western part of the Lugo Dome, where foliation is generally west-dipping, and were under the influence of the Viveiro shear zone,. There, AMS results show a ~N-S planar magnetic foliation dipping to the W around 20-45°. These values are coincident with those of the structures associated to the Viveiro Fault, i.e., the SE2 schistosity and previous fabrics reoriented in its shear zone. To the SE of the study area, AMS results show a planar foliation striking ~N40°E and dipping to the SE, in accordance with schistosity SE1 values found in the Viveiró area (group VO). Finally, in the NE part of the study area, an ill-defined AMS depicting a subhorizontal planar anisotropy reflects SE1 values in the hinge zone of the dome (groups NE1, and NE2).

In general, bulk susceptibility is very high, and is in agreement with that observed in the field, reaching $\kappa=0.054$ (SI). Also, the anisotropy degree is anomalously high, getting to $P=2.405$ in migmatite samples close to the Viveiro Fault (DL101, Fig. 14). Relationships between bulk anisotropy (P) and shape of the ellipsoid (T) and between P and mean magnetic susceptibility (κ_m) for different groups of samples are shown in figure 14. The anisotropy ellipsoid is dominantly oblate in most samples, mainly in those near the Viveiro Fault (VF1, VF2 and VF3) with T usually positive and reaching 0.96. Also, a direct relationship has been observed between κ_m and P, pointing to the existence of magnetite as source of the anisotropy.

3.6. Geochemical characterization

Stable isotopes may be used to constrain the source material of granites and thus, to discern its character (*e.g.* Recio et al., 1992 and references therein). This is particularly interesting in the EGMA, where synkinematic igneous rocks appear to be heterogeneously magnetic whereas younger undeformed granites are not.

Oxygen and hydrogen isotope data have been determined for specific samples at the Servicio General de Análisis de Isótopos Estables (University of Salamanca, Spain). Oxygen isotope ratios were determined by laser fluorination (Clayton & Mayeda, 1963), employing a Synrad 25W CO₂ laser (Sharp, 1990) and ClF₃ as reagent (*e.g.*, Borthwick and Harmon, 1982). Isotope ratios were measured on a VG-Isotech SIRA-II dual-inlet mass spectrometer. D/H ratios were determined on a second SIRA-II mass spectrometer, on H₂ gas obtained by reduction over hot depleted-U of the water released by induction heating of samples. To this end, a vacuum line (Bigeleisen et al., 1952) was used, following the procedures described by Godfrey (1962), with modifications (Jenkin et al., 1992). Samples were loaded into degassed platinum crucibles that were placed into quartz reaction tubes and heated under vacuum to 125°C overnight to remove any adsorbed H₂O. The yield of evolved gas was measured manometrically, and the value was used to determine the amount of structural water contained in the rock or mineral.

Internal and international reference standards (NBS-28, NBS-30) were run to check accuracy and precision. Results are reported in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD notation relative to the V-SMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water) standard, using a $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of 9.6‰ for NBS-28 [quartz] and $\delta\text{D}=-66.7\text{‰}$ for NBS-30 [biotite] for the mass spectrometer calibration. Long-term reproducibility for repeated determination of reference samples was better than $\pm 0.2\text{‰}$ (1σ) for both $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD .

$^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratios have been measured in 22 samples from 10 cores, 2 of them being early Cambrian schists, one magnetic (MCPG108, schists in Figs. 2, 3 and 4c) and the other one not magnetic (MCPG85 in Figs. 2 and 3). The rest of the samples are inhomogeneous granites and migmatites identified as highly magnetic (*i.e.* magnetite carriers) except for a weakly magnetic sample where hematite has been optically identified (DL26). In all cases analyses have been done in whole rock (WR) but in a few samples individual minerals have been analyzed too. Results are presented in Table 2.

Values attained indicate that $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ is above 11.2‰ for inhomogeneous granites (WR), between 13.1‰ and 15‰ (WR) for migmatite samples, above 11.3‰ for non-magnetic schists (WR and Ms) and 8.5-11.1 ‰ for magnetic ones. Pure magnetite from samples MCPG108 and DL8-D has $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of 8.8‰ and 9.45‰ respectively. Hematite in DL26, the only sample where it could be isolated and measured, exhibits $\delta^{18}\text{O}=11.2\text{‰}$. Biotite in this sample has high values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ reaching 12.1-12.5‰ as does muscovite, with $\delta^{18}\text{O}=13.8\text{--}13.9\text{‰}$. Contrasting values are found for equivalent magnetic and non- magnetic schists.

The data suggest that the magnetization process undergone by these metasediments implies a marked decrease in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ that affects all minerals and the whole rock (Table 2).

$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values are very high in migmatites, indicating that they are derived from sediments. Inhomogeneous granites also feature high $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ relationships indicating that they are S-Type granites (Chappell and White, 1974; Taylor and Sheppard, 2018). However, S-Type granites should belong to the Ilmenite-series (Ishihara, 1977), *i.e.* should be non-magnetic. Contrarily, in the Xistral Tectonic Window these granites show, in places, high contents of magnetite, raising the question about its origin. Metasediments also show enrichment in the heavy oxygen isotope, as corresponds to rocks that have evolved in low temperature conditions.

4. Interpretation of the EGMA

4.1. Origin of the magnetization

Magnetic anomalies usually indicate the existence of rocks with high content of magnetite, the most magnetic mineral. However, NRM in igneous rocks is often triggered by hematite, which can have a single domain (SD) behavior up to greater grain size than magnetite, further performing stable and strong remanence when being intergrowth with rutile (Robinson et al., 2002).

Optical and microprobe identification of magnetic minerals of our samples shows the existence of three types of magnetite and two types of hematite. Magnetite appears as regular crystals with ilmenite exsolutions (Fig. 5a and c), altered to hematite (Fig. 5b and c) and related to biotite, as a byproduct of its alteration (Fig. 5d). Hematite appears as result of the alteration of magnetite (Fig. 5b and c) and as quite fresh crystals (Fig. 5f), sometimes with exsolutions of rutile (Fig. 5e).

Minerals presenting exsolutions seem to have an igneous origin, crystalizing slowly from a magmatic fluid. However, alteration of biotite to magnetite might be part of the metamorphic evolution of rocks prior to melting whereas that of magnetite to hematite could result from the interaction with an oxidizing agent. Further studies on the evolution of the magnetic minerals of these rocks are out of the scope of this paper. But the Fe-rich minerals relationships shown here seem to evidence a complex metamorphic evolution that led to a varied suite of magnetic minerals.

Rock magnetic studies have identified two groups of magnetic rocks (Fig. 6): A group (Type A) shows a high coercivity fraction in ferromagnetic minerals (larger amounts of hematite) and low coercivity fractions (lesser quantities of magnetite) while a second and bigger group shows the opposite, higher amounts of magnetite and little hematite (Type B). IRM

analyses show that samples identified as Type A need strong magnetic fields (> 300 mT) to reach saturation. These show Curie temperatures of $T_c=580-620^\circ\text{C}$. These values indicate the presence of Ti-hematite, although variable amounts of magnetite are also found (*e.g.*, DL9 in Fig. 6b and Fig. 7b). Contrarily, Type B samples need much weaker magnetic fields to reach complete saturation (<200 mT), and show $T_c=576-587^\circ\text{C}$, indicating that they are mostly magnetite bearing rocks.

Hysteresis loops (Fig. 8) also allow us to classify samples in Types A and B. Type A cores present wasp-waisted curves (*e.g.* DL14 in Fig. 8a) typical of mixtures of ferromagnetic grains with high and low coercivity compatible with the coexistence of magnetite and hematite as it has been observed in IRM studies. Type B includes the vast majority of samples, showing lower coercivity phases with characteristics compatible with magnetite. The scarce contribution of paramagnetic minerals (biotite) in these samples, in comparison to Type A cores, might be a manifestation of the metamorphic origin of magnetite after biotite, a process that might not affect hematite.

The M_{rs}/M_r and B_{cr}/B_c ratios as observed in a Day plot (Fig. 8c) demonstrate that most of the mentioned magnetite is in MD state, thus explaining the high values of κ in *in situ* and laboratory measurements. Thermomagnetic κT curves (Fig. 7) acquired at low and high temperatures also confirm that high magnetic susceptibilities in these rocks are mostly the result of the amount of magnetite, although again, a small contribution comes from low Ti-hematite. The identification of the Verwey transition, characteristic of pure magnetite further supports these results.

Last but not least, thermal demagnetization of a composite three axis IRM also shows the presence of low-Ti hematite in high and medium coercivity phases and magnetite and minerals of the titano-magnetite series in medium and low coercivity phases (Fig. 9). Similar results are found by XRD (Fig. 12) where magnetite and hematite appear to be the only magnetic minerals.

NRM studies show, apparently, contrasting results to those obtained by rockmag analyses, and allow us to divide samples in three groups (Fig. 10). Type 1 includes rocks where NRM responds solely to a unique directional component (ChRM) carried by hematite (unblocking $T^a > 610^\circ\text{C}$ and no demagnetization at fields of up to 100 mT). In this case, Type 1 is by far the largest group. Among these samples (Fig. 10a, b), some were classified as Type A in rockmag studies (*e.g.*, DL9 and DL14; Figs. 6a,b and 8a), *i.e.*, hematite-bearing rocks. However, others also showing only a hematite component in the NRM were classified as Type B or magnetite bearing rocks (*e.g.*, DL10, DL13 Fig. 6d, e and 8b). Also, NRM studies identify a second group of samples, namely Type 2, featuring the coexistence of the hematite ChRM component and other component with low coercivity and intermediate unblocking temperatures typical of magnetite (*e.g.* DL22 and DL29 in Fig.

10c,d). In a third group (Type 3) the NRM is dominated only by the mentioned low component carried by magnetite or titanomagnetite (e.g. DL10 and DL13 in Fig. 10e, f).

Both rockmag and NRM analyses show that magnetite and hematite are the main magnetic minerals in the rocks that are the source of the EGMA. However, results from IRM and NRM analyses apparently differ. Magnetite is the main mineral producing induced magnetization and the most outstanding in IRM analyses whereas hematite is the responsible of most of the NRM.

Nevertheless, the complete framework of paleomag and rockmag analyses developed in this work is consistent with the interpretation of the coexistence of both populations: 1) paleomagnetic stable hematite grains and 2) coarse-grained of magnetite in MD state. MD magnetite grains have a relatively low magnetic moment being less effective to contribute to the NRM. However, they have an extremely high induced magnetization enhancing the magnetic signal in susceptibility, hysteresis or thermomagnetic experiments. In addition, the high fields applied in the IRM experiments also enhance the contribution of MD magnetite grains, since the magnetic energy is enough to generate irreversible displacement of domain walls (Dunlop & Özdemir, 1997). All these effects can mask the signal of hematite which is undoubtedly present in most of these rocks as follows from the paleomagnetic results. Conversely, hematite, mostly when exsolved with rutile, is known to be an important contributor to remanence (Robinson et al., 2002). The wide variety of forms in which magnetite and hematite are found fosters the contrasting response of these magnetic rocks to different experiments. Finally, small differences in concentration of these two populations can explain the variability in rockmag experiments results (Type A and B) and in the NRM structure (Type 1, 2 and 3).

4.2. Characterization of natural remanent magnetization

Contrarily to what most authors have assumed in their magnetic models (e.g. Allier et al., 1994; Ayarza and Martínez Catalán, 2007; de Poulpique, 2012) the rocks that produce the EGMA feature a conspicuous remanence that has to be included in the models. Martínez Catalán et al. (2018) took into account preliminary results of the remanence studies presented here and included in the EGMA models an inverse polarity remanence of $J=0.267$ A/m, $D=156^\circ$ and $I=-28^\circ$. These data are an average of the measured values, although remanence is actually under-sampled and very heterogeneous.

In the EGMA, the strongest remanence is carried by hematite (Type 1 in NRM studies, up to 1.1 A/m in DL10, Fig. 10). Samples with very high Q_n ratios have been identified (DL16, DL26 and DL38 in Table 1) but unfortunately these type of rocks were not the goal of our surveying, as in occasions, they performed very low κ values ($\kappa=0.0046-0.0006$ (SI)). Accordingly, more detailed studies of remanence have to be carried out and probably

different values of remanence need to be included in the models depending on the modelled sections.

Figure 3 shows that the high resolution magnetic anomaly of the Xistral Tectonic Window systematically has minima to the SE of the maxima thus implying the existence of a strong remanence of inverse polarity. Figure 11 shows the equal area projection of mean site directions of the ChRM. D and I values are shown in Table 1 by groups and Table 3 presents the average for each group. Results are coherent for most samples. Values of D indicating inverse polarity support a Kiaman reverse superchron remanent magnetization (Langereis & Krijgsman, 2008) when I is low. However, to the N, the Rúa and the Xove groups show a remanence with similar D, but a considerably higher I, up to -62.5° (Table 3), somehow hindering this interpretation.

The Kiaman superchron embraces from the Pennsylvanian to late Permian, more particularly between 318-312 and 262-251 Ma (Gradstein et al., 2004; Cottrell et al., 2008). The northern parts of the WALZ and the CIZ underwent compression from 360 to 305 Ma. Simultaneously, extension took place along two phases, E1 and E2, that covered a time span from 330 Ma to less than 300 Ma (Martínez Catalán et al., 2014). The Lugo-Sanabria Dome was going through a second stage of extension (E2), at 310-295 Ma, which implied generalized crustal melting and development of gneiss domes and extensional detachments at the beginning of the Kiaman superchron. Consistent D and I values observed in hematite-bearing samples carrying the ChRM in most of the Xistral Tectonic Window are coherent with a magnetization acquired during this reverse superchron. However, the mean inclination in most of the window, -28° (with southward declination), is high for a paleolatitude of *ca.* -6° S for this part of NW Iberia at 300 Ma (Stampfli & Kozur, 2006). A part of the inclination can be attributed to rotation during the opening of the Bay of Biscay during the Cretaceous. Higher inclinations close to the coast may result from block tilting of NW-SE faults in the northern part of the Lugo Dome, closer to the rift and where larger rotations can be expected.

Hematite is the mineral carrying most of the NRM. Figure 5 shows two types of hematite. The thermal demagnetization of NRM (Fig. 10,a, b, and c) and the IRM studies (Fig. 9) also allows to distinguish between both types, showing maximum unblocking temperatures of 620°C and 680°C . One of these two types of hematite comes from the alteration of magnetite. The second type, unaltered and featuring exsolutions with rutile, seems to have a different origin. We suggest that during the first stage of extension (E1), MD magnetite crystallized. Ongoing extension implied an increase in the oxygen fugacity, producing more MD magnetite but mostly an alteration of magnetite to hematite and also the crystallization of new hematite. This latter processes took place during E2, *i.e.*, during the Kiaman superchron.

4.3. Structural significance of the magnetization

Magnetic lithologies in the Xistral Tectonic Window vary from schists and quartzites to migmatites and inhomogeneous granites. The structural analysis of these high-grade rocks is complex as their evolution is multiphase. One or more tectonic foliations exist in metasediments and in some synkinematic granitoids, but in most of the latter, including migmatites and inhomogeneous granitoids, the rocks appear to be undeformed although locally, traces of old foliations can be preserved. These are commonly discontinuous and often irregularly folded, and contrasting characteristics are often found between the leucosome and melanosome in diatexites and anatexites. Thus, AMS studies can help to unravel the deformation history of these high-T magnetic rocks.

AMS results (Figs. 13 and 14) show that in the EGMA, AMS is very high. The direct relationship between AMS and κ (groups VF1-4, and NE1 in Fig. 14) and the thermomagnetic κT curves (Fig. 7a) show that magnetite is the main carrier not only of the magnetic susceptibility but also of the magnetic anisotropy. The T parameter, also known as the shape factor (Jelinek, 1981) shows that this anisotropy is mostly planar close to the Viveiro Fault, and variably linear to planar to the E.

Figure 13 shows the AMS results overlapped to the high resolution absolute magnetic anomaly of the Xistral Tectonics Window. The magnetic anisotropy mimics the foliation generated during the extensional stages, E1 and E2. It is particularly striking the high degree of anisotropy found to the W of the study area, which is in relation to the Viveiro Fault and its associated ductile extensional detachment, characterized by a strong SE2. Magnetic susceptibilities and the anomaly intensity are also higher in this area. Altogether, these data suggest that the Viveiro Fault, an E2 tectonic feature played a very important role in the development of the EGMA.

AMS results are less clear at the center and NE of the Xistral Tectonic Window but seem to be equally coherent with extensional deformation. In this area, two extensional detachments have been identified: the Lower Extensional Detachment and the Mondoñedo Nappe Basal Thrust, which was reactivated as an extensional detachment (Fig. 2c). Both display a horizontal to shallow E-dipping fabric in the central and NE parts of the study area, where the VO, NE1 and NE2 groups were sampled. Accordingly, extensional detachments belonging to phases E1 and E2 seem to play again a decisive role in the origin of the magnetic anomalies.

In this regard, Martínez Catalán et al. (2018) already suggested that the origin of the EGMA was related with the extensional tectonics characteristic of the late stages of Variscan evolution in the present N Iberian Massif. These authors used the high resolution map of the absolute magnetic anomaly of figure 3 to carry out 2-D models showing that

the anomaly could be mimicked by means of magnetic blocks parallel to extensional detachments, being the one associated to the Viveiro Fault the most important in terms of induced and remanent magnetization.

4.4. Geochemical constraints on the origin of magnetization

Magnetic inhomogeneous granites and migmatites from the Xistral Tectonic Window present WR values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}=11.5\text{‰}-15\text{‰}$ (Table 2). Enrichment in the heavier oxygen isotope shows that fractionation has occurred during low-temperature processes, such as subaerial weathering, and let us classify these granitoids as S-Type (Chappell and White, 1974; Taylor and Sheppard, 2018). According to Ishihara (1977), S-Type granitoids should belong to the ilmenite series and ought to be non-magnetic, as the high content in C- and S-rich minerals of the parent rock decreases oxygen fugacity thus leading to reduce conditions that prevent the formation of magnetite.

Classification of granites as Type-S and Type-I has been frequently challenged as some geochemical studies have shown than most granites, even those cited as typical examples of S-Type, show evidence for a mixture of mantle and upper crustal sources (Hopkinson et al., 2017 and references therein). However, it is clear that many of the granitoids of the Xistral Tectonic Window have a sedimentary/upper crustal source. Highly magnetic diatexites, where sedimentary layering can still be observed crop out parallel to the Viveiro Fault, and anatexites with abundant metasedimentary schlieren occur at the deep parts of the structure in the center of the window. Thus, it is striking to observe widespread development of magnetite in granitoids with such a clear sedimentary signature.

Several authors propose that occurrence of magnetite or ilmenite in granites is not only controlled by the oxygen fugacity of its source, but by magmatic differentiation and late magmatic processes (*e.g.*, Pichavant et al., 1996; Villaseca et al., 2017). In fact, changes in the redox state of magmas have been attributed to interaction with external sources, like meteoric waters or the proximity to any other oxygen rich atmosphere (Best, 2003). Magnetite bearing S-Type granites are scarce, but do exist. Some authors (*e.g.*, Guo and Wilson, 2012) have describe magnetic S-Type granites in a context where metasomatic fluids and decompression melting triggered by tectonics has played a key role in the change of the redox state of the magmas and the subsequent crystallization of magnetite.

Likewise, crustal melting in the NW of the Iberian Peninsula responds to the late stages of Variscan evolution when crustal thickening led to melting, gravitational collapse and extension. Fluid circulation was probably significant along the extensional detachments, and probably contributed to change the redox conditions derived from the parent rock composition, leading to an increase in oxygen fugacity that controlled the crystallization of

magnetite and hematite. This is supported by several facts observed in the study area: (i) magnetite occurrence in granitoids and metasediments is highly heterogeneous, ranging from non-magnetic far from detachments to highly magnetic in the proximity of these structures; (ii) AMS studies have demonstrated that magnetite developed a strong anisotropy parallel to the foliation in detachment zones; and (iii) the high resolution absolute magnetic anomaly of the Xistral Tectonic Window shows magnetic maxima along detachment zones, the most important of which occurs at the Viveiro Fault extensional shear zone.

Furthermore, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values measured in muscovite, biotite and magnetite (when present) for magnetic and not magnetic schists (MCPG108 and MCPG95; Table 2) have been plotted in an effort to see if they are in equilibrium and to constrain the temperature at which these phases crystallized. Constants needed for these calculations have been extracted from <http://www2.ggl.ulaval.ca/cgi-bin/isotope/generisotope.cgi> (Beaudoin & Therrien, 2009). Results, shown in figure 15, demonstrate that muscovite and biotite are in equilibrium, being the T^a at which they have equilibrated the cross point of their respective curves (~ 350 K). However, magnetite is not in equilibrium. As sample MCPG108 was collected in a detachment zone, probably the formation of magnetite was controlled by the entrance of an oxygen-rich source along this structure.

In order to constraint the characteristics of this O-rich source, values of δD have been measured for the same samples (Table 4) and WR values are plotted versus $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. Results are shown in figure 16. The isotopic evolution from non-magnetic to magnetic metasediments draws a straight line that points out towards the SMOW (Standard Mean Ocean Water) and/or low latitude meteoric waters, indicating that magnetization probably occurred as result of interaction with fluids of marine or meteoric affinity. These might have entered the system along detachment zones. The fact that magnetic schists became enriched in the light oxygen isotope thus decreasing its $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signature (see Table 2) implies that this process took place at relatively high temperatures (above 275°C). The spatial heterogeneity found in the magnetization indicates that the entrance of fluids was not pervasive, but channelized along pathways inside the detachments.

4.5. Implications for the origin of other magnetic anomalies in the Central Iberian Arc

The Central Iberian Arc (CIA) was defined partly on the basis of the curvature delineated by magnetic anomalies in its internal part (Martínez Catalán, 2011). Most of these anomalies overlap thermal domes and accordingly, they might have an origin similar to the EGMA. The source of the other three outstanding anomalies (PVGMA, CSMA and TDMA; Fig. 1b) has not been thoroughly studied as yet. However, magnetic granites and/or magnetic high-grade metamorphic rocks are really scarce in these three areas. The

PVGMA is the most extensive of the four anomalies here considered. However, only the Lavadores granite, in the W part of the anomaly is significantly magnetic (Sant'Ovaia et al., 2013), providing little contribution to the anomaly itself, whose maximum is in the central part of northern Portugal. The CSMA is smaller and has less intensity than the PVGMA. Still, it is a conspicuous feature in the aeromagnetic map of the Iberian Peninsula, as it overlaps the Central System (Fig. 1b), an area where Alpine tectonics has inverted Variscan faults (de Vicente et al., 2007; Vegas et al., 1990) exposing high-grade metasediments and orthogneisses, as well as late Variscan granitoids. However, the outcropping Central System lithologies are mostly non-magnetic, and only some facies in La Pedriza batholith present moderate magnetic susceptibilities (Villaseca et al., 2017) that are largely insufficient to explain the anomaly. Lower crustal xenoliths and gabbros show higher magnetic susceptibilities but these do not abound, and likewise, cannot explain the anomaly either. Finally, the TDMA has been studied from a paleomagnetic point of view (Pastor-Galán et al., 2016) but magnetic susceptibility data has not been made available. However, preliminary surveying has demonstrated that the granitoids outcropping in this dome are not magnetic.

Portuguese Variscan granites and those of the Central System have been widely studied from a geochemical point of view (Martins et al., 2009; Recio et al., 1992; Villaseca et al., 1998). Even considering that the subdivision between S and I-Type depends on the tectonic context in which granites are formed and should not be taken as an absolute classification (Villaseca et al., 2017), granites in these areas seem to belong to both groups. But contrarily to what is observed in the Lugo Dome, the few magnetic granites identified in these locations (Lavadores in Portugal and La Pedriza in the Central System) belong to the I-Type.

These data reveal that in the CIA, magnetization is not associated with a particular type of granite, as considered from a geochemical point of view. But still, anomalies overlap thermal domes. We conclude that, as in the EGMA, the DTMA, CSMA and PVGMA might be controlled by tectonics and not so much by lithologies. Extensional detachments probably exist in all these thermal domes, buried or hidden by the massive intrusion of late orogenic, undeformed granites. No tectonic window as that of Xistral exists or has been identified in the rest of the magnetic anomalies, thus hindering structural studies of deep rocks in those areas. One has to keep in mind that even though the source of the EGMA crops out in the Xistral Tectonic Window, the anomaly continues some 150 km to the S. Out of the tectonic window, no magnetic rocks have been found along the EGMA. Nevertheless, the fact that the anomaly continues and performs high amplitudes (Fig. 1b) indicates that detachments still exist and deepen to the S as does the dome. The radially averaged power spectrum calculated from the aeromagnetic signature of the whole

EGMA finds magnetic sources as deep as 12 km (Martínez Catalán et al., 2018), indicating that buried contributions to the anomaly do exist.

5. Conclusions

The Eastern Galicia Magnetic Anomaly (EGMA) is a natural laboratory to study the origin of aeromagnetic anomalies. The existence of the Xistral Tectonic Window, to the N of the Lugo-Sanabria Dome, onto which the EGMA overlaps, has allowed us to carry out detailed structural studies and a high resolution surveying of this anomaly, as it provides large outcrops of its magnetic source rocks. These studies conclude that the EGMA features an important induced magnetization but also a conspicuous magnetic remanence that had not been deduced from the aeromagnetic map but is clearly identified when high resolution ground magnetic data are obtained and source rocks are analyzed. Paleomagnetic analyses indicate that remanence, consistently of reverse polarity, was probably acquired during the Kiaman reverse superchron, simultaneously to late orogenic melting and extension affecting this area during the Variscan orogeny. High inclinations found to the N of the anomaly might be due to Mesozoic block tilting of late Variscan faults.

The source of the induced magnetization seems to be mostly multi-domain magnetite, which appears in three forms: in grains with exsolved ilmenite, in single crystals but altered to hematite and as result of alteration of biotite. The source of the remanence is mostly hematite, which appears in two contexts: as a byproduct of the alteration of magnetite and exolved with rutile.

Anisotropy of the Magnetic Susceptibility Studies, detailed ground magnetic mapping and geochemical results indicate that magnetization was produced in metasediments and inhomogeneous granites derived from them, and affected by extensional detachments. As magnetic inhomogeneous granites belong to the S-Type, *i.e.* supposedly the non-magnetic type, we suggest that the evolution of the magma in an extensional context modified the redox conditions of the melt, increasing the oxygen fugacity and allowing the crystallization of magnetite and even hematite. Stable isotope results indicate that fluids of meteoric or marine affinity might have entered the system along these detachment zones and fostered the magnetization of the rock.

A similar origin is proposed for the rest of the magnetic anomalies found in the internal part of the Central Iberian Arc. These also overlap thermal domes but contrarily to the EGMA, no outcropping magnetic rocks have been identified. We propose that detachments developed during extension and melting exist at depth, as they probably do at the EGMA along the southern part of the Lugo-Sanabria Dome, and these are the

source of the magnetic anomalies. This interpretation might also be valid for worldwide magnetic anomalies located in extensional tectonic contexts.

Our work implies a warning on the complexity inherent to the interpretation of aeromagnetic anomalies. Construction of reliable models needs to be aided by detailed ground surveying (magnetic and structural), paleomagnetic studies and petrological constraints. This paper represents an additional step on the knowledge of the EGMA and the other magnetic anomalies of the Central Iberian Arc.

Sites by groups	N	ChRM Declination (°)	ChRM Inclination (°)	k	α_{95} (°)	Intensity (A/mx10 ⁻³)	κ (Slx10 ⁻³)	Qn
VIVEIRÓ								
DL37	5	146	-41.5	196	6	300	35	0.2143
DL38	8	151	-23.8	18	14	1000	0.15	166.6667
SOUTH FAULT								
DL4	3	184	-19	167	10	560		
DL10	2	171	-20	-	-	1000	19	1.3158
DL101	5	171	-21	37	13	1500	10	3.75
DL29	6	152	-48	24	14	300	14	0.5357
DL10, DL101	7	167	-19	39	10			
EAST OUROL								
DL25	3	160	-29	69	15	600	6.5	2.3076
DL26	5	164	-22	51	11	5000	0.6	208.3333
VIVEIRO FAULT								
DL30	4	179	-70	94	9	200	0.005	1000
DL34	4	150	-32	6	40	100	7	0.3571
DL35	5	139	-26	60	10	1000	12	2.0833
DL33	4	145	-18	9	33	0.3	0.09	0.0833
RÚA								
DL22	3	152	-51	260	8	150	7	0.5357
DL13	4	187	-62	689	4	300	13	0.5769
DL19	5	143	-64	71	9	200	11	0.4545
DL7	7	144	-49	49	9	130	10	0.325
DL16	4	164	-61	330	5	800	0.046	434.7826
DL12	4	189	-48	47	14	100	4.6	0.5434
NORTH FAULT								
DL15	6	134	-32	345	4	100	16	0.1562
DL17	7	148	-26	49	9	70	5.8	0.3017

XOVE								
DL3	4	132	-61	17	23	700		
DL5	3	202	-76	16	32	80	4.8	0.4167
DL6	2	168	-52	26	24	250	7.6	0.8223
DL8	3	163	-59	47	18	200	13	0.3846
DL9	3	142	-55	43	19	700	6.9	2.5362
DL14	4	187	-70	74	11	400	9	1.1111

843 Table 1: Samples presenting strong NRM classified in geographical groups showing a
844 homogeneous behavior. Mean site directions of the ChRM: declination (D), inclination (I),
845 Fisher precision parameter (k) and confidence limit 95%. Site mean values of other
846 magnetic parameters: magnetic susceptibility (κ) and Königsberger ratio (Qn).

847

Sample	Phase	$\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{VSMOW}}$	Lithology
MCPG-108	Mag	8.8-9.1	Magnetic schist
MCPG-108	Bt	8.5-8.8	Magnetic schist
MCPG-108	Ms	11.1	Magnetic schist
MCPG-108	WR	10.5-11.1	Magnetic schist
DL26	Hem	11.2	Inhomogeneous granite
DL26	Bt	12.1-12.5	Inhomogeneous granite
DL26	Ms	13.8-13.9	Inhomogeneous granite
DL26	WR	13.6-13.7	Inhomogeneous granite
DL2-D	WR	11.6-11.7	Inhomogeneous granite
DL7-A	WR	12.5	Inhomogeneous granite
DL7-C5	WR	11.8-12.2	Inhomogeneous granite
DL8-D	Mag	9.3-9.4	Inhomogeneous granite
DL8-D	WR	11.8	Inhomogeneous granite
DL10	WR	13.1	Migmatite
DL11-A	WR	14.0	Migmatite
DL11-C	WR	15.0	Migmatite
DL11-D	WR	14.9	Migmatite
DL12	WR	11.7-12.1	Inhomogeneous granite
DL13	WR	11.5-11.8	Inhomogeneous granite
MCPG-85	Bt	11.3	Non magnetic schist
MCPG-85	Ms	13.7-13.9	Non magnetic schist
MCPG-85	WR	13.0-13.3	Non magnetic schist

Table 2: Isotopic oxygen ratio ($^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$) expressed as $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{SMOW}}$ in ‰. Mag: Magnetite; Hem: Hematite; Bt: Biotite; Ms: Moscovite; WR: Whole rock. The column to the right specifies the lithology.

Group	N	D (°)	I (°)	K	α_{95} (°)
VIVEIRÓ	3	148	-33	18	29
SOUTH FAULT	3	169	-25	15	33
EAST OUROL	2	162	-26	-	-
VIVEIRO FAULT	4	153	-37	10	30
RÚA	5	163	-56	51	11
NORTH FAULT	2	141	-29	-	-
XOVE G	6	166	-63	33	12

Table 3: Average of mean site ChRM directions calculated by groups (see Table 1) for the magnetic remanence measured in the studied samples of the Xistral Tectonic Window. Symbols as in table 1.

Sample	$\delta\text{D}(\text{SMOW})$	% H_2O
MCPG85	-80.1	2.3
MCPG108	-60.4 to -67.9	3.3

Table 4: $\delta\text{D}(\text{SMOW})$ and % H_2O content on non-magnetic (MCPG85) and stratigraphically equivalent (MCPG108) schists.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by project SA065P17, funded by the Regional Castilla-León Government, by Salamanca University through different lines of funding related to its support to research groups program and also by research projects CGL2016-78560-P and CGL2016-77560 of the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness, as part of the National Program of Promotion of Scientific and Technical Research of Excellence, in the frame of the National Plan of Scientific and Technical Research and Innovation 2013–2016. Ground-based magnetic data shown in this paper is available at Martínez Catalán et al. (2018)

References

- Aerden, D. G. A. M. (2004). Correlating deformation in Variscan NW-Iberia using porphyroblasts; implications for the Ibero-Armorican Arc. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 26(1), 177–196. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(03\)00070-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(03)00070-1)
- Alcock, J. E., Martínez Catalán, J. R., Rubio Pascual, F. J., Díez Montes, A., Díez Fernández, R., Gómez Barreiro, J., et al. (2015). 2-D thermal modeling of HT-LP metamorphism in NW and Central Iberia: Implications for Variscan magmatism, rheology of the lithosphere and orogenic evolution. *Tectonophysics*, 657, 21–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.05.022>
- Ali, M. Y., Fairhead, J. D., Green, C. M., & Noufal, A. (2017). Basement structure of the United Arab Emirates derived from an analysis of regional gravity and aeromagnetic database. *Tectonophysics*, 712–713, 503–522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.06.006>
- Aller, J., Zeyen, H. J., Pérez-Estaún, A., Pulgar, J. A., & Parés, J. M. (1994). A 2.5D interpretation of the eastern Galicia magnetic anomaly (northwestern Spain): geodynamical implications. *Tectonophysics*, 237(3–4), 201–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(94\)90255-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(94)90255-0)
- Andres, J., Alcalde, J., Ayarza, P., Saura, E., Marzán, I., Martí, D., et al. (2016). Basement structure of the Hontomín CO₂ storage site (Spain) determined by integration of microgravity and 3D seismic data. *Solid Earth Discussions*, 1–37. <https://doi.org/doi:10.5194/se-2016-13>
- Aranguren, A., & Tubia, J. M. (1994). Características estructurales y modelo de emplazamiento del plutón de Guitiriz (Galicia). *Revista de La Sociedad Geológica de España*. Retrieved from [http://www.sociedadgeologica.es/archivos/REV/7\(1-2\)/Art05.pdf](http://www.sociedadgeologica.es/archivos/REV/7(1-2)/Art05.pdf)
- Aranguren, A., Garcia, C., & Tubia, J. M. (1991). Estructura magnética de los macizos graníticos de Guitiriz y Hombreiro-Santa Eulalia de Pena (Lugo). *Geogaceta*.
- Aranguren, A., Cuevas, J., Tubía, J. M., Román-Berdiel, T., Casas-Sainz, A., & Casas-Ponsati, A. (2003). Granite laccolith emplacement in the Iberian arc: AMS and gravity study of the La Tojiza pluton (NW Spain). *Journal of the Geological Society*, 160(3), 435–445. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-764902-079>
- Ardizzone, J., Mezcuá, J. and Socías, I. (1989). *Mapa aeromagnético de España peninsular, escala 1:1000000*. Instituto Geográfico Nacional, Madrid.
- Arenas, R., & Martínez Catalán, J. R. (2003). Low-P metamorphism following a Barrovian-type evolution. Complex tectonic controls for a common transition, as deduced in the Mondoñedo thrust sheet (NW Iberian Massif). *Tectonophysics*, 365(1–4), 143–164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(03\)00020-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(03)00020-9)
- Ayarza, P., & Martínez Catalán, J. R. (2007). Potential field constraints on the deep

908 structure of the Lugo gneiss dome (NW Spain). *Tectonophysics*, 439(1–4), 67–87.

909 Baptiste, J., Martelet, G., Faure, M., Beccaletto, L., Reninger, P. A., Perrin, J., & Chen, Y.
 910 (2016). Mapping of a buried basement combining aeromagnetic, gravity and
 911 petrophysical data: The substratum of southwest Paris Basin, France. *Tectonophysics*,
 912 683, 333–348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.05.049>

913 Bascou, J. Ô., Henry, B., Ménot, R. P., Funaki, M., & Barruol, G. (2013). Contribution of
 914 AMS measurements in understanding the migmatitic terrains of Pointe Géologie,
 915 Terre Adélie (East-Antarctica). *Tectonophysics*, 603, 123–135.
 916 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.05.021>

917 Beaudoin, G., & Therrien, P. (2009). The Web Stable Isotope Fractionation Calculator. In P.
 918 A. De Groot (Ed.), *Handbook of Stable Isotope Analytical Techniques, Volume II*
 919 (Elsevier, pp. 1045–1047). <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-044451114-0/50051-x>

920 Best, M. G. (2003). *Igneous and Metamorphic Petrology*. Blackwell Publishing.

921 Bigeleisen, J., Perlman, M. L., & Prosser, H. C. (1952). Conversion of Hydrogenic Materials
 922 to Hydrogen for Isotopic Analysis. *Analytical Chemistry*, 24(8), 1356–1357.
 923 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60068a025>

924 Borthwick, J., & Harmon, R. S. (1982). A note regarding CIF3 as an alternative to BrF5 for
 925 oxygen isotope analysis. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 46(9), 1665–1668.
 926 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(82\)90321-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(82)90321-0)

927 Calvín, P., Casas, A. M., Villalaín, J. J., & Tierz, P. (2014). Reverse magnetic anomaly
 928 controlled by Permian igneous rocks in the Iberian Chain (N Spain). *Geologica Acta*,
 929 12(3), 193–207. <https://doi.org/10.1344/GeologicaActa2014.12.3.2>

930 Chappell, B. W., & White, A. J. R. (1974). Two contrasting granite types. *Pacific Geology*, 8,
 931 173–174.

932 Clayton, R. N., & Mayeda, T. K. (1963). The use of bromine pentafluoride in the extraction
 933 of oxygen from oxides and silicates for isotopic analysis. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica*
 934 *Acta*, 27(1), 43–52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(63\)90071-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(63)90071-1)

935 Córdoba, D., Banda, E., & Ansorge, J. (1987). The Hercynian crust in northwestern Spain: a
 936 seismic survey. *Tectonophysics*, 132(4), 321–333. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90351-9)
 937 [1951\(87\)90351-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90351-9)

938 Cottrell, R. D., Tarduno, J. A., & Roberts, J. (2008). The Kiaman Reversed Polarity
 939 Superchron at Kiama: Toward a field strength estimate based on single silicate
 940 crystals. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 169(1–4), 49–58.
 941 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2008.07.041>

942 Dallmeyer, R. D., Martínez Catalán, J. R., Arenas, R., Gil Ibarguchi, J. I., Gutiérrez Alonso, G.,
 943 Farias, P., et al. (1997). Diachronous Variscan tectonothermal activity in the NW
 944 Iberian Massif: Evidence from ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating of regional fabrics. *Tectonophysics*,
 945 277(4), 307–337. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(97\)00035-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(97)00035-8)

946 Díez Montes, A., Martínez Catalán, J. R., & Bellido Mulas, F. (2010). Role of the Ollo de

947 Sapo massive felsic volcanism of NW Iberia in the Early Ordovician dynamics of
 948 northern Gondwana. *Gondwana Research*, 17(2–3), 363–376.
 949 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2009.09.001>

950 Dufréchoy, G., Harris, L. B., Corriveau, L., & Antonoff, V. (2015). Regional and local
 951 controls on mineralization and pluton emplacement in the Bondy gneiss complex,
 952 Grenville Province, Canada interpreted from aeromagnetic and gravity data. *Journal*
 953 *of Applied Geophysics*, 116, 192–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2015.03.015>

954 Dunlop, D. J., & Özdemir, Ö. (1997). *Rock Magnetism. Fundamentals and Frontiers*.
 955 Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511612794>

956 Godfrey, J. D. (1962). The deuterium content of hydrous minerals from the East-Central
 957 Sierra Nevada and Yosemite National Park. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*,
 958 26(12), 1215–1245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(62\)90053-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(62)90053-4)

959 Grauch, V. J. S., Hudson, M. R., & Minor, S. a. (2001). Aeromagnetic expression of faults
 960 that offset basin fill, Albuquerque basin, New Mexico. *Geophysics*, 66(3), 707.
 961 <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1444961>

962 Guo, Z., & Wilson, M. (2012). The Himalayan leucogranites: Constraints on the nature of
 963 their crustal source region and geodynamic setting. *Gondwana Research*, 22(2), 360–
 964 376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2011.07.027>

965 Hopkinson, T. N., Harris, N. B. W., Warren, C. J., Spencer, C. J., Roberts, N. M. W.,
 966 Horstwood, M. S. A., et al. (2017). The identification and significance of pure
 967 sediment-derived granites. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 467, 57–63.
 968 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.03.018>

969 Ishihara, S. (1977). The magnetite-series and ilmenite-series granitic rocks. *Mining*
 970 *Geology*, 27(145), 293–305.

971 Jelinek, V. (1981). Characterization of the magnetic fabric of rocks. *Tectonophysics*, 79(3–
 972 4), 63–67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(81\)90110-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(81)90110-4)

973 Jenkin, G. R. T., Fallick, A. E., & Leake, B. E. (1992). A stable isotope study of retrograde
 974 alteration in SW Connemara, Ireland. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*,
 975 110(2–3), 269–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00310743>

976 Langereis, C. G., & Krijgsman, W. (2008). *Geomagnetic Polarity Timescale. Encyclopedia of*
 977 *Ocean Sciences: Second Edition*. Felix M. Gradstein, James G. Ogg, Mark Schmitz and
 978 Gabi Ogg. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012374473-9.00244-7>

979 Lawal, T. O., & Nwankwo, L. I. (2017). Evaluation of the depth to the bottom of magnetic
 980 sources and heat flow from high resolution aeromagnetic (HRAM) data of part of
 981 Nigeria sector of Chad Basin. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 10(17), 1–12.
 982 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-017-3154-2>

983 Martínez Catalán, J. R. (2011). Are the oroclines of the Variscan belt related to late
 984 Variscan strike-slip tectonics? *Terra Nova*, 23(4), 241–247.
 985 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.2011.01005.x>

986 Martínez Catalán, J. R. (2012). The Central Iberian arc, an orocline centered in the Iberian
987 Massif and some implications for the Variscan belt. *International Journal of Earth*
988 *Sciences*, 101(5), 1299–1314. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-011-0715-6>

989 Martínez Catalán, J. R., Arenas, R., & Díez Balda, M. A. (2003). Large extensional structures
990 developed during emplacement of a crystalline thrust sheet: The Mondoñedo nappe
991 (NW Spain). *Journal of Structural Geology*, 25(11), 1815–1839.
992 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(03\)00038-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(03)00038-5)

993 Martínez Catalán, J. R., Rubio Pascual, F. J., Díez Montes, A., Díez Fernández, R., Gómez
994 Barreiro, J., Dias da Silva, Í., et al. (2014). The late Variscan HT/LP metamorphic event
995 in NW and Central Iberia: relationships to crustal thickening, extension, orocline
996 development and crustal evolution. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*,
997 405(1), 225–247. <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP405.1>

998 Martínez Catalán, J. R., Aerden, D. G. A. M., & Carreras, J. (2015). The “Castilian bend” of
999 Rudolf Staub (1926): historical perspective of a forgotten orocline in Central Iberia.
1000 *Swiss Journal of Geosciences*, 108(2–3), 289–303. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00015-015-0202-3)
1001 015-0202-3

1002 Martínez Catalán, J. R., Ayarza, P., Álvarez Lobato, F., Villalaín, J. J., Durán Oreja, M.,
1003 Martín Paramio, M., & Rodríguez Gómez, S. (2018). Magnetic Anomalies in
1004 Extensional Detachments: The Xistral Tectonic Window of the Lugo Dome (NW
1005 Spain). *Tectonics*, 37(11), 4261–4284. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017TC004887>

1006 Martins, H. C. B., Sant’Ovaia, H., & Noronha, F. (2009). Genesis and emplacement of felsic
1007 Variscan plutons within a deep crustal lineation, the Penacova-Régua-Verín fault: An
1008 integrated geophysics and geochemical study (NW Iberian Peninsula). *Lithos*, 111(3–
1009 4), 142–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2008.10.018>

1010 Miranda, J. M., Galdeano, A., Rossignol, J. C., & Mendes Victor, L. A. (1989). Aeromagnetic
1011 anomalies in mainland Portugal and their tectonic implications. *Earth and Planetary*
1012 *Science Letters*, 95(1–2), 161–172. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X\(89\)90174-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(89)90174-X)

1013 Palencia Ortas, A., Osete, M. L., Vegas, R., & Silva, P. (2006). Paleomagnetic study of the
1014 Messejana Plasencia dyke (Portugal and Spain): A lower Jurassic paleopole for the
1015 Iberian plate. *Tectonophysics*, 420(3), 455–472.
1016 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2006.04.003>

1017 Pastor-Galán, D., Dekkers, M. J., Gutiérrez-Alonso, G., Brouwer, D., Groenewegen, T.,
1018 Krijgsman, W., et al. (2016). Paleomagnetism of the Central Iberian curve’s putative
1019 hinge: Too many oroclines in the Iberian Variscides. *Gondwana Research*, 39, 96–113.
1020 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2016.06.016>

1021 Pedreira, D., Pulgar, J. A., Gallart, J., & Torné, M. (2007). Three-dimensional gravity and
1022 magnetic modeling of crustal indentation and wedging in the western Pyrenees-
1023 Cantabrian Mountains. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 112(12), 1–19.
1024 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005021>

1025 Pérez-Estaún, A., Martínez Catalán, J. R., & Bastida, F. (1991). Crustal thickening and

1026 deformation sequence in the footwall to the suture of the Variscan belt of northwest
1027 Spain. *Tectonophysics*, 191(3–4), 243–253. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(91)90060-6)
1028 1951(91)90060-6

1029 Pichavant, M., Hammouda, T., & Scaillet, B. (1996). Control of redox state and Sr isotopic
1030 composition of granitic magmas: a critical evaluation of the role of source rocks.
1031 *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*,
1032 87(1–2), 321–329. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0263593300006714>

1033 de Poulpiquet, J. (2012). L' arc magnétique ibéro-armoricain est-il la trace d' un rift
1034 avorté d' âge. *Trabajos de Geología*, 32, 76–86.

1035 Recio, C., Fallick, A. E., & Ugidos, J. M. (1992). a Stable Isotopic (Delta-O-18, Delta-D) Study
1036 of the Late-Hercynian Granites and Their Host-Rocks in the Central Iberian Massif
1037 (Spain). *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh-Earth Sciences*, 83, 247–257.

1038 Robinson, P., Harrison, R. J., McEnroe, S. A., & Hargraves, R. B. (2002). Lamellar magnetism
1039 in the haematite-ilmenite series as an explanation for strong remanent
1040 magnetization. *Nature*, 418(6897), 517–20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature00942>

1041 Salem, A., Green, C., Ravat, D., Singh, K. H., East, P., Fairhead, J. D., et al. (2014). Depth to
1042 Curie temperature across the central Red Sea from magnetic data using the de-fractal
1043 method. *Tectonophysics*, 624–625, 75–86.
1044 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.04.027>

1045 Sant'Ovaia, H., Martins, H., & Noronha, F. (2013). Oxidized and reduced Portuguese
1046 Variscan granites associated with W and Sn hydrothermal lode deposits : magnetic
1047 susceptibility results Granitos Variscos portugueses oxidados e reduzidos e sua
1048 associação com mineralizações hidrotermais de W e Sn : resulta, (2013), 33–39.

1049 Sharp, Z. D. (1990). A laser-based microanalytical method for the in situ determination of
1050 oxygen isotope ratios of silicates and oxides. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*,
1051 54(5), 1353–1357. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(90\)90160-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(90)90160-M)

1052 Stampfli, G. M., & Kozur, H. W. (2006). Europe from the Variscan to the Alpine cycles.
1053 *Geological Society Memoir*, 32, 57–82.
1054 <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.2006.032.01.04>

1055 Taylor Jr., H. P., & Sheppard, S. M. F. (2018). Chapter 8. IGNEOUS ROCKS: I. PROCESSES of
1056 ISOTOPIC FRACTIONATION and ISOTOPE SYSTEMATICS. *Stable Isotopes in High*
1057 *Temperature Geological Processes*, 227–272.
1058 <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501508936-013>

1059 Vegas, R., Vázquez, J. T., Suriñach, E., & Marcos, A. (1990). Model of distributed
1060 deformation, block rotations and crustal thickening for the formation of the Spanish
1061 Central System. *Tectonophysics*, 184(3–4), 367–378. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(90)90449-I)
1062 1951(90)90449-I

1063 Verwey, E. J. W. (1939). Electronic Conduction of Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and its Transition
1064 point at Low Temperatures. *Nature*, 144, 327–328.

- de Vicente, G., Giner, J. L., Muñoz-Martí, A., González-Casado, J. M., & Lindo, R. (1996). Determination of present-day stress tensor and neotectonic interval in the Spanish Central System and Madrid Basin, central Spain. *Tectonophysics*, 266(1–4), 405–424. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(96\)00200-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(96)00200-4)
- de Vicente, G., Vegas, R., Muñoz Martín, A., Silva, P. G., Andriessen, P., Cloetingh, S., et al. (2007). Cenozoic thick-skinned deformation and topography evolution of the Spanish Central System. *Global and Planetary Change*, 58(1–4), 335–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.042>
- Villaseca, C., Barbero, L., & Rogers, G. (1998). Crustal Origin of Hercynian peraluminous granitic batholiths of Central Spain: petrological, geochemical and isotopic (Sr, Nd) constraints. *Lithos*, 43, 55–79.
- Villaseca, C., Ruiz-Martínez, V. C., & Pérez-Soba, C. (2017). Magnetic susceptibility of Variscan granite-types of the Spanish central system and the redox state of magma. *Geologica Acta*, 15(4), 379–394. <https://doi.org/10.1344/GeologicaActa2017.15.4.8>
- Walz, F. (2002). The Verwey transition - A topical review. *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter*, 14(12), 285–340. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/14/12/203>
- Zheng, Y.-F. (1993). Calculation of oxygen isotope fractionation in hydroxyl-bearing silicates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 120, 247–263. Retrieved from https://ac.els-cdn.com/0012821X93902433/1-s2.0-0012821X93902433-main.pdf?_tid=094fc98f-d14e-479a-b4b1-cd4b212e5f7f&acdnat=1549975407_d1e0c3d3f50928185edf5bb7f282afc0%0Ahttp://www.geosc.psu.edu/Courses/Geosc518/4_Sample_Prep/Chapter_4/4_5_Oxygen/4_5_3_Sili
- Zheng, Y.-F., & Simon, K. (1991). Oxygen isotope fractionation in hematite and magnetite: a theoretical calculation and application to geothermometry of metamorphic iron-formations. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 3(5), 877–886. <https://doi.org/10.1127/ejm/3/5/0877>

Figure captions

Figure 1. (a) Map of the the Iberian Massif showing its zonation and the location of the EGMA. IAA: Ibero-Armorican Arc. CIA: Central Iberian Arc. The rectangle delimits the area of the Lugo-Sanabria Dome. (b) Aeromagnetic map of the Iberian Peninsula (data from Ardizzone et al., 1989; Miranda et al., 1989). Rectangles define the main magnetic anomalies of the CIA, namely EGMA (Eastern Galicia Magnetic Anomaly), TDMA (Tormes Dome Magnetic Anomaly), CSMA (Central System Magnetic Anomaly) and PVGMA (Porto-Viseu-Guarda Magnetic Anomaly).

Figure 2. (a) Geological map of the Xistral Tectonic Window with the location of the samples used for this study. (b) Aeromagnetic map of the EGMA with the magnetic

susceptibilities measured in the field ($\times 10^3$ SI). (c) Cross section A-A' of figure 2a continued to the SE to show the whole structure of the Mondoñedo Nappe.

Figure 3. High resolution magnetic map of the Xistral Tectonic Window. The location of the magnetic samples is also shown (modified from Martínez Catalán et al., 2018).

Figure 4. Outcropping magnetic metasediments. (a and b) Enclaves of alternating schists and quartzites with high magnetic susceptibility in postkinematic, non-magnetic granites. (c) Magnetic schist. (d) Folded magnetic quartzite. All samples are located at or near site DL25/ MCPG108.

Figure 5. Optical microscope and microprobe images of magnetic minerals. (a) Magnetite with exsolved ilmenite. (b) Magnetite altered to hematite. (c) Magnetite with exsolved ilmenite and magnetite altered to hematite. (d) Alteration of biotite to magnetite. (e) Hematite with exsolved rutile. (f) Pure hematite.

Figure 6. Isothermal Remanent Magnetization (IRM) acquisition curves and backfield (left) and induced magnetization thermomagnetic curves (right). Samples are divided in two groups featuring different behavior: Type A: presents low and high coercivity phases and higher Curie Temperatures (T_c) probably corresponding to hematite. Type B: presents only lower coercivity minerals and T_c typical of magnetite.

Figure 7. (a) Susceptibility thermomagnetic curves at low and high temperatures of samples DL9 and DL12 showing a clear Verwey transition characteristic of magnetite. An important susceptibility decrease is observed at 580 °C, indicating also the presence of magnetite. A more subtle one exists at 610-620 °C, indicating the existence of hematite

Figure 8. Hysteresis loops calculated for some representative samples. Type A: Samples featuring a mixture of low and high coercivity minerals as suggests the wasp-waisted shape. Type B: samples with low coercivity minerals (magnetite).

Figure 9. Thermal demagnetization of a composite three axis IRM over two representative samples (DL9-D and DL6-B). Unblocking temperatures on the high and medium coercivity phase indicates the presence of hematite. Lower unblocking temperatures on the medium and low coercivity phase indicates the presence of magnetite.

Figure 10. Orthogonal plots of NRM alternating field (AF) and thermal demagnetization in geographical coordinates of three types of representative samples. Type 1 is characterized by an unique high temperature/high coercivity component (ChRM) carried by hematite. Type 2 presents two components: a low coercivity/low temperature component and the same high coercivity/higher temperature component of Type 1. Type 3 exhibits only an important low coercivity/low temperature component being the hematite component

observed in Types 1 and 2 absent. In each example both thermal and AF demagnetizations correspond to two twin specimens from the same core.

Figure 11. a) Equal area projections showing the site mean directions of the ChRM component (see text). b) Equal area projections of site mean directions grouped by regions and overlapping the absolute magnetic anomaly map of the Xistral Tectonic Window. Averages of mean site directions for each group are listed in Table 3. High inclinations shown for the Xove and Rúa Groups are probably the result of late or post-Variscan block tilting.

Figure 12. X-ray diffraction pattern of the magnetic fraction of sample DL8. Ms: muscovite, Mag: magnetite, Hem: hematite, Q: Quartz, Ilm: ilmenite.

Figure 13. Equal area projection of in-situ principal axis of the AMS grouped by similar behaviour. VF1, VF2, VF3 and VF4 are located along the Viveiro Fault shear zone and show a planar magnetic anisotropy roughly parallel to the fault. VO represents a group close to the locality of Viveiró, where detachments show a low dip to the SE, as does the AMS. NE1 and NE2 are located to the NE of the study area. They show low AMS inclinations, coherent with a position close to the hinge zone of the Lugo Dome. Samples in each group are listed in figure 14. Blue square: K1; Green triangle: K2; Purple circle: K3. Girdles defined by K1 and K2 indicate planar anisotropy whose attitude coincides with that of the main extensional detachments.

Figure 14. P-T diagrams for the more representative groups of samples studied by AMS. P: bulk anisotropy. T: shape of the ellipsoid. κ_m : magnetic susceptibility. Samples in each group are listed.

Figure 15. $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variation of water in equilibrium with magnetite (red curve), muscovite (yellow curve) and biotite (blue curve) calculated using the geothermometry equation $10^3 \ln \alpha_{(x-y)} = A10^6 T^{-2} + B10^3 T^{-1} + C$. Constants are: Biotite: A= 3.840; B= -8.760; C= 2.460 (Zheng, 1993), Muscovite: A: 4.100; B: -7.610; C: 2.250 (Zheng, 1993), Magnetite: A: 3.020; B: -12.000; C: 3.310 (Zheng & Simon, 1991) . Phases in equilibrium should result in curves cross-cutting at the equilibrium temperature.

Figure 16. Representation of δD y $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values for whole rock (WR) in the context of the values for aqueous fluids of geological interest. MCPG85 is a non-magnetic schist. MCPG108, is a stratigraphically equivalent magnetic schist outcropping at a detachment zone.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3



Fig. 4














Fig. 5

TYPE A



TYPE B







Fig. 6





Fig. 7





Fig. 8






Fig. 9




a)

TYPE 1




b)




c)

TYPE 2




d)



e)

TYPE 3



f)

Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Fig. 12




Fig. 13













Fig. 14





Fig. 15




Fig. 16