

Carpio, M.J., Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., García-Delgado, C., Sánchez-Martín, M.J., Marín-Benito, J.M. 2020. **Mobility monitoring of two herbicides in amended soils: A field study for modeling applications.** *Journal of Environmental Management*, 260, art. 1101612

**MOBILITY MONITORING OF TWO HERBICIDES IN AMENDED SOILS: A
FIELD STUDY FOR MODELING APPLICATIONS**

María J. Carpio^a, M. Sonia Rodríguez-Cruz^a, Carlos García-Delgado^{a,b}, María J. Sánchez-Martín^a, Jesús M. Marín-Benito^{a,*}

^a *Institute of Natural Resources and Agrobiology of Salamanca (IRNASA-CSIC), Cordel de Merinas 40-52, 37008 Salamanca, Spain*

^b *Department of Geology and Geochemistry, Autonomous University of Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain (Present address)*

*Corresponding author: jesusm.marin@irnasa.csic.es (Jesús M. Marín-Benito)

Author's e-mails:

María J. Carpio: mjose.carpio@irnasa.csic.es

M. Sonia Rodríguez-Cruz: msonia.rodriguez@irnasa.csic.es

Carlos García-Delgado: carlos.garciadelgado@uam.es

María J. Sánchez-Martín: mjesus.sanchez@irnasa.csic.es

Jesús M. Marín-Benito: jesusm.marin@irnasa.csic.es

1 **Abstract**

2 This paper reports the mobility and total balance of chlorotoluron (CTL), flufenacet
3 (FNC) and bromide ion (Br^-) throughout a sandy soil profile after the application of
4 spent mushroom substrate (SMS) and green compost (GC). Obtaining mobility dataset
5 is crucial to simulate the herbicides' fate under amended soil scenarios by application
6 pesticide leaching models with regulatory application (FOCUS models). The
7 application of organic residues is nowadays increased to improve the crop yields and
8 there is a gap in the simulations of this kind of amended scenarios. A two-year field
9 experiment involving unamended soil (S) and SMS- or GC-amended soil plots was
10 conducted. CTL, FNC, and Br^- were annually applied and their residual concentrations
11 were determined in soil profiles (0-100 cm) regularly sampled. In all the treatments the
12 order of mobility is followed as $\text{FNC} < \text{CTL} < \text{Br}^-$. SMS and GC increased herbicide
13 retention in the top 10 cm by the higher organic carbon (OC) content than the
14 unamended soil, and their ability to increase the soil's water-holding capacity and to
15 decrease water percolation. Simultaneously dissolved organic carbon (DOC) content
16 facilitated herbicide transport being it favoured by the initial soil moisture content and
17 the rainfall shortly after the chemicals' initial application. Over the first year, residual
18 amounts ($<2.6\%$) of Br^- , CTL and FNC were leached down to 90-100 cm depth in the
19 three treatments. However, over the second year low CTL and FNC amounts ($<1.0\%$)
20 reached the bottom layer only in S+SMS although high Br^- concentrations did so in the
21 three treatments ($<20\%$). According to the total balance of Br^- , CTL, and FNC in the
22 soil profiles other processes (degradation, mineralization, bound residues formation,
23 and/or crop uptake) different from leaching below 1 m depth might play a key role in
24 their dissipation especially in the amended soil profiles. SMS and GC are likely to be
25 used as organic amendments to preserve the soil and water quality but in the case of

26 SMS, its higher DOC content could imply a higher potential risk for groundwater
27 contamination than GC.

28

29 **Keywords:** leaching, chlorotoluron, flufenacet, bromide tracer, soil profile,
30 experimental amended plots

31

32 **1. Introduction**

33 Different strategies are being applied in agriculture today to increase crop yields
34 and obtain the corresponding benefits. The use of pesticides and the application of
35 organic residues to the soil as organic amendments are two such strategies. The losses in
36 the crop yield due to the non-application of pesticides can be as high as 40% (AEPLA,
37 2019). The impact of the use of organic amendments on the crop yield is based on the
38 amount of nutrients and the addition of OM on the soil and on their ability to maintain
39 the soil's properties and, therefore, its fertility (Ingelmo Sánchez and Rubio Delgado,
40 2008; Yazdanpanah et al., 2016). Both agricultural practices are fully compatible, and
41 often organic amendments and pesticides coexist in the soil. However, their
42 combination can have significant environmental impacts, as the main processes
43 governing the fate of pesticides (adsorption-desorption, degradation, mobility, etc.) may
44 be modified in the presence of organic residues, with their solid OC and/or DOC
45 content playing a key role (Yang et al., 2005; Marín-Benito et al., 2012a; 2016; López-
46 Piñeiro et al., 2013).

47 Pesticide mobility changes in amended soils have especial interest because they
48 can reach the groundwater leading to contamination not foreseen (Postigo et al., 2010).
49 In addition, the evaluation of their environmental risks under amended soils is not
50 considered over the pesticide registration tests. Changes in pesticide mobility have been

51 frequently assessed in presence of organic wastes of different origins (urban, livestock,
52 agricultural, and agro-industrial activities). In most cases, these studies have been
53 carried out under laboratory conditions (Kodešová et al., 2012; Marín-Benito et al.,
54 2013; Álvarez-Martín et al., 2017), and less frequently so at field scale (Cabrera et al.,
55 2009; Herrero-Hernández et al., 2015; Marín-Benito et al., 2018). Working at laboratory
56 scale involves doing this under controlled conditions geared towards target variables.
57 This allows reaching relevant conclusions about the influence of individual variables,
58 such as the application of organic amendments to soils on a selected process (e.g.,
59 pesticide mobility). Nevertheless, and as opposed to field studies, their extrapolation is
60 limited because they are not representative of real conditions, where the interaction of
61 multiple variables informs a different behaviour to that observed one under laboratory
62 studies (Ahmad et al., 2003; Herrero-Hernández et al., 2015).

63 Accordingly to this, the need for more robust conclusions on the impact that
64 organic amendments have on pesticide mobility calls for field-scale trials that allow
65 compiling wide dataset including real pesticide concentrations in the soil profile. These
66 dataset would allow the calibration and validation of pesticide leaching models in
67 amended soils. Then, they could be used as a tool for the prediction of the
68 environmental fate of pesticides under amended soil conditions and for the optimization
69 of pesticide application jointly with organic amendments aimed to avoid the water
70 pollution. Modelling studies based on amended soil scenarios are rarely due to the lack
71 of complete dataset as it is proposed in this paper (Boesten and van der Pas, 2000;
72 Filipović et al., 2014).

73 A representative scenario was chosen in the Spanish region of Castilla and Leon
74 to evaluate herbicide mobility under amending agricultural practices at field scale. This
75 location is vulnerable to pesticide contamination, consisting of a soil with a

76 predominantly sandy texture, low in OM content and with continuous cereal cropping.
77 The assays involved two herbicides with different mobility behaviour: CTL and FNC.
78 They are widely used to control broad-leaved weeds and grasses in the pre- and post-
79 emergence of wheat crops. Wheat (*Triticum* spp.) was selected because it is one of the
80 most widely grown crops worldwide (OECD-FAO, 2019).

81 CTL is a phenylurea that is fairly soluble in water and records a moderate
82 persistence in the soil, low hydrophobicity, and a high potential for leaching (EC, 2005;
83 PPDB, 2019), as evidenced by its frequent presence in surface, ground and drinking
84 waters (Chilton et al., 2005; Fingler et al., 2017; Casado et al., 2019). CTL degradation
85 leads mainly to the formation of desmethylchlorotoluron in soil, which persistence and
86 mobility are similar to that of the parent compound (PPDB, 2019).

87 FNC is an oxyacetamide moderately soluble in water, with high adsorption in
88 the soil. This herbicide has a higher hydrophobicity and persistence in soil than CTL,
89 but a lower leachability (PPDB, 2019). FNC has also been detected in surface waters
90 (Casado et al., 2019), while it is unlikely to leach to groundwater (Gajbhiye and Gupta,
91 2001; Novohatska et al., 2018), hence this may depend on weather conditions, the time
92 lapse between the application date and the first precipitation event, and soil properties
93 (USEPA, 1998; Milan et al., 2015; Willkommen et al., 2019). However, FNC degrades
94 in the soil mainly into two metabolites, flufenacet oxoacetic acid (FNC-OA) and
95 flufenacet oxoethanesulfonic acid (FNC-ESA), with a higher or much higher potential,
96 respectively, for leaching to groundwater than FNC (EC, 2003; PPDB, 2019).

97 The mobility behaviour of CTL in soil has been studied mainly in undisturbed
98 and hand-packed soil columns under laboratory conditions, as well as in outdoor
99 lysimeter experiments (Renaud et al., 2004; Walker et al., 2005; Navarro et al., 2012;
100 Langeron et al., 2014). However, there are hardly any studies involving CTL transport

101 under field conditions (Kodešová et al., 2004; Chilton et al., 2005; Kočárek et al.,
102 2010). In turn, and to the best of our knowledge, the effect of organic amendments on
103 the mobility of this herbicide has been assessed in a somewhat unusual manner,
104 involving only packed soil cores under controlled laboratory conditions (Kodešová et
105 al., 2012). By contrast, only a handful of studies have been published on FNC mobility
106 in soil (Rouchaud et al., 1999; Vasilakoglou et al., 2001; Milan et al., 2015;
107 Willkommen et al., 2019), and none including amended soils.

108 Therefore, the aims of this paper are to assess: i) the mobility and the total
109 balance of the herbicides CTL and FNC, and of the Br⁻ tracer ion through an unamended
110 and SMS and GC amended soil profile, and ii) the effect that two organic residues, SMS
111 and GC, have on the environmental fate of these herbicides. Real concentrations of Br⁻
112 and herbicides and volumetric soil water content were obtained at different times over
113 two years throughout a field experiment to obtain a complete dataset. This dataset is
114 necessary for the subsequent simulation of the environmental fate of the herbicides with
115 FOCUS pesticide leaching models (those used for pesticide registration purposes at
116 European level according to recommendations of the FORum for Co-ordination of
117 pesticide fate models and their Use group) under amended soil scenarios.

118

119 **2. Materials and Methods**

120 **2.1. Chemicals and organic amendments**

121 The field study used the commercial formulations Erturon[®] (chlorotoluron 50%
122 w/v, Cheminova Agro S.A., Madrid, Spain), and Herold[®] (flufenacet 40% w/v, Bayer
123 Crop Science S.L., Valencia, Spain). Analytical standards of both herbicides (> 99.5%
124 purity) were supplied by Sigma Aldrich Química S.A. (Madrid, Spain). The major
125 metabolites of both herbicides, desmethylchlorotoluron, FNC-ESA sodium salt, and

126 FNC-OA (> 99.3% purity, Sigma) (PPDB, 2019) were also analysed throughout the
127 experiment. These compounds' characteristics are presented in Supplementary Material
128 Table S1 (PPDB, 2019). Conservative tracer transport using Br⁻ as an ion tracer (KBr,
129 99.8% purity) was applied to describe the dispersive characteristics of the unamended
130 and amended soil systems for the herbicide mobility study.

131 The SMS from *Agaricus bisporus* and *Pleurotus ostreatus* (2:1) cultivation and
132 the GC from the pruning of plants and trees in parks and gardens were supplied
133 following an aerobic composting process by Sustratos de la Rioja S.L. (Pradejon, Spain)
134 and Viveros El Arca S.L. (Salamanca, Spain), respectively. The main characteristics of
135 both organic residues were determined in air-dried and sieved (< 2 mm) samples (Table
136 S2) using the methods included in Supplementary Material.

137

138 **2.2. Experimental set-up, sampling and processing of soil profiles**

139 A two-year (2016-2018) field experiment was set up at the Muñovela
140 experimental farm belonging to the Institute of Natural Resources and Agrobiology of
141 Salamanca, Spain (40°54'15''N latitude and 5°46'26''W longitude). The soil was a
142 Eutric-Chromic Cambisol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015) with sandy-loam (0-90
143 cm depth) and a sandy-clay (90-160 cm depth) texture (Table S3). The experimental
144 layout consisted of randomised complete blocks including nine experimental plots of 9
145 m × 9 m, with three treatments and three plot replicates per treatment, corresponding to
146 unamended soil (S), soil amended with spent mushroom substrate (S+SMS), and soil
147 amended with green compost (S+GC). SMS and GC were applied to the soil at rates on
148 a dry weight basis of 140 and 85 t ha⁻¹, respectively. A rototiller was used to incorporate
149 the organic amendments into the top 20 cm in November 2016. Before the chemicals
150 (herbicides and Br⁻ ion tracer) were applied, three soil profiles (one per treatment) were

151 opened in three additional plots for the pedological characterization of soil profile in the
152 field site. Soil samples were taken from each one of the five observed horizons of their
153 respective soil profiles after 30 days of organic residue application. Soil samples were
154 air-dried, sieved (< 2 mm), and their physicochemical characteristics determined as
155 indicated in Supplementary Material (Table S3).

156 Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipes with hermetically sealed bases (120 cm length \times
157 5.2 cm \varnothing) were installed in each one of the experimental plots (one pipe per plot) for
158 regular monitoring of the volumetric soil water content in sections of 20 cm from the
159 surface down to 1 m using a portable Troxler Sentry 200-AP electrical probe (Troxler
160 International Ltd., NC, USA). All the plots were annually cropped with winter wheat
161 and managed according to best agricultural practices. After the harvest, the soil was
162 maintained bare during the fallow period. The field experiment ended on 30 Nov. 2018.

163 CTL, FNC, and the Br^- tracer were sprayed once per crop cycle at 15, 5, and 53
164 kg a.i. ha^{-1} , respectively, under the commercial formulations previously indicated. The
165 chemicals were applied jointly in pre-emergence using a sprayer attached to a tractor on
166 1 Dec. 2016 and on 13 Nov. 2017 (346 days after the first application). According to the
167 experimental farm's records, none of the chemicals assayed had ever been applied to the
168 experimental field, as an initial onsite background analysis did not detect any residues.

169 Rainfall and minimum and maximum air temperature were recorded throughout
170 the experiment at an onsite weather station operated by the Spanish Agency of
171 Meteorology.

172 The chemicals' mobility assessment involved sampling unamended, SMS- and
173 GC-amended soil profiles at 1, 17, 33, 60, 80, 151, 229, and 339 days after their first
174 application, and after 1, 29, 64, 127, 142, 181, and 225 days after their second
175 application (corresponding to 347, 376, 411, 474, 489, 528, and 572 days). At each

176 sampling time, five 100-cm soil cores (of 3-cm inner diameter) were randomly sampled
177 in each plot and sectioned into 10 segments, each with a 10-cm thickness.
178 Representative average soil samples of each plot were obtained by mixing the five
179 subsamples corresponding to the same depth. Composite samples were put into plastic
180 bags and transported in portable refrigerators to the laboratory, where they were
181 homogenised and sieved (< 2 mm) for their analysis. The amounts of CTL, FNC and Br⁻
182 determined were expressed as percentages of the initial amount. The pH, OC and DOC
183 values were determined at different times after the chemicals' applications to assess
184 their influence on herbicide mobility.

185 The adsorption capacity of CTL and FNC for each unamended and SMS- and
186 GC-amended soil horizon was determined as a single-point concentration (1 µg mL⁻¹) at
187 the beginning of the experiment through the joint use of the active ingredients of both
188 herbicides, and with 6 °C as the working temperature, replicating that registered in the
189 field at the time of herbicide application. The distribution coefficients (K_d) were
190 calculated as indicated in Marín-Benito et al. (2019) (Table S4).

191

192 **2.3. Chemical extraction and analysis**

193 The extraction and analysis of herbicides and their major metabolites formed
194 during the experiment was carried out as indicated in Marín-Benito et al. (2019).
195 Triplicate subsamples of moist soil (6 g) from each 10-cm segment of unamended or
196 amended soil cores taken at each sampling time were extracted with acetonitrile and the
197 herbicides and their metabolites were determined by HPLC-DAD-MS using a Waters
198 chromatograph (Waters Assoc., Milford, USA). Extraction efficiencies and quality
199 indicators of detailed analytical method are included in Supplementary Material.

200 Br⁻ concentrations were determined following the same method as described for
201 the herbicides, albeit using deionised water as extractant and without the concentration
202 step. They were quantified using a Metrohm Ion Chromatograph (Metrohm Ltd.,
203 Switzerland) with a conductivity detector.

204

205 **2.4. Meteorological conditions over the field experiment**

206 Rainfall and air temperature data were recorded throughout the two experimental
207 periods (Fig. 1). The first experimental period ran from 1 Dec. 2016 to 12 Nov. 2017
208 (346 days), and the second one from 13 Nov. 2017 to 30 Nov. 2018 (382 days). The
209 average air temperature in both periods was similar, ranging from -3.8°C to 27°C and
210 from -3°C to 27.6°C, respectively. However, differences in average air temperature and
211 precipitation regime were recorded between both periods, and this played an important
212 role in chemical mobility through the soil profile. The average air temperature was
213 higher for the first period (13.1°C) than for the second one (11.6°C). Accumulated
214 precipitation at the end of first period was 273.2 mm compared to 525.4 mm recorded
215 over the entire second period, with their respective average intensity being 2.5 and 2.8
216 mm h⁻¹. There were only seven major rainfall events (> 10 mm) during the first period
217 (Fig. 1a), which was characterized by intensive rainfall in winter and less so in spring
218 and summer. Seventeen rainfall events higher than 10 mm were recorded during the
219 second period. The winter and spring in this latter period were especially wet for the
220 geographical area of study whose average annual rainfall is about 400 mm (Fig. 1b).

221

222 **3. Results**

223 **3.1. Assessment of soil profile characteristics over time**

224 Temporal variation of the parameters pH, OC and DOC was determined as they
225 can be modified by adding organic residues and they can affect the behaviour of
226 herbicides in soils (Thevenot et al., 2009; Marín-Benito et al., 2013, 2018) (Data
227 Analysis is described in Supplementary Material). A significant increase ($p < 0.01$) in
228 the mean pH values of S topsoils (6.28-7.13) was observed after treatment with the
229 organic residues (7.33-7.54 in S+SMS and 7.37-7.81 in S+GC) at different sampling
230 times in the first experimental period (Fig. S1).

231 When adding the organic residues, the OC contents for the S topsoil (0.79-
232 0.75%, mean 0.77 ± 0.02) increased to 2.61-2.22% (mean 2.44 ± 0.16) in the S+SMS and
233 to 1.87-1.65% (mean 1.78 ± 0.09) in the S+GC over the entire experimental period
234 (range 10-572 days after the application of herbicides) (Fig. S1). ANOVA analysis
235 indicated significant differences between OC mean values in all the samples ($S+SMS >$
236 $S+GC > S$, $p < 0.001$), depths ($p < 0.001$) and times ($p < 0.01$). The OC content in S was
237 constant down to 40 cm, decreasing below this depth, and no significant changes were
238 observed over time. In amended soils, the OC mean values decreased down to 50 cm,
239 but they increased 3.17-1.22 times (S+SMS) and 2.31-1.07 times (S+GC) in relation to
240 S at 10-50 cm. The increase in soil OC content by the SMS or GC effect was
241 maintained at the different depths throughout the two-year experiment, therefore it
242 indicates that the application of these residues to the soil could improve long-term soil
243 properties.

244 The DOC contents ranged between 0.12-0.48 mg OC g⁻¹ soil (mean 0.33 ± 0.14)
245 for the S topsoil and they increased to 0.50-0.79 mg OC g⁻¹ soil (mean 0.65 ± 0.15)
246 (S+SMS) and to 0.38-0.72 (mean 0.54 ± 0.16) (S+GC) over different times (Fig. S1).
247 Significant differences were found between the mean DOC values of amended and
248 unamended soils ($S+SMS = S+GC > S$, $p < 0.001$), depths ($p < 0.001$), and times

249 (p<0.001). In the amended soils, the mean DOC values decreased down to 50 cm
250 although they increased 1.98-1.39 times in S+SMS, and 1.65-1.47 times in S+GC in
251 relation to S, in the different soil layers from 10 to 50 cm. In general, these DOC
252 contents increased throughout the whole experiment, being significantly different in
253 S+SMS (p<0.001) and in S+GC (p<0.001) (Fig. S1).

254

255 **3.2. Soil water content profiles over time**

256 Soil water content was recorded every 20 cm down in the soil profiles (0-100
257 cm) at different times throughout the experiment (a total of 38 times). Fig. 2 restricts the
258 information available on the moisture profiles to five selected times per experimental
259 period. During the first experimental period, the soil water content at a depth of 0-20 cm
260 followed the order S (0.100–0.184 m³ m⁻³) < S+GC (0.155–0.244 m³ m⁻³) < S+SMS
261 (0.196–0.279 m³ m⁻³) (Fig. 2) at different sampling times according to the soil OM
262 content. The standard deviation of the mean values at each depth and replicate plots was
263 < 14%, indicating homogeneity and close agreement between plots with the same
264 treatment (Fig. 2). A sharp increase in soil moisture down to a depth of 40 cm at
265 different treatment times was recorded by the unamended soil profile (control) together
266 a continuous decrease with depth which only increased seven months later. In the
267 second experimental period, the soil water content followed a similar trend as in the first
268 one. It increased in the top 20 cm in the order S (0.136–0.232 m³ m⁻³) < S+GC (0.145–
269 0.260 m³ m⁻³) < S+SMS (0.149–0.251 m³ m⁻³), and in the three treatments increased at
270 different sampling times at 80-100 cm (Fig. 2).

271

272 **3.3. Mobility of ion bromide in unamended and amended soil profiles**

273 The distribution of Br⁻ in the S, S+SMS, and S+GC profiles (0-100 cm) at
274 different sampling times after their application (Fig. 3) revealed that the tracer was not
275 mobile below 0-10 cm at the initial sampling time for both experimental periods when
276 ~100% of applied dose was recovered in the topsoil. However, 3-10 days after its first
277 application, Br⁻ mobility in S was observed down to 50 cm (2-4% of the amount
278 applied). The tracer ion reached a depth of 100 cm 33 days after its application (<
279 0.5%), and it was detected throughout the entire soil profile up to 229 days. After two
280 months, Br⁻ concentrations in the topsoil decreased to 50% of the amount applied, and
281 varied between 5-17% down to 60 cm and < 1% in the deepest soil layers (60-100 cm)
282 five months after application. Br⁻ was still recorded from 0 to 70 cm eleven months after
283 its application showing peak concentrations of 13-11% at 10-30 cm (339 days), but no
284 presence or concentrations below its detection limit were observed after 70 cm. A total
285 balance of Br⁻ ≈ 100% was maintained in the S profile up to 80 days after application,
286 indicating no mobility below 1 m. Br⁻ concentrations progressively decreased over time,
287 and the total balance was < 40% 339 days after application.

288 Br⁻ mobility in both amended soils was detected mainly in the top 30 cm two
289 months after the first application. Br⁻ concentrations (> 12%) below 30 cm were
290 detected only 80 days after its application, and residual concentrations (< 1%) reached
291 the bottom layer (90-100 cm) at 151 days in both treatments. Br⁻ was still found 339
292 days after its application from 0 to 90 cm (S+SMS) and from 0 to 60 cm (S+GC), with a
293 peak concentration of 8-18% at 10-30 cm. The total Br⁻ balance in the amended soil
294 profiles was < 80% from one month after application, and it decreased to 40-44% at the
295 end of the first experimental period (339 days), as it was also observed in the S
296 treatment.

297 The dynamics of Br⁻ through the soil profiles changed over the second
298 experimental period. Following the application of the respective chemicals (Fig. 1) it
299 recorded almost twice as much rainfall as the first, being 2.5 times higher than in the
300 two first months. A more relevant displacement of the Br⁻ through the S profile was
301 observed during these two months and a Br⁻ peak of 66% was recorded at 30-50 cm,
302 with decreasing concentrations in the range 16 - 1% at 60-100 cm. From the second to
303 the fourth months the high accumulated rainfall (164.8 mm, Fig. 1b), with seven rainfall
304 events between 10.2 and 26 mm, contributed largely to the movement of high
305 concentrations of Br⁻ (10-18%), which remained below 2% in the top 50 cm. Br⁻ peaks
306 in the 60-100 cm layers (11-24%) were recorded five months after the second
307 application, and at the last sampling time (225 days) this peak was recorded at 70-100
308 cm, with a concentration range of 14-18%. A total balance of Br⁻ ≈ 100% was
309 maintained in all the soil profiles up to 64 days and then, when Br⁻ recorded a residual
310 percentage of 40-64% in the soil profiles, it decreased progressively from 127 days (53-
311 78%) to 225 days.

312

313 **3.4. Mobility of chlorotoluron in unamended and amended soil profiles**

314 The CTL concentrations in the soil profiles (0-100 cm) after the first and second
315 applications were determined at different times in S, S+SMS and S+GC and expressed
316 as percentages of the amount initially applied (Fig. 4). Initial CTL concentrations
317 determined in the unamended and amended topsoil layer corresponded to ~100% of the
318 applied dose. In S, an increase in herbicide concentrations in the soil layers down to 40-
319 50 cm (after 17 days) or down to 1 m (from 33 to 229 days after application) was
320 observed. After two months, a decrease in CTL concentration in the topsoil (remaining
321 amount < 56% of that applied) was followed by a progressive decrease over time

322 through the end of the first experimental period (339 days, < 15%). In general, peak
323 concentrations were always detected in the top 20 cm (Fig. 4). The application of SMS
324 and GC to soil increased significantly the mean remaining amounts of CTL in the
325 topsoil over the sampling time ($S+SMS = S+GC > S$, $p < 0.02$), while decreasing them
326 in the soil profile (Fig. 4). At the end of the first experimental period (339 days), higher
327 concentrations of CTL were detected in the top 10 cm of S than of S+SMS or S+GC (S
328 $> S+SMS = S+GC$, $p < 0.01$) and residual concentrations were observed down to 40 cm
329 in S and S+SMS, and down to 20 cm in S+GC (Fig. 4). The total CTL balance remained
330 $\geq 100\%$ in the S profile up to 60 days after its application, and up to 80 days in S+SMS
331 and S+GC. This percentage decreased to 20% (S), 8% (S+SMS), and 11% (S+GC) 339
332 days after their application.

333 Like Br^- , the CTL leaching dynamics observed in the second experimental
334 period was different (Fig. 4). The residual amounts of CTL decreased quickly in the S
335 topsoil to 55% of the initial amount only one month after its application, and it
336 decreased to $\approx 2\%$ six months later. The application of the organic residues to soil
337 decreased the CTL residual amounts in the S+SMS profile over the whole second
338 period. In S+GC, they decreased two months after herbicide application, with its
339 behaviour then being similar to the one observed in the S+SMS. Significant differences
340 among the mean residual amounts in soil profiles were observed at 20-40 cm ($S >$
341 $S+SMS=S+GC$, $p < 0.01$), and no CTL residues were observed in the amended soils
342 below this depth. The total CTL balance in the soil profile remained $\geq 100\%$ in the
343 S+GC treatment 29 days after its application, varying between 65-71% in S and
344 S+SMS. These percentages decreased to 3.98% (S), 0.91% (S+SMS), and 0.58%
345 (S+GC) at the last sampling time (225 days), when residual concentrations of CTL were

346 detected at 30, 20 and 10 cm in S (0.35%), S+SMS (0.24%), and S+GC (0.58%),
347 respectively.

348

349 **3.5. Mobility of flufenacet in unamended and amended soil profiles**

350 Initial FNC concentrations determined in the top 10 cm of the three soil
351 treatments corresponded to ~100% of the applied dose. The FNC concentrations
352 determined at different times (Fig. 5), indicated the herbicide mobility in S with
353 increasing concentrations detected down to 30 cm (from 17 to 33 days after application)
354 or down to 60 cm (after 60 days), although no herbicide was recorded down to 1 m in
355 this period as indicated for CTL. The maximum depth reached by FNC was observed
356 after 80 days with residual concentrations (< 1%) detected in the deepest S layers (70-
357 100 cm). At this time, a decrease in FNC concentration was observed in the topsoil
358 (remaining amount < 50% of that applied) followed by a progressive decrease over time
359 through to the end of the first experimental period (7%). The peak concentrations were
360 always observed in the top 10 cm (Fig. 5). The residual amounts of FNC increased in
361 the topsoil after SMS and GC application over the sampling time (S+SMS = S+GC > S,
362 $p < 0.009$), as previously observed for CTL (Fig. 4 and 5). FNC concentrations at this
363 depth ranged from 80% to 94% in the amended soils for the 17 to 80-day period.
364 However, herbicide concentrations were higher in S than in the amended soils below 10
365 cm although differences were not significant ($p < 0.10$). FNC was detected in the whole
366 S+SMS profile 33 days after its application, while the maximum depth reached by FNC
367 in S+GC was observed at 151 days, when residual concentrations (< 1%) were detected
368 in the soil layers from 30 to 100 cm.

369 FNC persistence was higher in the topsoils than that of CTL in the first
370 experimental period, with dissipation of the herbicide $\approx 50\%$ in S after 80 days and after

371 151 days in S+SMS and S+GC. However, FNC dissipation increased over time and
372 similar residual amounts of herbicide were found in the top 10 cm in all three treatments
373 (S 7.03%, S+SMS 7.92%, S+GC 2.65%) after the first experimental period. At the end
374 of this period (339 days), FNC was detected at 10-20 cm in S and S+GC, and down to
375 30 cm in S+SMS. The total FNC balance in the soil profiles recorded the same
376 behaviour as CTL, remaining $\geq 100\%$ in the S treatment up to 60 days after its
377 application, and up to 80 days in both amended soils. After 339 days of application, this
378 percentage decreased to 12% (S), 10% (S+SMS), and 5% (S+GC), following the same
379 order as CTL.

380 As observed for CTL, the residual amounts of FNC determined in the S topsoil
381 indicated a decrease in herbicide retention during the second experimental period,
382 considering similar sampling times to those in the first period, and its mobility was
383 detected only down to 50-60 cm (Fig. 5). The application of SMS and GC generally
384 increased the residual amounts of FNC in the surface horizons of S+SMS and S+GC (S
385 $< S+GC = S+SMS$, $p < 0.004$). Nonetheless, the residual amounts of FNC were
386 increased in the next soil layer (10-20 cm) and in all the soil treatments compared to the
387 first period.

388 Contrary to CTL, FNC dissipation increased progressively in all the soils over
389 time, and no significant decrease in their total balance was observed in any of the soils
390 two months after herbicide application and with a high accumulated rainfall (68.4 mm).
391 Like CTL and unlike Br^- , after this rainy period, no relevant displacements of the
392 maximum peak of FNC to deep soil horizons were observed in any soil treatment (Fig.
393 5). This means FNC behaviour in the soils was similar to that one observed over the
394 first period. However, FNC never reached the deepest soil layer (90-100 cm) in S or
395 S+GC. The maximum depth this herbicide reached during the second experimental

396 period was 60 cm in S and 80 cm in S+GC 181 days after its application, whereas the
397 compound reached 90-100 cm in S+SMS 127 days after its application. The total FNC
398 balance in the soil profiles varied between 67-100% in S and S+SMS up to 142 days
399 after its application, and after 181 days in S+GC. These percentages decreased to 26%
400 (S), 36% (S+SMS), and 32% (S+GC) after 225 days (the last sampling time during the
401 second experimental period), and they were higher than those for CTL (Fig. 4 and 5).
402 Marín-Benito et al. (2019) reported similar results for FNC applied in the same soils
403 under laboratory conditions at the end of an incubation period of 273 days.

404

405 **4. Discussion**

406 **4.1. Changes in soil water content profiles over time**

407 A logical downward water movement over time was described for explaining the
408 percolation behaviour, in line with the precipitations recorded. The application of SMS
409 and GC to the soil into the top 20 cm increased this layer's water-holding capacity, and
410 influenced the water (and chemicals) dynamics in all the amended soil profiles. The
411 organic amendments regulated the percolation rate to deeper layers and a more regular
412 and continuous movement of water was promoted from the topsoil toward deeper layers
413 over time (Ingelmo Sánchez and Rubio Delgado, 2008). The movement was not as
414 abrupt and slower than observed in the unamended soil. This meant that the sections
415 from 20 to 40 cm or even to 60 cm in the amended soils tended to record higher soil
416 water content than the ones corresponding to the unamended soil, and did so for longer
417 time period. This also explains why the soil water content observed at a depth of 0-20
418 cm, following the order $S < S+GC < S+SMS$, increased (S) or decreased (S+SMS and
419 S+GC) at a depth of 80-100 cm at different sampling times. Changes in soil porosity,
420 aggregation state, pore size and connectivity, water-holding capacity, and hydraulic

421 conductivity induced by the presence of organic amendments rich in OM have been
422 reported (Ingelmo Sánchez and Rubio Delgado, 2008; Yazdanpanah et al., 2016; Marín-
423 Benito et al., 2018) revealing their ability to modify water dynamics.

424 Compared to the first experimental period, higher soil water contents were
425 recorded at 80-100 cm as a result of a rainy period that drove percolation down to
426 deeper soil layers in the second year of experimentation. This effect was observed
427 especially in the unamended soil, showing again how SMS and GC can regulate the
428 percolation. However, the organic amendments' impact on the soil water content
429 profiles was minimised compared to that of the high rainfall recorded between 64 and
430 127 days after the second application (Fig. 1b). This high rainfall (164.8 mm)
431 homogenised the percolation behaviour in both unamended and amended soil profiles.

432

433 **4.2. Mobility of ion bromide in soil profiles: Influence of soil water content**

434 The mobility of Br⁻ down to the deepest soil horizons was fast and probably
435 enhanced by the soil's high moisture in the top 40 cm at the application date (53.6 mm
436 of rainfall were recorded in the previous 27 days, including 34.2 mm in the last 11 days,
437 being compounded by an already rainy autumn) and by the rainfall accumulated in the
438 15 days after the application (21 mm) (Fig. 1a). Some authors have reported rainfall's
439 major influence on overall leachate losses in the period shortly after the application of
440 chemicals (Walker et al., 2005; Willkommen et al., 2019). The Br⁻ behaviour in both
441 amended soils indicated lower mobility than that in S and it is consistent with the slower
442 water percolation observed in these soils through the soil profile, as previously indicated

443 A hypothetical loss of Br⁻ by leaching below 1 m linked to the accumulated
444 rainfall of 203.4 mm from 80 to 339 days could be responsible for the low Br⁻ balances
445 after 80 days. However, its low concentration (< 2%) below 60 cm 151 days after its

446 application and the peak concentrations always upper than 40 cm do not seem to
447 support this hypothesis. Other Br^- sinks could play an important role in the loss of the
448 total balance in the soil including its uptake by wheat plants. This hypothesis is
449 supported by Shtangeeva et al. (2016) investigations on uptake and accumulation of
450 large amounts of Br^- in roots and leaves of wheat plants through a phytoextraction study
451 that includes soils contaminated with KBr.

452 The dynamics of Br^- changed over the second experimental period because it
453 was wetter. An important loss of Br^- by leaching below 1 m was suggested, in contrast
454 to one observed during the first period although S now recorded a similar behaviour to
455 both amended soils. As previously indicated, the important rainfall events recorded
456 between 64 and 127 days after the second application are likely to be homogenised
457 water percolation and Br^- behaviour in the three soil treatments, minimising the effects
458 of the organic amendments. Nevertheless, the lowest total balances of Br^- were again
459 recorded generally in the amended soil profiles, albeit with smaller differences than
460 those previously observed.

461

462 **4.3. Mobility of chlorotoluron in soil profiles as affected by different processes**

463 A fast mobility of the herbicide to deeper soil horizons was observed in the S
464 profile in a similar way to the one indicated previously for Br^- . CTL is a polar
465 compound slightly soluble in water, and it may be easily transported through the sandy-
466 loam soil profile after its application in wet soil and the rainfall events 15 days later
467 (Walker et al., 2005) (Fig. 1a). In general, a greater washing of CTL in S than in the
468 amended soils occurred in the whole soil profile, however no significant differences
469 were observed at any depth. These results are consistent with the adsorption coefficients
470 of CTL by soils from 0-10 cm (0.773 (S), 4.773 (S+SMS), and 2.563 (S+GC) (Table

471 S4), and the relation between herbicide adsorption and initial OC in the soils (increasing
472 in the order $S < S+GC < S+SMS$, Fig S1) which has also been reported to other
473 phenylurea compounds (Cabrera et al., 2007; Hiller et al., 2008; Kodešová et al., 2012;
474 Rodríguez-Cruz et al., 2012). The dissipation of CTL in the surface soil increased in all
475 the treatments over time and the herbicide was simultaneously detected in the entire soil
476 profiles 33 days after its application.

477 In amended soils, CTL mobility was reduced relative to the unamended soil by
478 the water-holding capacity of the 20 cm topsoil layers increased with the SMS and GC
479 application (S $0.230 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$, $S+SMS$ $0.290 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$, $S+GC$ $0.260 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$), which would
480 decrease water percolation in relation to S (Fig. 2) (Marín-Benito et al., 2018). On the
481 other hand, CTL mobility through the unamended and amended soil profiles depends on
482 the properties of each soil layer, mainly OC and DOC contents. These are the main soil
483 parameters influencing herbicide behaviour in soil (Thevenot et al., 2009; Marín-Benito
484 et al., 2013, 2018), and they were modified by the addition of organic residues as
485 previously indicated in section 3.2. In fact, a significant correlation coefficient was
486 found between residual concentrations of CTL and OC content in the amended soil
487 profile after 10, 80, and 229 days, for $S+SMS$ ($r = 0.902-0.975$, $p < 0.05$), and $S+GC$ (r
488 $= 0.880-0.954$, $p < 0.01$) and it was not significant for S ($r = 0.559-0.759$, $p < 0.1$). OC
489 content was lower in the S profile than in the $S+SMS$ and $S+GC$ profiles down to 50 cm
490 (Fig. S1), and it could explain the mobility and presence of herbicide residues at all
491 depths up to 229 days after their application, while they were only detected in $S+GC$
492 and $S+SMS$ up to 60 and 151 days, respectively.

493 CTL leaching dynamics was closer to that detected for Br^- in S than in the
494 amended soils. The lowest total CTL balance in the amended soil profiles could be
495 explained by a greater leaching of compound below a depth of 1 m or a higher

496 degradation in these soil profiles. In our study, DOC content was higher in amended
497 soils than in unamended soil down to 50 cm and increased over time (Fig. S1). DOC
498 content could affect the behaviour of CTL controlling the sorption/desorption of the
499 herbicide as reported by Song et al. (2008) and enhancing its mobility. Yang et al.
500 (2005) have also observed greater CTL adsorption in soils without DOC. The leaching
501 of another phenylurea herbicide, diuron, was also promoted in amended soils, most
502 likely due to the formation of diuron-DOC mobile complexes (Thevenot et al., 2009).

503 The influence of OC and DOC of S+SMS or S+GC on the CTL residual
504 amounts was explored through soil profiles by a multiple correlation analysis
505 considering jointly data down to 50 cm after 10, 80 and 229 days. The equations
506 obtained: $CTL\% = 3.13 - 985 \text{ DOC}(\%) + 42.7 \text{ OC}(\%)$ ($p = 0.001$) in S+SMS, and
507 $CTL\% = -6.99 - 1258 \text{ DOC}(\%) + 62.8 \text{ OC}(\%)$ ($p = 0.002$) in S+GC explained 66% and
508 59% of the CTL residual amounts in the respective amended soil. These equations
509 indicate that DOC had a higher negative effect (mobility increasing) than the positive
510 effect (adsorption increasing) of OC on CTL residual amounts. No significant results
511 were found for S. The results indicated that in the amended soils CTL could remain
512 adsorbed by the soil OC or remain in solution adsorbed by DOC, enhancing the
513 mobility and/or the degradation of the herbicide by microorganisms (Marín-Benito et
514 al., 2012b). The CTL degradation process was consistent with the detection of a CTL
515 metabolite (desmethylchlorotoluron) (Table S1) in the top 10 cm of the soils over the
516 entire first experimental period (339 days) (S+SMS (2.8%) < S+GC (10.6%) < S
517 (23.5%) (Fig. S2) and it was not found below 30 cm in any soil treatment (data not
518 shown). The formation of this metabolite was previously revealed under laboratory
519 conditions at 6 °C (Marín-Benito et al., 2019).

520 Other additional dissipation pathways, such as CTL mineralisation and/or the
521 formation of bound residues by the parent herbicide, could also have occurred
522 simultaneously to CTL leaching (EC, 2005) and support the low total balance of
523 herbicide obtained. Both dissipation pathways would have been facilitated in the
524 S+SMS and S+GC soils by the presence of a higher content of both DOC (more
525 herbicide in solution) and OC (higher adsorption of herbicide in the topsoil),
526 respectively, compared to S, as observed for other pesticides (Marín-Benito et al.,
527 2012b). Finally, it is important to stress that the uptake by wheat roots has been reported
528 as a sink for CTL and other phenylureas (Song et al., 2008; Pascal-Lorber et al., 2010).
529 The scope of this uptake process could be also controlled by DOC content (Song et al.,
530 2008) and affect the total CTL balance although it was not evidenced in this paper.

531 After the second experimental period with higher rainfall, the presence of CTL
532 was higher in the S profile than in S+SMS and S+GC, again indicating a greater
533 leaching of CTL in S than in the amended soils. Unlike the first period, no significant
534 correlation between the residual amounts of CTL, and OC and DOC contents in S+GC
535 profile was found for the second period, and it was of little significance in the S+SMS
536 profile ($R^2 = 22.8\%$, $p = 0.084$). The variable soil water content did not improve the
537 multiple correlations obtained with OC and DOC for explaining the residual amounts of
538 CTL. These results indicate that CTL dissipation after the second application and under
539 a higher rainfall regime was faster than after the first one, as previously indicated for
540 this and other herbicides under field conditions (Rouchaud et al., 2000; Marín-Benito et
541 al., 2018). The CTL dissipation was more explained by degradation rather than by
542 mobility process, especially in the amended soils. The higher DOC content recorded in
543 all the soil profiles in the second period could enhance the amount of CTL in solution,
544 and its availability for the biodegradation. The presence of desmethylchlorotoluron was

545 also detected in the three soil treatments assayed, again being detected mainly in the top
546 10 cm. The total amounts of metabolite formed at this depth over the entire second
547 period (225 days) followed the same order as the first period: S+SMS (4.9%) < S+GC
548 (7.1%) < S (9.0%) (Fig. S2).

549

550 **4.4. Mobility of flufenacet in soil profiles as affected by different processes**

551 FNC mobility in soil profiles was low as also reported by Rouchaud et al. (1999)
552 in a sandy loam with 2.2% of MO, although the results in our experiment indicated that
553 this compound could reach the groundwater in highly permeable soils (USEPA, 1998).
554 The application of SMS and GC to the soil enhanced the residual amounts of FNC as
555 previously observed for CTL (Fig. 4 and 5) but FNC persistence was higher in the
556 topsoils than that of CTL in the first experimental period. FNC is more hydrophobic
557 than CTL, and this could explain this compound's higher persistence in soils (PPDB,
558 2019). The data are in accordance with the adsorption coefficients of FNC by soils from
559 the 0-10 cm layer determined in the laboratory (1.038 (S), 6.340 (S+SMS), and 2.909
560 (S+GC), Table S4). Herbicide adsorption by topsoils was consistent with their initial
561 OC, which increased in the order $S < S+GC < S+SMS$ (Fig. S1) (Gajbhiye and Gupta,
562 2001). FNC mobility was reduced in amended soils as that of CTL by its adsorption by
563 soil OC. A positive relationship between residual concentrations of FNC at 10, 80 and
564 229 days after treatment and OC content in the soil profile was found in the amended
565 soils. Nevertheless, increasing the ageing time in the soil could increase FNC mobility,
566 with this process being favoured by the high accumulated rainfall (39 mm) from 80 to
567 151 days after its application or by the soil DOC content. A significant multiple
568 correlation was found between residual concentrations of FNC, and the OC and DOC
569 contents when jointly considering data down to 50 cm after 10, 80 and 229 days in

570 S+SMS ($\text{FNC}\% = -6.13 - 844 \text{ DOC}\% + 44.6 \text{ OC}\%$) ($R^2 = 72.6$, $p = 0.0002$) and in
571 S+GC ($\text{FNC}\% = -17.5 - 1111 \text{ DOC}\% + 67.3 \text{ OC}\%$) ($R^2 = 59.3$, $p = 0.002$). These
572 correlations corroborate again the negative or positive influence of DOC and OC,
573 respectively, on herbicide residual amounts as observed for CTL. No significant results
574 were found for S. The variable soil water content did not improve the multiple
575 correlations obtained with OC and DOC for explaining the residual amounts of FNC.

576 The lowest total FNC balance observed in the amended soil profiles at the end of
577 the first experimental period could be attributed either to a greater leaching of
578 compound below 1 m or to a higher degradation of the compound in these soil profiles,
579 both enhanced by their higher DOC, as previously explained. However, the presence of
580 the two main metabolites of FNC (FNC-ESA and FNC-OA) was not relevant in any of
581 the three soil profiles over the entire first experimental period. These metabolites were
582 detected in a dissipation study under laboratory conditions with topsoil samples taken *in*
583 *situ* from the experimental plots (Marín-Benito et al., 2019). Only the FNC-OA
584 metabolite was detected, and its presence was observed mainly in the topsoil of the
585 three treatments at different sampling times, but always at concentration levels below
586 the limit of-quantification (LOQ). As reported previously for CTL, other dissipation
587 pathways could also have occurred and to a greater extent in the amended soils.
588 Mineralisation and the formation of non-extractable bound residues were reported for
589 FNC after 100 days varying between 10.2-31.9% and between 6.0-56.2%, respectively
590 (EC, 2003). Like CTL, FNC uptake by the wheat plants could be an additional sink for
591 this herbicide, although no related studies have been found.

592 During the second experimental period, the residual amounts of FNC in the S
593 topsoil decreased as observed for CTL but the residual amounts of FNC increased in the
594 next soil layer (10-20 cm) and in all the soil treatments compared to the first period.

595 DOC and the higher amount of rainfall accumulated during the second experimental
596 period explained this greater residual amount of FNC detected in all the 10-20 cm
597 sections. FNC leaching pattern in the soils was similar to that one observed over the
598 first period. However, it was detected that the losses of FNC below 1 m were null in S
599 and S+GC due to leaching process, and were non relevant in S+SMS due to the low
600 amounts of herbicide detected at this depth over the experimental period (Fig. 5). DOC
601 could favour herbicide mobility in the amended soils after the second application, as
602 previously indicated for the first period, or its degradation. In fact the FNC-OA
603 metabolite was again detected mainly in the topsoil for the three treatments at different
604 sampling times, but always at lower concentrations than the LOQ.

605

606 **4.5. Remarks on the environmental role of organic amendments in herbicides** 607 **mobility**

608 The effect of SMS and GC on the mobility of the herbicides CTL and FNC and
609 the Br⁻ tracer in a sandy soil was assessed over a 2-year field experiment. SMS and GC
610 increased the water-holding capacity of the 20-cm topsoil affecting percolation and
611 leaching of chemicals. However, in unamended and amended soils the rapid mobility of
612 chemicals was initially more affected by the rainfall events recorded shortly after their
613 first application than by the high rainfall recorded. SMS and GC increased the soil OC
614 and DOC with opposite effect on the herbicides' dynamics. While the soil OC enhanced
615 the herbicides' adsorption and/or persistence in the upper soil layers decreasing their
616 downward mobility (especially for the most hydrophobic herbicide FNC), the soil DOC
617 enhanced the herbicides' transport to deeper soil horizons and their bioavailability to be
618 degraded (especially for CTL). Residual Br⁻ and herbicides amounts leached down to 1
619 m in all the treatments over the first period while during the second period large

620 amounts of Br⁻ leached down to 1 m in the three treatments and only residual amounts
621 of herbicides (< 1% of the amount applied) reached the deepest soil layer in S+SMS. A
622 total balance of both herbicides in the soil profile at the end of both experimental
623 periods was not in accordance with the amounts leached below 1 m depth in all
624 treatments. It indicates that other processes different from mobility (degradation,
625 mineralization, formation of non-extractable bound residues, and/or crop uptake) could
626 be involved in the dissipation of the herbicides, especially in the amended soils. The
627 degradation was one of the possible dissipation pathways and it was confirmed by the
628 detection of herbicide metabolites, mainly of CTL.

629

630 **5. Conclusions**

631 The results obtained show that the application of SMS and GC to soil could
632 reduce groundwater contamination by these herbicides by enhancing the adsorption.
633 However, DOC from amendments have to be considered to optimize the amendment
634 doses applied because of its higher DOC content could imply a higher potential risk for
635 groundwater contamination as observed here for SMS relative to GC. Additional studies
636 (now in progress) supported on the dataset obtained in this paper will be carried out for
637 simulations with FOCUS pesticide leaching models. These models integrate the main
638 processes involved in the dynamics of pesticides in the soil and allow predicting
639 possible water contamination and consequently to adopt and assess preventive strategies
640 to avoid it when an amendment is applied.

641

642 **Acknowledgements**

643 This work has been funded by MINECO/FEDER UE (Project AGL2015-69485-R) and
644 MCIU/AEI/FEDER,UE (Project RTI2018-101587-J-I00). M. J. Carpio thanks for her

645 predoctoral contract co-funded by European Social Fund (ESF) and the Consejería de
646 Educación (Junta de Castilla y León Government). C. García-Delgado and J.M. Marín-
647 Benito thank MINECO for their respective Juan de la Cierva-Formación (JCFI-2015-
648 23543) and Juan de la Cierva-Incorporación (IICI-2014-19538) contracts. The authors
649 thank J.M. Ordax for his technical assistance.

650

651 **References**

652 AEPLA. Asociación Empresarial para la Protección de las Plantas. Available online:
653 www.aepla.es (accessed 1 June 2019).

654 Ahmad, R., James, T.K., Rahman, A., Holland, P.T., 2003. Dissipation of the herbicide
655 clopyralid in an allophanic soil: laboratory and field studies. *J. Environ. Sci.*
656 *Health B* 38, 683–695.

657 Álvarez-Martín, A., Sánchez-Martín, M.J., Ordax, J.M., Marín-Benito, J.M., Rodríguez-
658 Cruz, M.S., 2017. Leaching of two fungicides in spent mushroom substrate
659 amended soil: Influence of amendment rate, fungicide ageing and flow
660 condition. *Sci. Total Environ.* 584–585, 828–837.

661 Boesten, J.J.T.I., van der Pas, L.J.T., 2000. Movement of water, bromide and the
662 pesticides ethoprophos and bentazone in a sandy soil: the Vredepeel data set.
663 *Agric. Water Manag.* 44, 21–42.

664 Cabrera, A., Cox, L., Fernández-Hernández, A., García-Ortiz Civantos, C., Cornejo, J.,
665 2009. Field appraisalment of olive mills solid waste application in olive crops:
666 Effect on herbicide retention. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 132, 260–266.

667 Cabrera, A., Cox, L., Velarde, P., Koskinen, W.C., Cornejo, J., 2007. Fate of diuron and
668 terbuthylazine in soils amended with two-phase olive oil mill waste. *J. Agric.*
669 *Food Chem.* 55, 4828–4834.

670 Casado, J., Brigden, K., Santillo, D., Johnston, P., 2019. Screening of pesticides and
671 veterinary drugs in small streams in the European Union by liquid
672 chromatography high resolution mass spectrometry. *Sci. Total Environ.* 670,
673 1204–1225.

674 Chilton, P.J., Stuart, M.E., Goody, D.C., Williams, R.J., Johnson, A.C., 2005.
675 Pesticide fate and behavior in the UK Chalk aquifer, and implications for
676 groundwater quality. *Q. J. Eng. Geol. Hydrogeol.* 38, 65–81.

677 EC (European Commission. Directorate-General Health & Consumer Protection), 2003.
678 Review Report for the Active Substance Flufenacet (30 pp).

679 EC (European Commission. Directorate-General Health & Consumer Protection), 2005.
680 Review Report for the Active Substance Chlorotoluron (54 pp).

681 Filipović, V., Coquet, Y., Pot, V., Houot, S., Benoit, P., 2014. Modeling the effect of
682 soil structure on water flow and isoproturon dynamics in an agricultural field
683 receiving repeated urban waste compost application. *Sci. Total Environ.* 499,
684 546–559.

685 Fingler, S., Mendaš, G., Dvorščak, M., Stipičević, S., Vasilić, Ž., Drevenkar, V., 2017.
686 Herbicide micropollutants in surface, ground and drinking waters within and
687 near the area of Zagreb, Croatia. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 24, 11017–11030.

688 Gajbhiye, V.T., Gupta, S., 2001. Adsorption–desorption behavior of flufenacet in five
689 different soils of India. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 57, 633–639.

690 Herrero-Hernández, E., Marín-Benito, J.M., Andrades, M.S., Sánchez-Martín, M.J.,
691 Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., 2015. Field versus laboratory experiments to evaluate the
692 fate of azoxystrobin in an amended vineyard soil. *J. Environ. Manage.* 163, 78–
693 86.

694 Hiller, E., Krascenits, Z., Cernansky, S., 2008. Sorption of acetochlor, atrazine, 2,4-D,
695 chlorotoluron, MCPA, and trifluralin in six soils from Slovakia. *Bull. Environ.*
696 *Contam. Toxicol.* 80, 412–416.

697 Ingelmo Sánchez, F., Rubio Delgado, J., 2008. Efecto de la aplicación del compost
698 sobre las propiedades físicas y químicas del suelo, in: Moreno-Casco, J., Moral-
699 Herrero, R. (Eds.), *Compostaje*. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, Spain, pp. 305–328.

700 IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015. World reference base for soil resources 2014, update
701 2015. *World Soil Resources Reports No. 106*. ISSN 0532-0488. FAO, Rome.

702 Kocárek, M., Kodesová, R., Kozák, J., Drábek, O., 2010. Field study of chlorotoluron
703 transport and its prediction by the BPS mathematical model. *Soil Water Res.* 5,
704 153–160.

705 Kodesová, R., Kocárek, M., Hajková, T., Hýbler, M., Drábek, O., Kodes, V., 2012.
706 Chlorotoluron mobility in compost amended soil. *Soil Till Res* 118, 88–96.

707 Kodesová, R., Kozák, J., Vacek, O., 2004. Field and numerical study of chlorotoluron
708 transport in the soil profile. *Plant Soil Environ.* 50, 333–338.

709 Langeron, J., Sayen, S., Couderchet, M., Guillon, E., 2014. Leaching potential of
710 phenylurea herbicides in a calcareous soil: comparison of column elution and
711 batch studies. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 4906–4913.

712 López-Piñeiro, A., Peña, D., Albarrán, A., Becerra, D., Sánchez-Llerena, J., 2013.
713 Sorption, leaching and persistence of metribuzin in Mediterranean soils amended
714 with olive mill waste of different degrees of organic matter maturity. *J. Environ.*
715 *Manag.* 122, 76–84.

716 MAPA, 2019. Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación de España.
717 [https://www.mapa.gob.es/ca/agricultura/temas/producciones-agricolas/cultivos-](https://www.mapa.gob.es/ca/agricultura/temas/producciones-agricolas/cultivos-herbaceos/cereales/)
718 [herbaceos/cereales/](https://www.mapa.gob.es/ca/agricultura/temas/producciones-agricolas/cultivos-herbaceos/cereales/) (accessed 2 June 2019).

719 Marín-Benito, J., Sánchez-Martín, M., Rodríguez-Cruz, M., 2016. Impact of spent
720 mushroom substrates on the fate of pesticides in soil, and their use for
721 preventing and/or controlling soil and water contamination: a review. *Toxics*. 4,
722 17.

723 Marín-Benito, J.M., Andrades, M.S., Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., Sánchez-Martín, M.J.,
724 2012a. Changes in the sorption–desorption of fungicides over time in an
725 amended sandy clay loam soil under laboratory conditions. *J. Soil Sediment* 12,
726 1111–1123.

727 Marín-Benito, J.M., Andrades, M.S., Sánchez-Martín, M.J., Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S.,
728 2012b. Dissipation of fungicides in a vineyard soil amended with different spent
729 mushroom substrates. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 60, 6936–6945.

730 Marín-Benito, J.M., Barba, V., Ordax, J.M., Sánchez-Martín, M.J., Rodríguez-Cruz,
731 M.S., 2018. Recycling organic residues in soils as amendments: Effect on the
732 mobility of two herbicides under different management practices. *J. Environ.*
733 *Manag.* 224, 172–181.

734 Marín-Benito, J.M., Brown, C.D., Herrero-Hernández, E., Arienzo, M., Sánchez-
735 Martín, M.J., Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., 2013. Use of raw or incubated organic
736 wastes as amendments in reducing pesticide leaching through soil columns. *Sci.*
737 *Total Environ.* 463–464, 589–599.

738 Marín-Benito, J.M., Carpio, M.J., Sánchez-Martín, M.J., Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., 2019.
739 Previous degradation study of two herbicides to simulate their fate in a sandy
740 loam soil: Effect of the temperature and the organic amendments. *Sci. Total*
741 *Environ.* 653, 1301–1310.

742 Milan, M., Ferrero, A., Fogliatto, S., Piano, S., Vidotto, F., 2015. Leaching of S-
743 metolachlor, terbuthylazine, desethyl-terbuthylazine, mesotrione, flufenacet,

744 isoxaflutole, and diketonitrile in field lysimeters as affected by the time elapsed
745 between spraying and first leaching event. *J. Environ. Sci. Health B* 50, 851–
746 861.

747 Navarro, S., Hernández-Bastida, J., Cazaña, G., Pérez-Lucas, G., Fenoll, J., 2012.
748 Assessment of the leaching potential of 12 substituted phenylurea herbicides in
749 two agricultural soils under laboratory conditions. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 60,
750 5279–5286.

751 Novohatska, O., Stavnichenko, P., Kondratiuk, M., Antonenko, A., Vavrinevich, O.,
752 Omelchuk, S., Bardov, V., 2018. Comparative hygienic evaluation of behavior
753 of different pesticides groups in soil, prediction of risk of ground water
754 contamination and its danger for human health in areas with irrigation farming.
755 *Rawal Med. J.* 43, 129–136.

756 OECD-FAO, 2019. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook (Edition 2018). OECD
757 Agriculture Statistics (database) (accessed 28 March 2019).

758 Pascal-Lorber, S., Alsayeda, H., Jouanin, I., Debrauwer, L., Canlet, C., Laurent, F.O.,
759 2010. Metabolic fate of [14C]diuron and [14C]linuron in wheat (*Triticum*
760 *aestivum*) and radish (*Raphanus sativus*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 58, 10935–
761 10944.

762 Postigo, C., López de Alda, M.J., Barceló, D., Ginebreda, A., Garrido, T., Fraile, J.,
763 2010. Analysis and occurrence of selected medium to highly polar pesticides in
764 groundwater of Catalonia (NE Spain): An approach based on on-line solid phase
765 extraction–liquid chromatography–electrospray–tandem mass spectrometry
766 detection. *J. Hydrol.* 383, 83–92.

767 PPDB, 2019. Pesticide Properties Data Base. University of Hertfordshire, UK
768 <http://sitem.herts.ac.uk/aeru/ppdb/en/index.htm> (accessed 1 July 2019).

769 Renaud, F.G., Brown, C.D., Fryer, C.J., Walker, A., 2004. A lysimeter experiment to
770 investigate temporal changes in the availability of pesticide residues for
771 leaching. *Environ. Pollut.* 131, 81–91.

772 Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., Herrero-Hernández, E., Ordax, J.M., Marín-Benito, J.M.,
773 Draoui, K., Sánchez-Martín, M.J., 2012. Adsorption of pesticides by sewage
774 sludge, grape marc, spent mushroom substrate and by amended soils. *Int. J.*
775 *Environ. Anal. Chem.* 92, 933–948.

776 Rouchaud, J., Neus, O., Blucke, R., Cools, K., Eelen, H., Dekkers, T., 2000. Soil
777 dissipation of diuron, chlorotoluron, simazine and propyzamide, and diflufenican
778 herbicides after repeated application in fruit tree orchards. *Arch. Environ.*
779 *Contam. Toxicol.* 39, 60–65.

780 Rouchaud, J., Neus, O., Cools, K., Bulcke, R., 1999. Flufenacet soil persistence and
781 mobility in corn and wheat crops. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 63, 460–466.

782 Shtangeeva, I., Niemelä, M., Perämäki, P., Ryumin, A., Timofeev, S., Chukov, S.,
783 Kasatkina, G., 2017. Phytoextraction of bromine from contaminated soil. *J.*
784 *Geochem. Explor.* 174, 21–28.

785 Song, N.H., Chen, L., Yang, H., 2008. Effect of dissolved organic matter on mobility
786 and activation of chlorotoluron in soil and wheat. *Geoderma* 146, 344–352.

787 Thevenot, M., Dousset, S., Hertkorn, N., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Andreux, F., 2009.
788 Interactions of diuron with dissolved organic matter from organic amendments.
789 *Sci. Total Environ.* 407, 4297–4302.

790 USEPA, 1998. United States Environmental Protection Agency Pesticide Fact Sheet –
791 Flufenacet. USEPA, Washington, DC (31 pp).

792 Vasilakoglou, I.B., Eleftherohorinos, I.G., Dhima, K.B., 2001. Activity, adsorption and
793 mobility of three acetanilide and two new amide herbicides. *Weed Res.* 41, 535–
794 546.

795 Walker, A., Rodriguez-Cruz, M.S., Mitchell, M.J., 2005. Influence of ageing of residues
796 on the availability of herbicides for leaching. *Environ. Pollut.* 133, 43–51.

797 Willkommen, S., Pfannerstill, M., Ulrich, U., Guse, B., Fohrer, N., 2019. How weather
798 conditions and physico-chemical properties control the leaching of flufenacet,
799 diflufenican, and pendimethalin in a tile-drained landscape. *Agric. Ecosyst.*
800 *Environ.* 278, 107–116.

801 Yang, H., Wu, X., Zhou, L.X., Yang, Z.M., 2005. Effect of dissolved organic matter on
802 chlorotoluron sorption and desorption. *Pedosphere* 15, 432–439.

803 Yazdanpanah, N., Mahmoodabadi, M., Cerdá, A., 2016. The impact of organic
804 amendments on soil hydrology, structure and microbial respiration in semiarid
805 lands. *Geoderma* 266, 58–65.

806

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Rainfall and average temperature monitored over first (a) and second (b) experimental period.

Fig. 2. Mean values of soil water content monitored for each 20-cm depth in unamended (S) and SMS- and GC-amended (S+SMS and S+GC) soils at different sampling times after the herbicides' application (above graphics correspond to the first application and below graphics correspond to the second application). Error bars represent the standard deviation of mean values of plots treated (n=3).

Fig. 3. Distribution profiles of bromide in unamended (S) and SMS- and GC-amended (S+SMS and S+GC) soils at different sampling times after the bromide application (above graphics correspond to the first application and below graphics correspond to the second application). Error bars represent the standard deviation of mean values of plots treated (n=3).

Fig. 4. Distribution profiles of chlorotoluron in unamended (S) and SMS- and GC-amended (S+SMS and S+GC) soils at different sampling times after the herbicide application (left graphics correspond to the first application and right graphics correspond to the second application). Error bars represent the standard deviation of mean values of plots treated (n=3).

Fig. 5. Distribution profiles of flufenacet in unamended (S) and SMS- and GC-amended (S+SMS and S+GC) soils at different sampling times after the herbicide application (left graphics correspond to the first application and right graphics correspond to the second application). Error bars represent the standard deviation of mean values of plots treated (n=3).





Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.




Fig. 4.




Fig 5.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

MOBILITY MONITORING OF TWO HERBICIDES IN AMENDED SOILS: A FIELD STUDY FOR MODELING APPLICATIONS

María J. Carpio^a, M. Sonia Rodríguez-Cruz^a, Carlos García-Delgado^{a,b}, María J. Sánchez-
Martín^a, Jesús M. Marín-Benito^{a,*}

^a *Institute of Natural Resources and Agrobiology of Salamanca (IRNASA-CSIC), Cordel de
Merinas 40-52, 37008 Salamanca, Spain*

^b *Department of Geology and Geochemistry, Autonomous University of Madrid, 28049
Madrid, Spain (Present address)*

*Corresponding author: jesusm.marin@irnasa.csic.es (Jesús M. Marín-Benito)

Author's e-mails:

María J. Carpio: mjose.carpio@irnasa.csic.es

M. Sonia Rodríguez-Cruz: msonia.rodriguez@irnasa.csic.es

Carlos García-Delgado: carlos.garciadelgado@uam.es

María J. Sánchez-Martín: mjesus.sanchez@irnasa.csic.es

Jesús M. Marín-Benito: jesusm.marin@irnasa.csic.es






Number of Pages: 11

Number of Tables: 4

Number of Figures: 2

Materials and Methods

Table S1. Main characteristics of herbicides and their metabolites.

Common name	Chemical structure	IUPAC name	WS ^a (mg L ⁻¹)	Log Kow ^b	Koc/Kfoc ^c (mL g ⁻¹)	DT ₅₀ ^d (days)	GUS index ^e
Chlorotoluron		3-(3-chloro-p-tolyl)-1,1-dimethylurea	74	2.5	196	59	3.02
Desmethylchlorotoluron		3-(3-chloro-p-tolyl)-1-methylurea	-	-	248	60	2.84
Flufenacet		4'-fluoro-N-isopropyl-2-[5-(trifluoromethyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]oxy]acetanilide	51	3.5	273.3	19.7	2.02
Flufenacet-ESA		2-(4-fluoro-N-propan-2-ylanilino)-2-oxoethanesulfonic acid	5500	-	12.5	302	7.20
Flufenacet-OA		((4-fluorophenyl)(isopropyl)amino)oxoacetic acid	-	-	14.0	11.1	2.98

^a Water solubility at 20°C; ^b Octanol/water partition coefficient at pH 7 and 20°C; ^c Sorption coefficient normalized to organic carbon content; ^d Time to degradation 50% of compound; ^e Gustafson mobility index (PPDB, 2019)

Experimental

Characterization of Organic Amendments

The pH and the electric conductivity were determined in a residue/water suspension (1/2.5 and 1/5 w/v ratio, respectively). The electric conductivity was determined using a conductivimeter LF91 (WTW, Weilheim, Germany). Organic matter (OM) content was calculated as 100-% ash being ash percentage determined by weight difference after ignition at 540°C for 24 h. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was determined in a residue/ water suspension (1/100 w/v ratio) after shaking (24 h, 20°C), centrifuging (20 min at 10,000 rpm) and filtering (< 0.45 µm). The DOC content was determined using a Shimadzu 5050 (Shimadzu, Columbia, MD, USA) organic carbon analyzer. Total OC and N were determined using a LECO CN628 (LECO Corporation, Saint Joseph, MI) elemental analyzer. Assimilable P was determined by the Olsen method while assimilable K, Ca and Mg were extracted with ammonium acetate at pH 7 and quantified using a Varian model 720-ES inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometer (Varian Instruments, Palo Alto, CA).

Table S2. Characteristics of the organic amendments spent mushroom substrate (SMS) and green compost (GC) (% dry weight basis).

Parameter/Organic amendment	SMS	GC
pH	7.9	7.2
electric conductivity (S/m)	0.78	0.22
ash (%)	40.6	54.0
moisture (%)	37.7	48.6
OM ^a (%)	59.4	46.0
DOC ^b (mg g ⁻¹)	11.88	7.184
OC ^c (%)	35.0	26.7
N (%)	2.3	1.1
C/N	15.2	24.3
CEC ^d (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	35.1	41.4
P ₂ O ₅ (mg/100g)	59.5	40.3
K ₂ O (mg/100g)	858	458
CaO (mg/100g)	1774	757.3
MgO (mg/100g)	177.7	126.5

^a Organic matter.

^b Dissolved organic carbon.

^c Organic carbon.

^d Cationic exchange capacity.

Characterization of Soil Samples

Soil particle size distribution was determined using the pipette method. Inorganic carbon was determined as CaCO_3 with a Bernard calcimeter. Organic carbon (OC) content was calculated as difference between total C (measured with the LECO CN628 elemental analyser) and inorganic carbon. OM was calculated from the OC results multiplied by 1.724 and DOC was determined in a 1:2 (w/v) water extract as indicated for organic amendments. Clay minerals (montmorillonite, illite and kaolinite) were qualitatively identified in the soil clay fraction by the X-ray diffraction technique using a Philips PW1710 diffractometer (Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

Chemical extraction and analysis

The extraction and analysis of herbicides and their major metabolites formed during the experiment was carried out as indicated in Marín-Benito et al. (2019). Briefly, triplicate subsamples of moist soil (6 g) from each 10-cm segment of unamended or amended soil cores taken at each sampling time were extracted with acetonitrile (12 mL), undergoing an ultrasonic bath (1 h, 20 °C), shaking (24 h, 20 °C), centrifugation (5045 g, 15 min), and filtration (< 0.45 μm). Extracts (8 mL) were evaporated until dryness at 25 °C under a nitrogen stream using an EVA-EC2-L evaporator (VLM GmbH, Bielefeld, Germany). The residue was dissolved in 0.75 mL of acetonitrile and transferred to a glass vial for analysis.

The herbicides and their metabolites were determined by HPLC-DAD-MS using a Waters chromatograph (Waters Assoc., Milford, USA) with a Phenomenex Luna (3 μm C18, 150 \times 4.6 mm) column. The mobile phase was acetonitrile:water + 1% formic acid (80:10). The flow rate was 0.4 mL min^{-1} and the sample injection volume was 20 μL . The detection by DAD was at 243 nm for chlorotoluron and 232 nm for flufenacet. The positive molecular ions (m/z) $[\text{M}+\text{H}^+]$ monitored with a MS detector were 213.04

for chlorotoluron and 364.03 for flufenacet. The retention times were 6.1 min for chlorotoluron and 7.9 min for flufenacet. Monitoring also involved positive molecular ions (m/z) $[M+H^+]$ 198.65 for desmethyl chlorotoluron, and negative molecular ions (m/z) $[M-Na^+]$ 274.26 for flufenacet ESA and $[M-H^+]$ 224.15 for flufenacet OA, respectively. The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) for flufenacet ranged from 0.003 (S+SMS) to 0.005 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (S+GC), and from 0.009 (S+SMS) to 0.016 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (S+GC), respectively. In the case of chlorotoluron, the LOD was 0.002 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ for all the soil treatments, and the LOQ varied between 0.006 (S+GC) and 0.008 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (S+SMS). The recoveries of the extraction method were determined by spiking three soil samples in each treatment with analytical grade herbicide to a final concentration of 3 mg kg^{-1} , performing the extraction procedure as described above. The mean recovery values varied between 101% and 115% for chlorotoluron, and 95% and 101% for flufenacet.

Table S3. Initial characteristics of unamended (S) and SMS- and GC-amended (S+SMS and S+GC) soil profiles.

Treatment Depth	Horizon type	Texture	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	pH	Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	CaCO ₃ (%)	OC ^a (%)	OM ^b (%)	DOC ^c (mg g ⁻¹)	N (%)	C/N	CEC ^d (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	P ₂ O ₅ (mg/100g)	K ₂ O (mg/100g)	CaO (mg/100g)	MgO (mg/100g)	Clay mineralogy ^e
S																			
0-10 cm	Ap	sandy loam	80.4	4.7	14.9	6.34	1.48	0.00	0.77	1.33	0.12	0.05	14.7	8.5	2.3	4.7	104.4	17.4	M, I, K
11-30 cm	Ap	sandy loam	79.7	4.9	15.4	6.62	1.45	0.19	0.91	1.57	0.13	0.07	12.4	7.7	1.5	3.9	108.4	19.3	M, I, K
31-55 cm	Bw	sandy loam	77.4	6.0	16.6	7.13	1.54	0.07	0.51	0.88	0.09	0.04	13.8	6.7	0.9	3.9	96.9	17.4	M, I, K
56-90 cm	2B1	sandy loam	72.9	7.4	19.7	7.36	1.61	0.13	0.27	0.47	0.04	0.02	17.3	6.8	0.6	4.4	93.2	17.8	M, I, K
91-160cm	2Bt/C	sandy clay	68.3	9.7	22.0	7.74	1.60	0.14	0.29	0.50	0.03	0.02	12.0	7.5	0.8	4.7	112.0	25.0	M, I, K
S+SMS																			
0-10 cm	Ap	sandy loam	76.7	6.8	16.5	7.11	1.23	1.01	2.64	4.56	0.50	0.24	11.2	10.9	12.6	149.6	512.8	43.7	M, I, K
11-30 cm	Ap	sandy loam	78.8	5.0	16.2	7.15	1.45	0.19	0.95	1.64	0.39	0.07	13.5	7.8	2.8	8.5	142.2	20.2	M, I, K
S+GC																			
0-10 cm	Ap	sandy loam	78.7	4.7	16.6	6.99	1.34	0.00	1.69	2.92	0.38	0.14	12.5	11.0	6.9	30.0	183.0	29.0	M, I, K
11-30 cm	Ap	sandy loam	79.2	4.7	16.1	6.70	1.45	0.19	0.94	1.63	0.27	0.07	13.0	8.3	3.1	5.8	123.6	21.6	M, I, K

^aOrganic carbon.

^bOrganic matter.

^cDissolved organic carbon.

^dCationic exchange capacity.

^eM, montmorillonite; I, illite; K, kaolinite

Data Analysis

Standard deviation (SD) was used to indicate variability among replicates. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the effects of the different soil treatments and times on soil characteristics and on bromide and herbicide mobility in the soil profile. Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) was determined at a confidence level of 95%. Simple and multiple linear regression models were used to relate chemical mobility to the OC, DOC and water content of soils. SPSS Statistics v22.0 software for Windows (IBM Inc. Chicago, ILL) was used.

Highlights

- Herbicide concentrations were evaluated in soil profiles for 2 years along with Br^- tracer ion and water content
- Organic residues increased the soil's water-holding capacity and the herbicide adsorption by topsoil
- Herbicide transport increased by soluble organic carbon, initial soil moisture and rainfall
- Leaching, degradation and other processes could be involved in the chemicals' dissipation in soils
- The data set obtained is needed to simulate pesticide environmental fate with leaching models