

Methanation of carbon dioxide over ceria-praseodymia promoted Ni-alumina catalysts. Influence of metal loading, promoter composition and alumina modifier

Ali Lechkar^a, Adrián Barroso Bogeat^{b,c,}, Ginesa Blanco^{b,c}, José María Pintado^{b,c}, Mohamed Soussi el Begrani^a*

^aDépartement de Chimie, Equipe de Catalyse Environnementale, Faculté des Sciences, Université Abdelmalek Essaadi B.P. 2121 M'Hannech II, 93002-Tétouan, Morocco

^bDepartamento de Ciencia de los Materiales e Ingeniería Metalúrgica y Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, Campus Río San Pedro s/n, 11510 Puerto Real (Cádiz), Spain

^cInstituto Universitario de Investigación en Microscopía Electrónica y Materiales (IMEYMAT), Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, Campus Río San Pedro s/n, 11510 Puerto Real (Cádiz) Spain

ABSTRACT

Two series of ceria-praseodymia promoted Ni-alumina catalysts were prepared from two different commercial modified alumina supports (3.5 wt.% SiO₂-Al₂O₃ and 4.0 wt.% La₂O₃-Al₂O₃) by the incipient wetness impregnation method in two successive steps. The resulting materials were characterized in terms of their physico-chemical properties by means of N₂ physical adsorption at -196 °C, powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and temperature programmed reduction with H₂ (H₂-TPR). Furthermore, the as-prepared catalysts were tested for the CO₂ methanation reaction in a fixed-bed reactor at atmospheric pressure, gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 72,000 cm³·(h·g_{cat})⁻¹ and CO₂/H₂ molar ratio of 1/4 over the temperature range from 25 up to 850 °C. The influence of the nominal Ni loading (3, 5 and 10 wt.%), molar composition of the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoter (80/20 and 60/40), and alumina modifier (silica and lanthana) on the catalytic performance was carefully analyzed. Among these three composition parameters, the alumina dopant and especially the Ni content appear to have by far a much more pronounced effect on both the CO₂ conversion and CH₄ selectivity as compared to the Ce/Pr mixed oxide composition. Specifically, from the catalytic tests the sample containing a 10 wt.% Ni loading, a Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoter of 80/20 molar composition, and silica as modifier provides the highest catalytic activity in terms of CO₂ conversion and CH₄ selectivity. Such behaviour has been ascribed to a complex interplay between several factors, mainly the larger fraction of catalytically active β-type NiO species and the lesser concentration of strong basic sites on the catalyst surface, as well as the electron back donation effect from the surface Ni atoms to the adsorbed CO_x species, which favours the C–O bond

* Corresponding author at: Departamento de Ciencia de los Materiales e Ingeniería Metalúrgica y Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, Campus Río San Pedro s/n, 11510 Puerto Real (Cádiz), Spain
E-mail adress: adrian.barroso@uca.es (Adrián Barroso-Bogeat)


cleavage (i.e., the rate-determining step of the methanation reaction). These findings are expected to be very helpful in order to rationally design synthetic strategies that allow developing highly active and low cost Ni-based catalysts for the CO₂ methanation reaction.

Keywords: CO₂ methanation; Ni-alumina catalysts; ceria-praseodymia promoter; modified alumina support

1. Introduction

Carbon-rich fossil fuels (i.e., petroleum, coal and natural gas) are expected to remain as the dominant worldwide source of energy through at least the next two decades [1]. This situation, together with the low efficiency of the energetic processes, has led to a steady and significant raise of the atmospheric levels of CO₂ [2-5], which is considered by far the major human-related greenhouse gas. In this regard, it should be highlighted that recent studies have estimated an excess of around 3.9% of CO₂ with respect to the natural “carbon cycle” [6,7]. Such an increase is generally agreed to be the primary reason for the rapid global warming observed during the past decades, with its inherent climate change and subsequent environmental disasters including sea level rise, desertification and extinction of species, among others [7,8]. Therefore, the reduction of the global CO₂ emissions is still an environmental issue of major concern and a real challenge for the international scientific community. As a result, intensive efforts are being devoted to the development of new technologies which allow reducing the buildup of CO₂ in the atmosphere. In principle, three main solutions have been proposed: (i) to reduce the amount of CO₂ produced, which requires both an improvement of energetic efficiency and a change in the primary energy source from C-rich fossil fuels to hydrogen and renewable energies, (ii) to capture CO₂ at its source and store it in the geological subsurface so that it will no longer be able to contribute to the global warming, and (iii) to promote the conversion of CO₂ into a variety of useful chemicals or fuels of high added value, such as methane, methanol, ethanol or dimethylether, by using appropriate catalysts [2,7-20].

Concerning the last strategy, CO₂ methanation (i.e., the reaction between CO₂ and H₂ yielding CH₄) emerges as the most advantageous reaction, since it is notably faster when compared to other hydrogenation reactions leading to the formation of hydrocarbons or alcohols [21]. Although the methanation reaction was first reported by Sabatier and Senderens in the early 1900s [22], it has only been applied on an industrial scale since 1970s, chiefly in the purification of synthesis gas for ammonia production.



As can be seen, the methanation of CO₂ is an exothermic and thermodynamically favourable reaction. Nevertheless, a complex process involving 8 electrons and affected by significant kinetic limitations is required in order to reduce the fully oxidized carbon atom to

CH₄. Moreover, the activation of the CO₂ molecule at low temperature is very difficult because of its high chemical inertness and thermodynamic stability. Therefore, the use of a suitable catalyst is imperative to achieve acceptable reaction rates and selectivities to CH₄, especially at low temperatures [3].

A number of supported and unsupported noble and transition metal catalysts (i.e., Ru, Rh, Pd, Pt, Ir, Os, Fe, Co, Cu, etc.) have been tested for CO₂ methanation [13,23-27]. Among them, those based on Ni supported on a variety of metal oxides, including TiO₂, SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, ZrO₂, Y₂O₃, CeO₂, and so on, are by far the most extensively investigated under widely varying experimental conditions [13,19,28-31]. The best performances in terms of catalytic activity and selectivity to CH₄ have been reported for Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts, together with high chemical and physical stability, mechanical resistance and relatively low price [5,29,31-35]. However, the main drawback associated with such catalysts is their rapid deactivation due to the sintering of Ni nanoparticles and severe carbon deposition when operating in high temperature conditions [5,36]. A plausible strategy to overcome this shortcoming consists of the design and development of novel promoted Ni-based catalysts, combining high catalytic activity, selectivity and resistance against carbon deposition, by incorporating rare earth oxides, such as lanthana, ceria, samaria and praseodymia [37,38]. Thus, CeO₂ has been frequently proposed as structural and electronic promoter for Ni catalysts because, as unique virtues, it is able to confer the following beneficial effects: (i) improves the thermal stability of alumina [38], (ii) increases the dispersion of Ni on the support [40,41], and (iii) modifies the properties of Ni by means of strong metal-support interactions [36,42].

In the present work, from two commercial modified aluminas, two series of novel ceria-praseodymia promoted Ni-alumina catalysts were prepared by a simple incipient wetness impregnation method in two successive steps (i.e., the first cycle for ceria-praseodymia mixed oxide and the second one for Ni). Resulting samples were characterized in terms of their physico-chemical properties by several techniques and tested as catalysts for the CO₂ methanation reaction at atmospheric pressure and temperatures up to 850 °C in a fixed-bed reactor. The effects of the alumina support, the Ce/Pr molar ratio of the promoter and the Ni loading on the catalyst properties and catalytic performance were comprehensively examined and discussed. It is noteworthy that, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that the use of ceria-praseodymia mixed oxides as promoters in Ni/alumina catalysts for the CO₂ methanation is reported.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and reagents

Two commercial silica- and lanthana-modified alumina samples kindly supplied by Grace Davison, as received without any further treatment, were used as catalyst supports. Silica and lanthana contents were 3.5 wt.% and 4.0 wt.%, respectively. Metal nitrates, which are readily soluble in water, were employed as precursors. These were $\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Pr}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, all of them being of analytical grade (i.e., > 99.9%) and directly used without additional purification. Both $\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Pr}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were purchased from Rhodia (France), whereas $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was procured from BDH Chemicals (United Kingdom).

2.2. Preparation of the catalysts

The preparation of the ceria-praseodymia promoted Ni-alumina catalysts was carried out by the method of incipient wetness impregnation [43-46] in two consecutive steps, as briefly described below. First, two Ce/Pr mixed oxides, with Ce/Pr molar ratios of 80/20 and 60/40, were deposited over both alumina supports by impregnation in one cycle with an aqueous solution containing a mixture of $\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Pr}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in the appropriate molar ratio. The final mixed oxide loading was set to 25 wt.% with respect to alumina in all cases. After impregnation, the solids were oven-dried at 100 °C overnight, ground in an agate mortar, sieved, and then calcined in a muffle furnace with a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹ up to 500 °C and maintained at this temperature for 6 h. Such calcination temperature was selected in order to ensure the complete thermal decomposition of nitrates to metal oxides after impregnation and oven-drying, as previously demonstrated elsewhere [44]. The final composition of the resulting alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide systems was: 25% $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{O}_{2-\delta}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-SiO}_2$, 25% $\text{Ce}_{0.6}\text{Pr}_{0.4}\text{O}_{2-\delta}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-SiO}_2$, 25% $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{O}_{2-\delta}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-La}_2\text{O}_3$, and 25% $\text{Ce}_{0.6}\text{Pr}_{0.4}\text{O}_{2-\delta}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-La}_2\text{O}_3$. Herein, they will henceforth be referred to as CP(80/20)/Al-Si, CP(60/40)/Al-Si, CP(80/20)/Al-La, and CP(60/40)/Al-La, respectively. The final Ni catalysts were prepared by impregnation of the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide samples with an aqueous solution containing the required $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ amount to achieve a nominal Ni loading of 3, 5 and 10 wt.% in the final products after one impregnation cycle. Subsequently, the impregnated samples in a series of successive steps were oven-dried at 100 °C for 24 h, ground, sieved and finally calcined in a muffle furnace with a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹ up to 500 °C and held at such temperature for 2 h.

As a whole, a broadly varied series of catalysts were prepared depending on the nature of the dopant introduced to stabilize the alumina support, the Ce/Pr molar ratio in the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoter, and the nominal Ni loading. The codes assigned to the as-prepared catalysts are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Preparation of the catalysts. Sample codes

| Support | Ce/Pr molar ratio | Nominal Ni loading / wt. % | Code |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Al ₂ O ₃ -3.5 wt.% SiO ₂ | 80/20 | 3 | Ni(3)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si |
| | | 5 | Ni(5)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si |
| | | 10 | Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si |
| | 60/40 | 5 | Ni(5)/CP(60/40)/Al-Si |
| | | 10 | Ni(10)/CP(60/40)/Al-Si |
| | | | |
| Al ₂ O ₃ -4.0 wt.% La ₂ O ₃ | 80/20 | 3 | Ni(3)/CP(80/20)/Al-La |
| | | 5 | Ni(5)/CP(80/20)/Al-La |
| | | 10 | Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-La |
| | 60/40 | 5 | Ni(5)/CP(60/40)/Al-La |
| | | 10 | Ni(10)/CP(60/40)/Al-La |
| | | | |

2.3. Characterization of the catalysts

Textural characterization of the fresh catalysts was performed by physical adsorption of N₂ at -196 °C using an automatic Autosorb iQ₃ equipment (Quantachrome) and working with relative pressures (p/p^0) in the range between 0.01 to 1.0. Before effecting the adsorption-desorption measurements, about 100 mg of sample was outgassed under vacuum at 200 °C for 2 h in order to remove moisture and other gases from the laboratory atmosphere adsorbed on the sample surface. The measured N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms provided valuable information regarding the pore size distribution in the micro- and mesoporosity regions, surface area and pore volume. The pore size distribution curves in the mesopore range were estimated by applying the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method [47] to the desorption branch of the isotherms. The apparent surface areas (S_{BET}) were calculated by means of the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) equation [48], which as a rule was applied in the p/p^0 range from 0.05 to 0.20. Furthermore, the total pore volumes (V_p) were derived from the volumes of N₂ adsorbed at $p/p^0 = 0.99$, expressed as liquid volumes.

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected for the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide samples as well as for both the fresh and spent Ni catalysts at room temperature in a PANalytical X'Pert PRO diffractometer, operating with Ni-filtered Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$) at 40 kV and 40 mA. The specific acquisition conditions were: 2θ range from 10° to 90°, step size 0.06°, and step counting time 120 s. Crystalline phases present in the samples were identified and indexed by comparing peak positions and intensities with JCPDS standard cards and with data previously reported in the literature.

The redox behaviour both of the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide samples and the corresponding Ni catalysts was characterized by temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) followed by mass spectrometry (MS). TPR-MS experiments were conducted in a conventional

experimental device coupled to a quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermostar GSD301T1, Pfeiffer Vacuum). The amount of sample typically employed in each run was around 200 mg. Prior to starting the reduction experiments, all the samples were subjected to a standard cleaning pretreatment consisting of oxidation in a $60 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ STP flow of $\text{O}_2(5\%)/\text{He}$ at $500 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 1 h, followed by slow cool down under the same oxidizing gas mixture to $150 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and then the flow was switched to pure He for further cooling down to room temperature. After this pretreatment, the TPR-MS analyses were performed in a flow of $60 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ STP of $\text{H}_2(5\%)/\text{Ar}$ from room temperature up to $950 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ at a heating rate of $10 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. The samples were held at this maximum temperature for 1 h. The main mass/charge (m/z) ratios recorded during the above experiments were 2 (H_2^+) to monitor the H_2 consumption and 18 (H_2O^+) for the concomitant formation of water.

2.4. Catalytic activity tests

CO_2 methanation experiments were carried out at atmospheric pressure in a U-shaped fixed-bed continuous flow quartz reactor loaded with a mixture of about 50 mg of catalyst and 100 mg of SiC as diluent, which was held by quartz wool. The incorporation of SiC was primarily aimed at avoiding the generation of hot spots along the catalyst bed during the catalytic tests. This reactor was introduced inside a vertical tubular electric furnace and the hot junction of a K-type thermocouple was placed close to the central part of the catalyst bed in order to accurately control the temperature in situ during the pretreatment and reaction. Prior to starting the catalytic essays, the catalysts were subjected to a reductive pretreatment in a $60 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ STP flow of $\text{H}_2(5\%)/\text{Ar}$ at $700 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 30 min with a heating ramp of $10 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, followed by purging with a $60 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ STP flow of pure Ar at the same temperature for 10 min to remove the chemisorbed H_2 . Such pretreatment temperature was selected in order to ensure the complete reduction of the supported Ni^{2+} species to metallic Ni while avoiding the formation of undesirable lanthanide aluminate phases with perovskite-type structure, as will be comprehensively discussed in a later section. Afterwards, the system was allowed to cool down to room temperature under the same Ar flow and then a gaseous mixture of $\text{CO}_2(5\%)/\text{He}$ and $\text{H}_2(5\%)/\text{Ar}$ with a molar ratio of 1/4 was fed to the reactor at a total flow rate of $60 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ STP. The methanation reaction was performed from room temperature up to $850 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ with a heating rate of $10 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ and a gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of $72,000 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot (\text{h} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{cat}})^{-1}$. Such a maximum temperature was maintained for 30 min before cooling down to room temperature under the same reactant mixture flow. Effluent stream from the reactor was analyzed and the concentration of the gases of interest was quantified on line by a quadrupole mass spectrometer (Prisma QME-200-D, Pfeiffer Vacuum). The m/z ratios corresponding to the mass fragments of H_2 , He, CH_4 , H_2O , CO, and CO_2 were registered. CO_2 conversion

(abbreviated as X_{CO_2}) and CH_4 selectivity and yield (denoted as S_{CH_4} and Y_{CH_4} , respectively) were calculated by applying the following expressions:

$$X_{CO_2}(\%) = \left(\frac{Q_{CO_2,in} - Q_{CO_2,out}}{Q_{CO_2,in}} \right) \cdot 100 \quad (2)$$

$$S_{CH_4}(\%) = \left(\frac{Q_{CH_4,out}}{Q_{CO_2,in} - Q_{CO_2,out}} \right) \cdot 100 \quad (3)$$

$$Y_{CH_4}(\%) = \left(\frac{Q_{CH_4,out}}{Q_{CO_2,in}} \right) \cdot 100 \quad (4)$$

where $Q_{CO_2,in}$ represents the molar flow rate ($\text{mol} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) of CO_2 in the inlet stream, whereas $Q_{CO_2,out}$ and $Q_{CH_4,out}$ stand for the molar flow rates of CO_2 and CH_4 in the outlet stream, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the fresh catalysts

The N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms measured for the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide samples are depicted together in Fig. 1(a) for comparison purposes. Such isotherms by their shape belong to type IV of the IUPAC classification system [49], so that these materials can be considered as essentially mesoporous solids. In this regard, it should be noted that the small adsorption of N_2 at very low p/p^0 values (i.e., $p/p^0 < 0.1$) confirms the absence of any significant microporosity in the materials. Moreover, the steady but non-regular increase of the N_2 adsorption with the gradual rise of p/p^0 in the range from 0.1-0.2 to 0.8-0.9 as well as the much more pronounced increase at higher p/p^0 values close to 1 indicate that these samples possess a relatively broad pore size distribution in the region of mesoporosity. Furthermore, all isotherms exhibit well defined hysteresis loops in the desorption branches, associated with capillary condensation phenomena in mesopores wider than ~ 4 nm [49,50]. According to the recently updated IUPAC classification, the hysteresis loops closely resemble type H3, which is characteristic of non-rigid aggregates of plate-like particles giving rise to slit-shaped pores [49]. For the sake of brevity, the N_2 isotherms measured for the Ni catalysts have been omitted in the above figure since they are very similarly shaped to those registered for the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide systems; the only noticeable change affected the amount of adsorbed N_2 as a result of the different surface area of the samples. Therefore, it follows that the preparation process of the Ni catalysts by impregnation and subsequent calcination did not bring about relevant modifications in the pore size distribution of the alumina-supported Ce/Pr oxides. This conclusion was corroborated from the pore size distributions estimated both for the supports and

the Ni catalysts by applying the BJH method to the desorption branch of the corresponding isotherms. Such curves for selected samples are shown in Fig. 1(b). The only maximum centred at around 10 nm pore diameter in the plots denotes that the porosity distribution was monomodal for all the samples, irrespective of the commercial modified-alumina employed in their preparation. However, the distribution was slightly wider for the series of samples prepared from Si-doped alumina as compared to those obtained from La-doped alumina. Also notice that the peak is somewhat less intense and narrower for the Ni catalysts than for their corresponding supports, the effect being noticeably stronger with increasing Ni loading in the catalyst. This behaviour has been attributed to a certain blockage of the porosity of the support caused by the deposition of Ni particles, as previously reported for other lanthanide-promoted Ni-alumina catalysts [38].




Fig. 1. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms at -196°C of alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides (a) and pore size distributions of selected Ni catalysts (b).

The textural data obtained for the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide systems and the respective Ni catalysts are gathered in Table 2. As expected, for most of the prepared catalyst samples the incorporation of Ni led to a slight decrease both in the specific surface area and pore volume as compared to their respective supports, the reduction being obviously greater for those catalysts containing a higher Ni amount. In this connection, the specific surface area varied between ca. 132 and 153 $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ for the Si-doped catalysts, and in the narrower range from ca. 131 to 142 $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ for their La-modified counterparts.

Table 2. Textural data for the prepared alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides and Ni catalysts.

| Sample | $S_{\text{BET}} / \text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ | $V_{\text{p}} / \text{cm}^3\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ |
|------------------------|---|--|
| CP(80/20)/Al-Si | 128.6 | 0.62 |
| Ni(3)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si | 153.2 | 0.59 |
| Ni(5)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si | 142.9 | 0.58 |
| Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si | 136.4 | 0.57 |
| CP(60/40)/Al-Si | 141.9 | 0.66 |
| Ni(5)/CP(60/40)/Al-Si | 131.9 | 0.54 |
| Ni(10)/CP(60/40)/Al-Si | 136.1 | 0.51 |
| CP(80/20)/Al-La | 154.5 | 0.51 |
| Ni(3)/CP(80/20)/Al-La | 142.0 | 0.49 |
| Ni(5)/CP(80/20)/Al-La | 131.1 | 0.45 |
| Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-La | 140.3 | 0.45 |
| CP(60/40)/Al-La | 115.7 | 0.51 |
| Ni(5)/CP(60/40)/Al-La | 141.3 | 0.50 |
| Ni(10)/CP(60/40)/Al-La | 131.9 | 0.45 |

The structural characterization of both series of alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide systems and Ni catalysts was accomplished by powder XRD. The recorded diffractograms are displayed in Fig. 2. As can be seen from this figure, they are all very similarly shaped regardless of the alumina dopant, being by far dominated by the characteristic reflections attributable to the cubic fluorite-type structure (space group $Fm-3m$) typical of ceria and ceria-praseodymia mixed oxides. The assignment of these peaks to a single or several $\text{Ce}_x\text{Pr}_{1-x}\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ crystalline phases is an extremely complex task in view of the well known tendency of Pr to form a variety of stoichiometric and non-stoichiometric sub-oxides represented by the general formula PrO_x with $x \leq 2$, whose diffraction patterns only differ slightly from that for pure ceria [51]. In this regard, the Ce/Pr mixed oxide peaks are asymmetric, with shoulders appearing at the left side of the main peak, thus suggesting the presence in the samples of at least two segregated fluorite-type phases with different cerium contents. One of them can be assigned to a cerium-rich mixed oxide giving rise to the main reflections observed in the diagrams at 2θ values close to those of pure ceria, whereas the other one can be identified as a praseodymium-rich phase (or even pure praseodymia) related to the aforesaid shoulders. The shift of the peaks of this praseodymium-rich mixed oxide toward somewhat lower 2θ values with regard to the position of pure ceria

peaks is ascribable to a significant enlargement of the lattice parameter of the latter oxide due to the incorporation of some Pr^{3+} cations, which are notably bigger than Ce^{4+} cations (i.e., cationic radii were estimated to be 0.113 nm for Pr^{3+} and 0.097 nm for Ce^{4+} [43,52]). These results seem to be well in agreement with those previously found for identical alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides by means of both Rietveld analysis and advanced electron microscopy techniques [43,45]. These works also revealed that the spatial distribution of the praseodymium-rich phase was markedly different depending on the alumina modifier: as nanosized particles in the samples prepared from the Si-doped alumina and as a highly dispersed phase in the La-modified alumina samples. Furthermore, it should be noted that the diffraction peaks asymmetry and thereby the segregation of cerium-rich and poor crystalline phases is more evident for the supported mixed oxides with a Ce/Pr molar ratio of 80/20. In addition to the well defined Ce/Pr mixed oxide diffraction peaks, other much less intense reflections associated with γ -alumina polymorph can also be distinguished in the XRD diagrams.

After the successive Ni impregnation and calcination steps, a few broad and very weak reflections ascribable to nickel oxide (NiO) with face-centred cubic structure (space group $Fm\bar{3}m$) at 37.2° , 43.2° , 62.8° and 79.4° as well as to nickel aluminate (NiAl_2O_4) with spinel-type structure (space group $Fd\bar{3}m$) at 37.0° , 44.0° and 65.5° can be observed in the XRD patterns of the resulting catalyst samples, thus suggesting that these Ni-containing phases as a rule are highly dispersed on the surface of the supports and exhibit very small crystallite sizes. As expected, such diffraction peaks become gradually more intense and sharper with increasing Ni loading in the catalysts from 3 to 10 wt.%, which is unambiguously connected with a growth of crystallite size for both Ni species as previously suggested from textural characterization data. The formation of the aluminate phase clearly indicates that the Ni^{2+} species in the impregnation aqueous solution not only interacted intimately with the supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides but also with the modified-alumina substrates during the preparation process of the catalysts.




Fig. 2. Powder XRD patterns of alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides and fresh Ni catalysts.

Caption: ■ $\text{Ce}_x\text{Pr}_{1-x}\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ fluorite structure, ● $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, □ NiO, and ○ NiAl_2O_4 .

The reduction behaviour of both the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide systems and the corresponding Ni catalysts was studied by means of the TPR-MS technique in H₂(5%)/Ar. For the sake of brevity, only the curves registered for the samples containing a 10 wt.% nominal Ni loading after the standard cleaning pretreatment in O₂(5%)/He at 500 °C for 1 h are plotted in Fig. 3, as they are considered to be representative of the overall redox behaviour of the prepared catalysts. As far as the diagrams for the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides are concerned, readers are referred to our previous works [46,53]. In brief, both CP(80/20)/Al-Si and CP(80/20)/Al-La samples exhibit a quite similar behaviour at temperatures ranging from 300 to 800 °C. For the former, the onset of water evolution occurs at 350 °C with two maxima centred at around 400 and 580 °C, while for the latter the reduction starts at a somewhat higher temperature, the first peak being located at 450 °C and the second one also at 580 °C. By comparing these TPR profiles with that obtained for a bulk mixed oxide prepared with identical Ce/Pr molar ratio [53,54], the first maximum could be assigned to the reduction of most Pr⁴⁺, whereas the second peak could be mainly attributed to the reduction of Ce⁴⁺ [55,56]. In addition to the reduction of the supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides, the evolved water coming from the dehydroxylation of the alumina supports should also be borne in mind. Indeed, the release of a small amount of water between 660 and 800 °C has been evidenced during the TPR runs carried out for both alumina substrates under the same conditions as for their respective supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides [53], likely as a result of the removal of residual hydroxyl groups remaining in the samples after the cleaning pretreatment. Finally, other prominent features of the curves are the sharp and intense peaks centred at about 940 °C, which have been connected with the bulk reduction of the supported mixed oxides and the subsequent incorporation of the Ce³⁺ and/or Pr³⁺ cations into the alumina matrix to yield a lanthanide aluminate phase (LnAlO₃, Ln standing for Ce³⁺ and/or Pr³⁺) with perovskite-type structure (space group *Pm-3m*) [45,46,53,57-59]. In view of the relative intensity of the aforesaid peaks, the extent of the aluminate formation seems to be much greater for the La-modified samples as compared to those containing Si, which may act as a barrier against diffusion of the lanthanide cations into the alumina lattice [45]. Regarding the Ni catalysts, a number of processes involving the reduction of both the supported Ni phases and Ce/Pr mixed oxides (observed as H₂ consumption accompanied by the concomitant water evolution), as well as the alumina dehydroxylation (detected only as water release), occur along the entire temperature range, thus leading to the complex TPR profiles shown in Fig. 3. Such complexity is exacerbated by the fact that several reducible NiO species giving rise to reduction peaks at characteristic temperatures are likely to be present in the fresh catalysts depending on the nature of their interaction with the oxide support [60,61]. Furthermore, it is also worth highlighting that the aforesaid NiO species may be supported both on the Ce/Pr mixed oxides and the modified aluminas, thus making the interpretation of the TPR curves an even more difficult task. According to the most common

interpretation found in the literature, three different reducible NiO species have been identified in supported Ni catalysts: α , β and γ [62-64]. The reduction peak associated with α -type NiO appears between 460 and 500 °C and has been usually assigned to surface amorphous species or to very fine reducible species, which weakly interacted with the support. Thus, the greatly sloped shoulder at around 470 °C displayed by the TPR profile for the Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-La catalyst may be indicative of the presence in the sample of this kind of species. In this connection, it should be pointed out that the reduction of α -NiO has been suggested to promote the formation of large size Ni particles [65], which would account for the noticeable increase in the average crystallite size (as estimated from the respective XRD patterns by the Scherrer equation) of metallic Ni with respect to that of NiO for all the prepared catalysts after their reduction at 700 °C. β -type NiO brings about a prominent and relatively broad reduction band at mid temperatures with a maximum typically centred in the range from 600 to 700 °C, being related to NiO species in a stronger interaction degree with the support than α -type NiO ones [65,66]. The clearly visible maxima at around 620 and 650 °C in the TPR traces for the Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-La and Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si catalysts, respectively, seem to indicate that these β -type NiO species are the predominant among those containing Ni and present in the samples. Finally, the shoulders registered in the vicinity of 800 °C may be ascribed to the reduction of NiAl₂O₄ (i.e., the so-called γ -type NiO) [62,65,67], whose existence in the calcined catalysts in the form of well dispersed and very small crystallites had been previously inferred from their XRD patterns.




Fig. 3. TPR-MS profiles of representative fresh Ni catalysts calcined at 500 °C.

Metallic Ni is generally agreed to be the catalytic active phase for CO₂ methanation [31,68,69], so it becomes evident that the catalysts prepared in the present work must be

subjected to a reduction pretreatment prior to performing the catalytic tests [70] in order to transform the supported NiO and NiAl₂O₄ species into metallic Ni. Nevertheless, it should be taken into account that the alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides undergo significant structural changes during the reduction treatments, which ultimately give rise to the formation of a lanthanide aluminate phase (LnAlO₃, Ln standing for La³⁺, Ce³⁺ and/or Pr³⁺) with perovskite-type structure (space group *Pm-3m*). Such phase is well known to be very stable, thus resulting in a dramatic redox deactivation of the supported mixed oxide due to the blockage of the reducible Ce and Pr cations in the +3 oxidation state [45,46]. According to our previous works [45,46], the temperature at which the formation of the undesirable aluminate phase starts during the reduction treatment strongly depends on the nature of the alumina modifier, being of around 800 °C for the La-doped samples and 900 °C for the Si-modified ones. In addition to this, high reduction temperatures usually cause sintering of the Ni (nano)particles supported on the catalyst surface, leading to a marked loss of active surface area and thereby to a reduction of the activity and even to the total deactivation of the catalyst [70]. Therefore, the temperature of the reduction pretreatment should be high enough to ensure the complete reduction of the different Ni²⁺ species present in the catalyst samples to metallic Ni, but also low enough to avoid the formation of the perovskite-like phase with its inherent loss of the redox properties of the Ce/Pr mixed oxides, as well as the sintering of the active Ni (nano)particles. Based on the TPR profiles shown in Fig. 3, a temperature of 700 °C has been selected to carry out the reduction pretreatment of the fresh catalysts. In order to check both the absence of the lanthanide aluminate and the presence of metallic Ni in the resulting prereduced samples, their XRD patterns were acquired (not displayed here for the sake of brevity). From them, the reduction of Ni²⁺ species is confirmed by the appearance of reflection peaks ascribable to metallic Ni with face-centred cubic structure (space group *Fm-3m*), while no evidence of the formation of the perovskite phase is found at the detection level of the XRD technique. Finally, it should be highlighted that both the catalytic activity and selectivity of Ni-based catalysts for CO₂ methanation are largely influenced by the reduction pretreatment [70]. In this regard, it has been reported that for Ni-alumina catalysts low reduction temperatures as a rule favour the production of higher hydrocarbons, whereas higher temperatures are associated with a greater activity and selectivity towards CH₄ [71].

3.2. Catalytic activity

Fig. 4 depicts the results of the catalytic activity tests for the as-prepared Ni catalysts in the reaction of CO₂ methanation over the temperature range from 200 up to 600 °C (i.e., data registered in the temperature ranges from 25 to 200 °C and above 600 °C have been omitted in the plots due to both the CH₄ yield and selectivity are almost negligible). From these figures, it becomes apparent that all the catalysts exhibit a similar behaviour in terms of CH₄ yield, CO₂

conversion and CH₄ selectivity, being completely inactive at temperatures below 200 °C. As far as CH₄ yield is concerned (see Fig. 4(a)), the traces closely resemble a Gaussian-type curve (i.e., volcano-shaped trend), with maxima as a rule centred at 350 °C for Si-doped catalysts and at somewhat higher temperatures around 400 °C for their La-modified counterparts. Such a profile consisting of an increase of CH₄ yield with temperature until a maximum is reached, followed by a drop at greater temperatures, is a direct consequence both of the thermodynamics and kinetics of the process. It is well known that CO₂ methanation is a strongly exothermic reaction, which renders it unfavourable under high temperature conditions leading to very low CH₄ yield values. Furthermore, the reverse water gas shift reaction (rWGS, eq. (5)), which is an endothermic process, starts to dominate over the methanation reaction in this temperature range, as clearly seen from the CO yield plots gathered in Figs. 4(b) and (c).



This predominance of the rWGS reaction results in the preferential formation of CO instead of CH₄ and further reduces the CH₄ yield [20,69,72,73]. On the other hand, the CO₂ methanation is a very complex process involving an 8-electron reduction and thereby affected by severe kinetic limitations, so that in addition to the presence of the catalyst a certain temperature is required to achieve significant amounts of CH₄, giving rise to the ascending branch of the curves [38].

Regarding the CO₂ conversion, the plots in Figs. 4(d) and (e) show a steady but non-regular increase over the whole investigated temperature range, with two sections of markedly different slope being clearly observed. The first portion extends from 200 °C up to a temperature close to that at which the maximum of the CH₄ yield curve is reached (i.e., around 350 °C for Si-modified catalysts and 400 °C for La-doped ones) and the CO₂ conversion is almost exclusively attributable to the CO₂ methanation, the contribution of rWGS reaction at such temperatures being very low due to its endothermic character, as can be deduced from Figs. 4(b) and (c)). Specifically, the CO yield as a rule is estimated to be below 10% at 350 °C for all the tested samples and slightly higher for La-modified catalysts as compared to those containing Si. Moreover, at this temperature the catalytic performance is observed to increase in the following order: Ni(3)/CP(80/20)/Al-La < Ni(5)/CP(60/40)/Al-La < Ni(3)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si ≈ Ni(5)/CP(80/20)/Al-La < Ni(5)/CP(60/40)/Al-Si < Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-La ≈ Ni(10)/CP(60/40)/Al-La < Ni(5)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si < Ni(10)/CP(60/40)/Al-Si < Ni(10)/CP(80/20)/Al-Si. By contrast, the second section comprises temperatures above 350-400 °C, where the conversion of CO₂ into CO through the rWGS reaction becomes dominant while the production of CH₄ starts to decline. In fact, from Figs. 4(b) and (c) it is evident that beyond 550 °C the CO₂ conversion may be entirely ascribed to the aforementioned reaction.




Fig. 4. Catalytic performance of the fresh Ni catalysts in the CO₂ methanation at atmospheric pressure, GHSV of 72,000 cm³·(h·g_{cat})⁻¹ and CO₂/H₂ molar ratio of 1/4 over the temperature range from 200 to 600 °C. (a) CH₄ yield, (b) CO yield for Si-doped catalysts, (c) CO yield for La-doped catalysts, (d) CO₂ conversion for Si-doped catalysts, (e) CO₂ conversion for La-doped catalysts, and (f) CH₄ selectivity.

Finally, the CH₄ selectivity curves displayed in Fig. 4(f) are similarly shaped to those obtained for CH₄ yield. Nevertheless, the maxima of the former plots are shifted at lower temperatures, the extent of this change for each catalyst depending on the temperature at which

the contribution of rWGS reaction begins to be significant (cf. Figs. 4(b) and (c)). In any case, the highest selectivity to CH₄ is attained at temperatures ranging from around 300 to 400 °C for all the tested catalysts. Then, the CH₄ selectivity starts to decline sharply with the reaction temperature increase, being almost negligible at 600 °C and above because of the predominance of the rWGS reaction.

An in-depth analysis of the catalytic activity data allows studying the influence of the Ni loading, the Ce/Pr molar ratio of the mixed oxide promoter and the alumina modifier on the catalytic performance of the prepared catalysts in the CO₂ methanation reaction. As stated earlier, the major contribution to CO₂ conversion over the temperature range from 200 to 450 °C comes from the reaction of CO₂ methanation, so that the effect of the aforesaid three factors on the catalytic performance will be mainly evaluated hereafter in terms of CO₂ conversion, thereby obviating the contribution of the rWGS reaction.

3.2.1. Effect of Ni loading

From Figs. 4(d) and (e) it follows that over the aforesaid temperature range the CO₂ conversion markedly increases with Ni loading in the catalyst from 3 to 10 wt.%, irrespective of the molar composition of the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoter and the nature of the alumina dopant. Since the pioneering work conducted by Kester et al. [74], a similar effect of metal content on the catalytic performance of Ni catalysts supported on a variety of materials, including zeolites [75], γ -alumina [66,76-78], mesoporous silica nanoparticles [79], titania [80], and so on, in the CO₂ methanation reaction has been extensively reported in the literature. There is general agreement that such behaviour can be largely attributed to an increase in the number of active sites in the surface of the catalysts with increasing Ni loading. Furthermore, the reducibility of the supported Ni-containing species has also been found to improve with the increment of metal content for Ni-alumina catalysts [74,81]. In this connection, based on TPR analyses at least two different reaction sites for CO₂ methanation were initially identified in these catalysts, (i) Ni crystallites coming from the reduction of NiO and (ii) Ni atoms surrounded by oxygen atoms from the alumina lattice, the latter being much lesser reactive than the former. According to more recent studies [81], the more reactive sites may be correlated with the more reducible α - and β -type NiO species, while the less reducible γ -NiO species are likely associated with the less reactive sites. Both sites are present in catalysts with Ni loadings ranging from 1.8 to 15 wt.% as a consequence of the different interactions between the Ni²⁺ species and the alumina support during the preparation and the reduction pretreatment [74]; however, the fraction of the more reducible and thereby reactive species increases as the Ni loading on the catalysts does. Conversely, the less reducible and reactive γ -type NiO species become dominant with decreasing Ni content in the catalysts [81]. Finally, several authors have recently pointed out

that β -type NiO species play a pivotal role as the main active sites for methanation reactions [81,82] because they can be easily reduced to relatively small-sized and highly active metallic Ni particles after reduction pretreatment [84]. Since the fraction of such species increases with the supported Ni content [65,81,83,84], it is expected that those catalysts containing a 10 wt.% metal loading exhibit the highest catalytic conversion.

In addition to the conversion, the selectivity toward CH₄ is also strongly influenced by the Ni loading. Thus, from Fig. 4(f) it becomes clear that between 200 and 450 °C the CH₄ selectivity follows a similar trend to that noted above for the CH₄ yield and CO₂ conversion, i.e., the selectivity significantly increases with metal content. This behaviour may be explained by looking at the proposed mechanism for the CO₂ methanation over catalysts based on supported metals. There is some agreement that this reaction proceeds through the initial conversion of CO₂ into CO, the subsequent reaction following the same mechanism as CO methanation [85-88]. In the same way, this latter is also a two step reaction involving first the CO adsorption and dissociation at the surface of metal particles to yield adsorbed carbon and then its stepwise hydrogenation to CH₄. On the basis of both experimental data and theoretical calculations [88-91], the CO dissociation has been suggested as the rate-determining step of the process. In this connection, three different CO species adsorbed on Ni surface have been reported: (i) linear CO, (ii) bridged CO, and (iii) twin CO, whose activity towards the breaking of C–O bond varies according to the sequence: twin CO < linear CO < bridged CO, as inferred from the relative strength of the π back-bonding established between the antibonding π^* orbitals of adsorbed CO molecules and the d orbitals of surface Ni atoms [92,93]. Consequently, it is expected that the more the bridged CO species formed on the catalyst surface, the greater the probability of the cleavage of C–O bond and thereby of the formation of surface carbon, which is subsequently hydrogenated to CH₄, and the lesser the probability of obtaining other hydrocarbons and oxygenates as by-products. Because the number of active bridged CO species is much greater for larger Ni particles [94], it is clear that the selectivity to CH₄ must be higher for those catalysts containing a 10 wt.% Ni loading.

3.2.2. Effect of the composition of the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoter

Many efforts have been made to improve both the activity and stability of Ni-based catalysts for the CO₂ methanation reaction. As far as stability is concerned, one of the main challenges to be overcome is connected with the high tendency of these catalysts to suffer from coke deposition during the reaction, which leads to their rapid deactivation, especially when carbon deposits grow as filaments or nanotubes [95]. Therefore, the design and preparation of coke resistant Ni catalysts has become a research topic of major concern. The most widely employed strategy to achieve this goal consists of the incorporation of promoters in the catalyst

formulations. Such promoters are able to enhance the coke resistance by increasing the adsorption of CO₂ and the rate of the surface reaction or by decreasing the rate and degree of CH₄ decomposition [95,96]. In fact, promoters with basic properties favour the reaction between CO₂ and carbon, thus improving the coke resistance of the catalysts. Similarly, promoters with redox properties have been extensively reported to increase the coke resistance. Finally, a strong metal-support interaction (SMSI) between the Ni and the promoter results in a similar effect. In view of these facts, a promoter based on Ce/Pr mixed oxides was selected in the present work because of these materials gather most of the abovementioned properties, thereby rendering coke resistance of the resulting catalysts easier.

From Fig. 4, it is clearly proven that the composition of the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoter also influenced the catalytic activity for CO₂ methanation. In fact, for those catalysts containing the same nominal Ni loading and alumina modifier, the CO₂ conversion as well as the CH₄ yield and selectivity were found to improve with increasing Ce/Pr molar ratio from 60/40 to 80/20, this effect being more marked for higher metal contents. Notwithstanding, the influence was not so pronounced as compared to that observed for Ni loading. Such a very slight effect of the promoter composition on the catalytic performance of the prepared catalysts might be chiefly accounted for by the absence of remarkable differences in terms of electronic and chemical properties, especially of reducibility, between both supported lanthanide mixed oxides. This similarity had been previously pointed out by Borchert et al. [97] for nanostructured ceria-praseodymia samples with a 20 and 40 mol.% Pr content. By applying a number of characterization techniques, these authors concluded that the two samples were almost identical as far as their reduction behaviour and surface chemical composition (i.e., the atomic fractions of Ce³⁺ and Pr³⁺) are concerned. Furthermore, the minor differences in the catalytic activity could also be tentatively ascribed to the formation of stable carbonates on the surface of the mixed oxide promoters. As previously reported by Giménez-Mañogil et al. [98], both the amount and stability of carbonates dramatically increases with Pr content for Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-δ} catalysts. Therefore, it would be expected that after the reduction pretreatment the extent of the formation of stable carbonate-like species owing to the interaction of CO₂ molecules in the reactive feed stream with the catalyst samples at low reaction temperatures was higher for those containing the CP(60/40) promoter. Obviously, the CO₂ molecules forming these stable carbonates are much more difficult to hydrogenate to CH₄, thus inhibiting the methanation reaction and explaining the somewhat lower catalytic performance observed for the CP(60/40) catalysts.

On the other hand, it should be highlighted that the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoters are likely to play a key role in the improvement of the catalytic activity as compared to conventional Ni-alumina catalysts. Thus, the surface Ce³⁺ and Pr³⁺ sites of the prerduced

catalysts are able to promote the dissociation of C–O bond in the adsorbed CO species, which is the rate-limiting step of the methanation reaction, by enhancing the electron back donation process [92]. Such a beneficial effect can also be explained on the basis of the hard and soft acids and bases (HSAB) theory developed by Pearson [99]. According to it, Ce^{3+} and Pr^{3+} surface sites are classified as hard acids due to the essentially ionic character of their bonds [100], so they are expected to bind strongly to the oxygen atom of the adsorbed CO molecules, which is considered a strong base. As a consequence of these interactions, the C–O bond is notably weakened, thus making easier its cleavage and hence the formation of surface carbon and its ensuing hydrogenation to give CH_4 . At this point, it is worth noting that the CO dissociation might be also favoured by the well-known oxygen storage capacity (OSC) of Ce/Pr mixed oxides [43-46,97], which allows them to effectively incorporate the oxygen atoms from the C–O bond breaking into their lattices due to the presence of a high number of oxygen vacancies induced by the insertion of Pr in the ceria crystalline structure.

3.2.3. *Effect of the alumina modifier*

Finally, the influence of the alumina modifier (i.e., silica and lanthana) on the catalytic performance of the prepared catalysts for the CO_2 methanation reaction was also analyzed in the present work. From Fig. 4, it is clearly noted that, for the same nominal Ni loading and composition of the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoter, the catalyst samples prepared from Si-doped alumina show remarkably higher CO_2 conversion and CH_4 yield and selectivity when comparing to their counterparts supported on La-doped alumina. The origin of such an effect seems to be much clearer than that of the mixed oxide promoter composition and it has been mainly associated with two factors. The first one is the above-discussed formation of very stable lanthanide aluminate phases with perovskite-type structure during the pretreatment of the catalysts under reducing atmosphere at 700 °C and the inherent redox deactivation of the Ce/Pr mixed oxides owing to the blockage of the Ce^{3+} and Pr^{3+} cations [45,46]. Despite the relatively low pretreatment temperature selected, it was impossible to entirely avoid the formation on the catalysts' surface of small amounts of the detrimental perovskite-like phase with very low crystallite size, which makes it undetectable for the powder XRD technique. In this regard, it is worth highlighting that the reduction pretreatment temperature of 700 °C, even though markedly lower than those found from H_2 -TPR analyses for the onset of the lanthanide aluminate formation for the La- and Si-modified aluminas (i.e., around 800 and 900 °C, respectively), may be enough to promote this solid state reaction between the Ce/Pr mixed oxides and both alumina supports as a result of the prolonged soaking time of 1 h at such temperature. By taking into account the works previously carried out by our research group on these modified-alumina supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide systems [44-46], it may be concluded that the extent of the unwanted perovskite-like phase formation must be much greater for the La-doped catalyst

samples as compared to the Si-modified ones. Therefore, the larger lanthanide aluminate content for the former catalysts leads to a higher redox deactivation of the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoters, which redounds to their lower catalytic activities for the CO₂ methanation reaction. An in-depth analysis of the origin of the dissimilar effects of the two alumina dopants on the redox deactivation of the supported Ce/Pr mixed oxides was accomplished by means of a variety of techniques, including electron microscopy, XRD, FT-IR spectroscopy and XPS, and reported elsewhere [44-46]. Briefly, the obtained results unequivocally suggest that the SiO₂ modifier provides an effective physical barrier against the incorporation of the Ce³⁺ and Pr³⁺ cations into the alumina matrix, thus preventing the formation of large amounts of the redox inactive perovskite phase. On the contrary, La₂O₃ doping greatly increases the affinity of the alumina substrate towards the supported lanthanide elements, which renders their integration much easier with the consequent dramatic loss of redox properties of the Ce/Pr mixed oxides.

The second factor contributing to the better catalytic performance observed for the silica-containing catalysts in the CO₂ methanation reaction is the relative basicity of the adsorption active sites on the surface of the modified-alumina supports. According to the literature [101], the presence of moderate and weak basic sites on the support enhances the catalytic activity, while those of strong basic character are not involved in the methanation reaction due to the formation of highly stable carbonate species. Since γ -alumina mostly exhibits strong basic sites, it is expected that the incorporation of SiO₂, a well-known acid oxide, as dopant notably reduces both the population and strength of surface basic sites, thereby improving the catalytic performance. Regarding the La₂O₃ modifier, the opposite applies because of the acknowledged basic character of this metal oxide, which confers it a high reactivity against CO₂ [102]. Additionally, it should be pointed out that not only the CO₂ conversion and CH₄ yield but also the CH₄ selectivity are notably affected by the basicity of the modified-alumina supports (cf. Fig. 4(f)). Indeed, it has been recently reported that the selectivity to CH₄ is appreciably improved by decreasing both the concentration and strength of surface basic sites on the support [103], an observation which would account for the greater CH₄ selectivity obtained with the Si-doped catalyst samples in comparison with those containing La.

4. Conclusions

From two commercial modified alumina supports (3.5 wt.% SiO₂-Al₂O₃ and 4.0 wt.% La₂O₃-Al₂O₃), the preparation of two series of ceria-praseodymia promoted Ni-alumina catalysts was accomplished by the incipient wetness impregnation method in two successive steps: (i) impregnation of the alumina support with the promoter precursor, and (ii) impregnation of the resulting alumina-supported ceria-praseodymia system with the Ni precursor. The as-prepared catalyst samples were first characterized in terms of their physico-chemical features by N₂

physical adsorption, powder XRD and H₂-TPR and then studied for the reaction of CO₂ methanation. Special emphasis is paid to the influence on the catalytic performance of the nominal Ni loading (3, 5 and 10 wt.%), molar composition of the Ce/Pr mixed oxide promoter (80/20 and 60/40), and alumina modifier (SiO₂ and La₂O₃). The obtained results allow drawing the following main conclusions:

1. Among the three investigated composition parameters, metal content shows by far the more pronounced influence, followed by the nature of the alumina dopant. In stark contrast, the effect of the Ce to Pr molar ratio seems to be almost negligible.
2. Both the amount and fraction of β -type NiO species, which are widely considered as the key active sites for methanation, markedly increase with Ni content up to 10 wt.%.
3. The ceria-praseodymia promoter favours the cleavage of the C–O bond, which is the rate-limiting step of the methanation reaction, by enhancing the electron back donation from the surface Ni atoms to the adsorbed CO_x species.
4. The SiO₂ dopant significantly decreases both the extent of the formation of the redox inactive lanthanide aluminate with perovskite-type structure and the strength of the basic sites on the catalyst surface, whereas the opposite applies to the La₂O₃ modifier.
5. As a result of the complex balance between the three aforesaid factors, the catalyst formulation containing a 10 wt.% Ni loading, a Ce/Pr molar ratio of 80/20 and SiO₂ dopant has been evidenced as the best in terms not only of CO₂ conversion but also of CH₄ yield and selectivity at low temperatures.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from MINECO/FEDER (Project MAT2013-40823-R) and Junta de Andalucía (Groups FQM-110 and FQM-334) is gratefully acknowledged. Adrián Barroso-Bogeat thanks support from the “Juan de la Cierva-Formación” Fellowship Program of MINECO (FJCI-2015-25999).

References

- [1] “International Energy Outlook 2011”, U.S. Energy Information Administration, Sept. 2011, Highlights, page 1.
- [2] M. Jacquemin, A. Beuls, P. Ruiz, Catalytic production of methane from CO₂ and H₂ at low temperature: Insight on the reaction mechanism, *Catal. Today* 157 (2010) 462-466.
- [3] M. Cai, J. Wen, W. Chu, X. Cheng, Z. Li, Methanation of carbon dioxide on Ni/ZrO₂-Al₂O₃ catalysts: Effects of ZrO₂ promoter and preparation method of novel ZrO₂-Al₂O₃ carrier, *J. Nat. Gas Chem.* 20 (2011) 318-324.

- [4] A. Beuls, C. Swalus, M. Jacquemin, G. Heyen, A. Karelavic, P. Ruiz, Methanation of CO₂: Further insight into the mechanism over Rh/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst, *Appl. Catal. B* 113-114 (2012) 2-10.
- [5] S. Rahmani, M. Rezaei, F. Meshkani, Preparation of promoted nickel catalysts supported on mesoporous nanocrystalline gamma alumina for carbon dioxide methanation reaction, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 20 (2014) 4176-4182.
- [6] M. Aresta, A. Dibenedetto, Utilisation of CO₂ as a chemical feedstock: opportunities and challenges, *Dalton Trans.* (2007) 2975-2992.
- [7] M. Mikkelsen, M. Jørgensen, F.C. Krebs, The teraton challenge. A review of fixation and transformation of carbon dioxide, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 3 (2010) 43-81.
- [8] H. Yang, Z. Xu, M. Fan, R. Gupta, R.B. Slimane, A.E. Bland, I. Wright, Progress in carbon dioxide separation and capture: A review, *J. Environ. Sci.* 20 (2008) 14-27.
- [9] J.T. Litynski, S.M. Klara, H.G. McIlvried, R.D. Srivastava, The United States Department of Energy's Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnerships program: A collaborative approach to carbon management, *Environ. Int.* 32 (2006) 128-144.
- [10] J.D. Sharp, M.K. Jaccard, D.W. Keith, Anticipating public attitudes toward underground CO₂ storage, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* 3 (2009) 641-651.
- [11] K. van Alphen, J. van Rujiven, S. Kasa, M. Hekkert, W. Turkenburg, The performance of the Norwegian carbon dioxide, capture and storage innovation system, *Energy Policy* 37 (2009) 43-55.
- [12] X. Xiaoding, J.A. Moulijn, Mitigation of CO₂ by chemical conversion: Plausible chemical reactions and promising products, *Energy Fuels* 10 (1996) 305-325.
- [13] P.J. Lunde, F.L. Kester, Carbon dioxide methanation on a ruthenium catalyst, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev.* 13 (1974) 27-33.
- [14] G.D. Weatherbee, C.H. Bartholomew, Hydrogenation of CO₂ on group VIII metals: I. Specific activity of NiSiO₂, *J. Catal.* 68 (1981) 67-76.
- [15] M. Kilo, J. Weigel, A. Wokaun, R.A. Koeppl, A. Stoekli, A. Baiker, Effect of the addition of chromium and manganese oxides on structural and catalytic properties of copper/zirconia catalysts for the synthesis of methanol from carbon dioxide, *J. Mol. Catal. A* 126 (1997) 169-184.
- [16] I. Melián-Cabrera, M. López-Granados, J.L.G. Fierro, Reverse topotactic transformation of a Cu-Al-Zn catalyst during wet Pd impregnation: relevance for the performance in methanol synthesis from CO₂/H₂ mixtures, *J. Catal.* 210 (2002) 273-284.
- [17] C. Song, Global challenges and strategies for control, conversion and utilization of CO₂ for sustainable development involving energy, catalysis, adsorption and chemical processing, *Catal. Today* 115 (2006) 2-32.

- [18] I. Omae, Aspects of carbon dioxide utilization, *Catal. Today* 115 (2006) 33-52.
- [19] M. Yamasaki, H. Habazaki, K. Asami, K. Izumiya, K. Hashimoto, Effect of tetragonal ZrO₂ on the catalytic activity of Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst prepared from amorphous Ni-Zr alloys, *Catal. Commun.* 7 (2006) 24-28.
- [20] F. Ocampo, B. Louis, A.-C. Roger, Methanation of carbon dioxide over nickel-based Ce_{0.72}Zr_{0.28}O₂ mixed oxide catalysts prepared by sol-gel method, *Appl. Catal. A* 369 (2009) 90-96.
- [21] T. Inui, T. Takeguchi, Effective conversion of carbon dioxide and hydrogen to hydrocarbons, *Catal. Today* 10 (1991) 95-106.
- [22] P. Sabatier, J.B. Senderens, New synthesis of methane, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 134 (1902) 514-516.
- [23] F. Solymosi, A. Erdöhelyi, T. Bánsági, Methanation of CO₂ on supported rhodium catalyst, *J. Catal.* 68 (1981) 371-382.
- [24] G.D. Weatherbee, C.H. Bartholomew, Hydrogenation of CO₂ on group VIII metals. IV. Specific activities and selectivities of silica-supported Co, Fe, and Ru, *J. Catal.* 87 (1984) 352-362.
- [25] R. Ravindranathan Thampi, J. Kiwi, M. Grätzel, Methanation and photo-methanation of carbon dioxide at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, *Nature* 327 (1987) 506-508.
- [26] I.A. Fisher, A.T. Bell, A comparative study of CO and CO₂ hydrogenation over Rh/SiO₂, *J. Catal.* 162 (1996) 54-65.
- [27] Y. Zhang, G. Jacobs, D.E. Sparks, M.E. Dry, B.H. Davis, CO and CO₂ hydrogenation study on supported cobalt Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalysts, *Catal. Today* 71 (2002) 411-418.
- [28] A. Erhan Aksoylu, Z. Mısırlı, Z.İ. Önsan, Interaction between nickel and molybdenum in Ni-Mo/Al₂O₃ catalysts: I. CO₂ methanation and SEM-TEM studies, *Appl. Catal. A* 168 (1998) 385-397.
- [29] M. Yamasaki, M. Komori, E. Akiyama, H. Habazaki, A. Kawashima, K. Asami, K. Hashimoto, CO₂ methanation catalysts prepared from amorphous Ni-Zr-Sm and Ni-Zr mixed metal alloy precursors, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 267 (1999) 220-226.
- [30] F.-W. Chang, M.-W. Kuo, M.-T. Tsay, M.-C. Hsieh, Hydrogenation of CO₂ over nickel catalysts on rice husk ash-alumina prepared by incipient wetness impregnation, *Appl. Catal. A* 247 (2003) 309-320.
- [31] G. Du, S. Lim, Y. Yang, C. Wang, L. Pfefferle, G.L. Haller, Methanation of carbon dioxide on Ni-incorporated MCM-41 catalysts: The influence of catalyst pretreatment and study of steady-state reaction, *J. Catal.* 249 (2007) 370-379.
- [32] C. Swalus, M. Jacquemin, C. Poleunis, P. Bertrand, P. Ruiz, CO₂ methanation on Rh/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst at low temperature: "In situ" supply of hydrogen by Ni/activated carbon catalyst, *Appl. Catal. B* 125 (2012) 41-50.

- [33] A. Karelavic, P. Ruiz, CO₂ hydrogenation at low temperature over Rh/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts: Effect of the metal particle size on catalytic performances and reaction mechanism, *Appl. Catal. B* 113-114 (2012) 237-249.
- [34] S. Hwang, J. Lee, U.G. Hong, J.G. Seo, J.C. Jung, D.J. Koh, H. Lim, C. Byun, I.K. Song, Methane production from carbon monoxide and hydrogen over nickel-alumina xerogel catalyst: Effect of nickel content, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 17 (2011) 154-157.
- [35] S. Hwang, J. Lee, U.G. Hong, J.C. Jung, D.J. Koh, H. Lim, C. Byun, I.K. Song, Hydrogenation of carbon monoxide to methane over mesoporous nickel-alumina-M-alumina (M = Fe, Ni, Co, Ce, and La) xerogel catalysts, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 18 (2012) 243-248.
- [36] S. Wang, G.Q. Lu, Role of CeO₂ in Ni/CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalysts for carbon dioxide reforming of methane, *Appl. Catal. B* 19 (1998) 267-277.
- [37] L. Xu, F. Wang, M. Chen, D. Nie, X. Lian, Z. Lu, H. Chen, K. Zhang, P. Ge, CO₂ methanation over rare earth doped Ni based mesoporous catalysts with intensified low-temperature activity, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 42 (2017) 15523-15539.
- [38] W. Ahmad, M.N. Younis, R. Shawabkeh, S. Ahmed, Synthesis of lanthanide series (La, Ce, Pr, Eu & Gd) promoted Ni/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts for methanation of CO₂ at low temperature under atmospheric pressure, *Catal. Commun.* 100 (2017) 121-126.
- [39] A.S. Prakash, C. Shivakumara, M.S. Hegde, Single step preparation of CeO₂/CeAlO₃/ γ -Al₂O₃ by solution combustion method: Phase evolution, thermal stability and surface modification, *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* 139 (2007) 55-61.
- [40] A. Trovarelli, C. de Leitenburg, G. Dolcetti, J. Llorca, CO₂ methanation under transient and steady-state conditions over Rh/CeO₂ and CeO₂-promoted Rh/SiO₂: The role of surface and bulk ceria, *J. Catal.* 151 (1995) 111-124.
- [41] H. Liang, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, Ceria modified three-dimensionally ordered macro-porous Pt/TiO₂ catalysts for water-gas shift reaction, *J. Rare Earths* 27 (2009) 425-430.
- [42] N. Laosiripojana, S. Assabumrungrat, Catalytic steam reforming of ethanol over high surface area CeO₂: The role of CeO₂ as an internal pre-reforming catalyst, *Appl. Catal. B* 66 (2006) 29-39.
- [43] K. Aboussaïd, S. Bernal, G. Blanco, J.J. Calvino, G.A. Cifredo, M. López-Haro, J.M. Pintado, M. Soussi el Begrani, O. Stéphan, S. Trasobares, Actual constitution of the mixed oxide promoter in a Rh/Ce_{1-x}Pr_xO_{2-y}/Al₂O₃ catalyst. Evolution throughout the preparation steps, *Surf. Interface Anal.* 40 (2008) 242-245.
- [44] K. Aboussaïd, S. Bernal, G. Blanco, G.A. Cifredo, A. Galtayries, J.M. Pintado, M. Soussi el Begrani, Origin of the redox deactivation phenomena in modified alumina-supported Ce/Pr mixed oxide, *Surf. Interface Anal.* 40 (2008) 250-253.

- [45] M. López-Haro, K. Aboussaïd, J.C. González, J.C. Hernández, J.M. Pintado, G. Blanco, J.J. Calvino, P.A. Midgley, P. Bayle-Guillemaud, S. Trasobares, Scanning transmission electron microscopy investigation of differences in the high temperature redox deactivation behavior of CePrO_x particles supported on modified alumina, *Chem. Mater.* 21 (2009) 1035-1045.
- [46] G. Blanco, J.M. Pintado, K. Aboussaïd, G.A. Cifredo, M. Soussi el Begrani, S. Bernal, Effect of different alumina dopants on the redox deactivation produced by structural modifications on CePrO_x/Al₂O₃ systems, *Catal. Today* 180 (2012) 184-189.
- [47] E.P. Barrett, L.G. Joyner, P.P. Halenda, The determination of pore volume and area distributions in porous substances. I. Computations from nitrogen isotherms, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 73 (1951) 373-380.
- [48] S. Brunauer, P.H. Emmett, T. Teller, Adsorption of gases in multimolecular layers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 60 (1938) 309-319.
- [49] M. Thommes, K. Kaneko, A.V. Neimark, J.P. Olivier, F. Rodríguez-Reinoso, J. Rouquerol, K.S.W. Sing, Physisorption of gases, with special reference to the evaluation of surface area and pore size distribution (IUPAC Technical Report), *Pure Appl. Chem.* 87 (2015) 1051-1069.
- [50] S. Lowell, J. Shields, M.A. Thomas, M. Thommes, *Characterization of Porous Solids and Powders: Surface Area, Porosity and Density*, Springer, 2004.
- [51] B. de Rivas, N. Guillén-Hurtado, R. López-Fonseca, F. Coloma-Pascual, A. García-García, J.I. Gutiérrez-Ortiz, A. Bueno-López, Activity, selectivity and stability of praseodymium-doped CeO₂ for chlorinated VOCs catalytic combustion, *Appl. Catal. B* 121-122 (2012) 162-170.
- [52] R.D. Shannon, C.T. Prewitt, Effective ionic radii in oxides and fluorides, *Acta Cryst.* B25 (1969) 925-946.
- [53] K. Aboussaïd, Etude des catalyseurs de Rh supporté sur des oxides mixtes de Ce-Pr dispersés sur des aluminas modifiées: Influence du modificateur sur l'interaction de l'oxyde mixte avec le support, Ph.D Thesis (2009) University of Cádiz.
- [54] M.P. Yeste Sigüenza, Caracterización de óxidos mixtos de Ce, Pr y Zr con propiedades redox de interés en catálisis. Estudio del origen de las modificaciones redox producidas por envejecimiento químico-térmico, Ph.D. Thesis (2009), University of Cádiz.
- [55] A.D. Logan, M. Shelef, Oxygen availability in mixed cerium/praseodymium oxides and the effect of noble metals, *J. Mater. Res.* 9 (1994) 468-475.
- [56] M.-F. Luo, Z.-L. Yan, L.-Y. Jin, Structure and redox properties of Ce_xPr_{1-x}O_{2-δ} mixed oxides and their catalytic activities for CO, CH₃OH and CH₄ combustion, *J. Molec. Catal. A* 260 (2006) 157-162.
- [57] A. Piras, A. Trovarelli, G. Dolcetti, Remarkable stabilization of transition alumina operated by ceria under reducing and redox conditions, *Appl. Catal. B* 28 (2000) L77-L81.

- [58] S. Damyanova, C.A. Perez, M. Schmal, J.M.C. Bueno, Characterization of ceria-coated alumina carrier, *Appl. Catal. A* 234 (2002) 271-282.
- [59] A. Piras, S. Colussi, A. Trovarelli, V. Sergo, J. Llorca, R. Psaro, L. Sordelli, Structural and morphological investigation of ceria-promoted Al_2O_3 under severe reducing/oxidizing conditions, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 109 (2005) 11110-11118.
- [60] C.-W. Hu, J. Yao, H.-Q. Yang, Y. Chen, A.-M. Tian, On the inhomogeneity of low nickel loading methanation catalyst, *J. Catal.* 166 (1997) 1-7.
- [61] R. Molina, G. Poncelet, α -Alumina-supported nickel catalysts prepared from nickel acetylacetonate: A TPR study, *J. Catal.* 173 (1998) 257-267.
- [62] J.M. Rynkowski, T. Paryjczak, M. Lenik, On the nature of oxidic nickel phases in $\text{NiO}/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts, *Appl. Catal. A* 106 (1993) 73-82.
- [63] W.-S. Dong, H.-S. Roh, K.-W. Jun, S.-E. Park, Y.-S. Oh, Methane reforming over $\text{Ni}/\text{Ce-ZrO}_2$ catalysts: effect of nickel content, *Appl. Catal. A* 226 (2002) 63-72.
- [64] C. Guimon, A. Auroux, E. Romero, A. Monzón, Acetylene hydrogenation over Ni-Si-Al mixed oxides prepared by sol-gel technique, *Appl. Catal. A* 251 (2003) 199-214.
- [65] J. Zhang, H. Xu, X. Jin, Q. Ge, W. Li, Characterizations and activities of the nano-sized $\text{Ni}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Ni}/\text{La-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts for NH_3 decomposition, *Appl. Catal. A* 290 (2005) 87-96.
- [66] S. Rahmani, M. Rezaei, F. Meshkani, Preparation of highly active nickel catalysts supported on mesoporous nanocrystalline $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ for CO_2 methanation, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 20 (2014) 1346-1352.
- [67] A. Gil, A. Díaz, L.M. Gandía, M. Montes, Influence of the preparation method and the nature of the support on the stability of nickel catalysts, *Appl. Catal. A* 109 (1994) 167-179.
- [68] F. Ocampo, B. Louis, L. Kiwi-Minsker, A.-C. Roger, Effect of Ce/Zr composition and noble metal promotion on nickel based $\text{Ce}_x\text{Zr}_{1-x}\text{O}_2$ catalysts for carbon dioxide methanation, *Appl. Catal. A* 392 (2011) 36-44.
- [69] J. Gao, Y. Wang, Y. Ping, D. Hu, G. Xu, F. Gu, F. Su, A thermodynamic analysis of methanation reactions of carbon oxides for the production of synthetic natural gas, *RSC Advances* 2 (2012) 2358-2368.
- [70] S. Rösch, J. Schneider, S. Matthischke, M. Schlüter, M. Götz, J. Lefebvre, P. Prabhakaran, S. Bajohr, Review on methanation – From fundamentals to current projects, *Fuel* 166 (2016) 276-296.
- [71] E.B.M. Doesburg, S. Orr, J.R.H. Ross, L.L. van Reijen, Effect of temperature of reduction on the activity and selectivity of a coprecipitated $\text{Ni-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst for the Fischer-Tropsch and methanation reactions, *J. C. S. Chem. Comm.* (1977) 734-735.

- [72] A. Swapnesh, V.C. Srivastava, I.D. Mall, Comparative study on thermodynamic analysis of CO₂ utilization reactions, *Chem. Eng. Technol.* 37 (2014) 1765-1777.
- [73] S. Sahebdehfar, M.T. Ravanchi, Carbon dioxide utilization for methane production: a thermodynamic analysis, *J. Petrol. Sci. Eng.* 134 (2015) 14-22.
- [74] K.B. Kester, E. Zagli, J.L. Falconer, Methanation of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide on Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts: effects of nickel loading, *Appl. Catal.* 22 (1986) 311-319.
- [75] I. Graça, L.V. González, M.C. Bacariza, A. Fernandes, C. Henriques, J.M. Lopes, M.F. Ribeiro, CO₂ hydrogenation into CH₄ on NiHNaUSY zeolites, *Appl. Catal. B* 147 (2014) 101-110.
- [76] R. Darouhegi, F. Meshkani, M. Rezaei, Enhanced activity of CO₂ methanation over mesoporous nanocrystalline Ni-Al₂O₃ catalysts prepared by ultrasound-assisted co-precipitation method, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 42 (2017) 15115-15125.
- [77] A. Westermann, B. Azambre, M.C. Bacariza, I. Graça, M.F. Ribeiro, J.M. Lopes, C. Henriques, Insight into CO₂ methanation mechanism over NiUSY zeolites: An operando IR study, *Appl. Catal. B* 174-175 (2015) 120-125.
- [78] G. Garbarino, P. Riani, L. Magistri, G. Busca, A study of the methanation of carbon dioxide on Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts at atmospheric pressure, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 39 (2014) 11557-11565.
- [79] M.A.A. Aziz, A.A. Jalil, S. Triwahyono, M.W.A. Saad, CO₂ methanation over Ni-promoted mesostructured silica nanoparticles: Influence of Ni loading and water vapor on activity and response surface methodology studies, *Chem. Eng. J.* 260 (2015) 757-764.
- [80] J. Liu, C. Li, F. Wang, S. He, H. Chen, Y. Zhao, M. Wei, D.G. Evans, X. Duan, Enhanced low-temperature activity of CO₂ methanation over highly-dispersed Ni/TiO₂ catalyst, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 3 (2013) 2627-2633.
- [81] A. Alihosseinzadeh, B. Nematollahi, M. Rezaei, E.N. Lay, CO methanation over Ni catalysts supported on high surface area mesoporous nanocrystalline γ -Al₂O₃ for CO removal in H₂-rich stream, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 40 (2015) 1809-1819.
- [82] X. Yan, Y. Liu, B. Zhao, Z. Wang, Y. Wang, C. Liu, Methanation over Ni/SiO₂: Effect of the catalyst preparation methodologies, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 38 (2013) 2283-2291.
- [83] A. Zhao, W. Ying, H. Zhang, H. Ma, D. Fang, Ni-Al₂O₃ catalysts prepared by solution combustion method for syngas methanation, *Catal. Commun.* 17 (2012) 34-38.
- [84] D. Hu, J. Gao, Y. Ping, L. Jia, P. Gunawan, Z. Zhong, G. Xu, F. Gu, F. Su, Enhanced investigation of CO methanation over Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts for synthetic natural gas production, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 51 (2012) 4875-4886.

- [85] J.L. Falconer, E. Zağli, Adsorption and methanation of carbon dioxide on nickel/silica catalyst, *J. Catal.* 62 (1980) 280-285.
- [86] G.D. Weatherbee, C.H. Bartholomew, Hydrogenation of CO₂ on group VIII metals. II. Kinetics and mechanism of CO₂ hydrogenation on nickel, *J. Catal.* 77 (1982) 460-472.
- [87] M. Marwood, R. Doepper, A. Renken, In-situ surface and gas phase analysis for kinetic studies under transient conditions. The catalytic hydrogenation of CO₂, *Appl. Catal. A* 151 (1997) 223-246.
- [88] W. Wang, J. Gong, Methanation of carbon dioxide: an overview, *Front. Chem. Sci. Eng.* 5 (2011) 2-10.
- [89] T. Mori, H. Masuda, H. Imai, A. Miyamoto, S. Baba, Y. Murakami, Kinetics, isotope effects, and mechanism for the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide on supported nickel catalysts, *J. Phys. Chem.* 86 (1982) 2753-2760.
- [90] J. Sehested, S. Dahl, J. Jacobsen, J.R. Rostrup-Nielsen, Methanation of CO over nickel: Mechanism and kinetics at high H₂/CO ratios, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 109 (2005) 2432-2438.
- [91] S.J. Choe, H.J. Kang, S.J. Kim, S.B. Park, D.H. Park, D.S. Huh, Adsorbed carbon formation and carbon hydrogenation for CO₂ methanation on the Ni(111) surface: ASED-MO study, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 26 (2005) 1682-1688.
- [92] K.O. Xabier, R. Sreekala, K.K.A. Rashid, K.K.M. Yusuff, B. Sen, Doping effects of cerium oxide on Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts for methanation, *Catal. Today* 49 (1999) 17-21.
- [93] R.F. Wu, Y. Zhang, Y.Z. Wang, C.G. Gao, Y.X. Zhao, Effect of ZrO₂ promoter on the catalytic activity for CO methanation and adsorption performance on the Ni/SiO₂ catalyst, *J. Fuel Chem. Technol.* 37 (2009) 578-582.
- [94] Y. Yu, G.Q. Jin, Y.Y. Wang, X.Y. Guo, Synthetic natural gas from CO hydrogenation over silicon carbide supported nickel catalysts, *Fuel Process. Technol.* 92 (2011) 2293-2298.
- [95] C. Liu, J. Ye, J. Jiang, Y. Pan, Progresses in the preparation of coke-resistant Ni-based catalyst for steam and CO₂ reforming of methane, *ChemCatChem* 3 (2011) 529-541.
- [96] J.R. Rostrup-Nielsen, New aspects of syngas production and use, *Catal. Today* 63 (2000) 159-164.
- [97] H. Borchert, Y.V. Frolova, V.V. Kaichev, I.P. Prosvirin, G.M. Alikina, A.I. Lukashevich, V.I. Zaikovskii, E.M. Moroz, S.N. Trukhan, V.P. Ivanov, E.A. Paukshtis, V.I. Bukhtiyarov, V.A. Sadykov, Electronic and chemical properties of nanostructured cerium dioxide doped with praseodymium, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 109 (2005) 5728-5738.
- [98] J. Giménez-Mañogil, N. Guillén-Hurtado, S. Fernández-García, X. Chen, J.J. Calvino-Gámez, A. García-García, Ceria-praseodymia mixed oxides: relationships between redox properties and catalytic activities towards NO oxidation to NO₂ and CO-PROX reactions, *Top. Catal.* 59 (2016) 1065-1070.

- [99] R.G. Pearson, Hard and soft acids and bases, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 85 (1963) 3533-3539.
- [100] G.R. Choppin, Lanthanide complexation in aqueous solutions, *J. Less-Common Met.* 100 (1984) 141-151.
- [101] Q. Pan, J. Peng, T. Sun, S. Wang, S. Wang, Insight into the reaction route of CO₂ methanation: Promotion effect of medium basic sites, *Catal. Commun.* 45 (2014) 74-78.
- [102] S. Bernal, G. Blanco, J.M. Gatica, J.A. Pérez-Omil, J.M. Pintado, H. Vidal, Chemical reactivity of binary rare earth oxides, in: G. Adachi, N. Imanaka, Z.C. Kang (Eds.), *Binary rare earth oxides*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2005, pp. 9-56.
- [103] J.L. Hodala, J.S. Jung, E.H. Yang, G.H. Hong, Y.S. Noh, D.J. Moon, Hydrogenation of CO₂ to synthetic natural gas over supported nickel catalyst: effect of support on methane selectivity, *Res. Chem. Intermed.* 43 (2017) 2931-2943.