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#### Abstract

Brucella ovis is a facultative intracellular bacterium that causes a non-zoonotic ovine brucellosis mainly characterized by male genital lesions and is responsible for important economic losses in sheep farming areas. Studies about the virulence mechanisms of Brucella have been mostly performed with smooth (bearing O-polysaccharide in lipopolysaccharide) zoonotic species, and those performed with $B$. ovis have revealed similarities but also relevant differences. Except for few strains recently isolated from unconventional hosts, Brucella species are non-motile but contain the genes required to assemble a flagellum, which are organized in three main loci of about 18.5, 6.4, and 7.8 kb . Although these loci contain different pseudogenes depending on the non-motile Brucella species, smooth $B$. melitensis 16M builds a sheathed flagellum under particular culture conditions and requires flagellar genes for virulence. However, nothing is known in this respect regarding other Brucella strains. In this work, we have constructed a panel of $B$. ovis PA mutants defective in one, two or the three flagellar loci in order to assess their role in virulence of this rough (lacking O-polysaccharide) Brucella species. No relevant differences in growth, outer membrane-related properties or intracellular behavior in cellular models were observed between flagellar mutants and the parental strain, which is in accordance with previous results with $B$. melitensis 16 M single-gene mutants. However, contrary to these B. melitensis mutants, unable to establish a chronic infection in mice, removal of the three flagellar loci in $B$. ovis did not affect virulence in the mouse model. These results evidence new relevant differences between $B$. ovis and B. melitensis, two species highly homologous at the DNA level and that cause ovine brucellosis, but that exhibit differences in the zoonotic potential, pathogenicity and tissue tropism.
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## INTRODUCTION

The genus Brucella is constituted by six classical species (B. melitensis, B. abortus, B. suis, B. canis, B. ovis, and B. neotomae), that cause brucellosis in terrestrial mammals, and six other species (B. ceti, B. pinnipedialis, B. microti, B. inopinata, B. vulpis, and B. papionis) that have been isolated since the 1990s from other terrestrial mammals or from marine mammals (https://lpsn.dsmz.de/genus/brucella). The Brucella spp. host range has more recently increased to amphibians and fish, with atypical strains isolated from several frog species and a ray $(1,2)$.

Despite the high percentage of DNA-DNA hybridization detected among the classical Brucella species ( $96 \pm 5 \%$ when compared to $B$. melitensis 16 M ) (3), some differential genetic markers have been found and they differ in several phenotypic characteristics, host preference and pathogenicity. Nevertheless, a common trait is their ability to survive and replicate inside phagocytic cells (47). The Brucella species are smooth ( S ) or rough ( $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{depending}$ on the presence or absence, respectively, of O-polysaccharide chains in the lipopolysaccharide (LPS). B. ovis and B. canis are the only rough Brucella species but are virulent for their natural hosts (sheep and dogs, respectively), which contrasts with the other Brucella species that are smooth and require S-LPS for full virulence (8-10).

Although studies regarding the virulence of R strains has increased in the last years, most work in this respect has been performed with S Brucella species (mainly with zoonotic $B$. melitensis, B. abortus, and B. suis). Among the genes involved in the virulence of smooth $B$. melitensis, flagellar genes are required for the establishment of a chronic infection in mice (11), which constitutes an intriguing trait since $B$. melitensis is a non-motile species (1). In fact, among the brucellae only B. inopinata and the Brucella atypical strains isolated from frogs and a ray are motile ( $1,2,12-14$ ) and at least frog isolates are able to build a polar flagellum in culture medium (1). Despite the presence of several pseudogenes in the three main flagellar loci $(1,11)$ and its non-motile character (1), B. melitensis 16 M is able to build a sheathed polar flagellum in the early exponential phase of growth (11) and, as mentioned above, flagellar mutants of $B$. melitensis 16 M are attenuated in virulence (11). Although the three flagellar loci are conserved in the genus Brucella, with a different pattern of pseudogenization in most cases, no additional studies have been performed to evaluate the relevance of flagellar genes in the virulence other Brucella species. According to its rough phenotype, its particular outer membrane (OM)-related and virulence characteristics and its shared preference with $B$. melitensis by the ovine host (15-21), we have selected B. ovis to extend the knowledge about the role of flagellar genes in the virulence of the genus Brucella. With this aim, we have constructed a panel of flagellar mutants in rough virulent $B$. ovis PA (with one, two or the three flagellar loci deleted) that has been characterized regarding growth characteristics, OMrelated properties, intracellular behavior in cellular models of professional and non-professional phagocytes and virulence in the mouse model.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Plasmids, Bacterial Strains, and Culture Conditions

Plasmids pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Madison, WI, United States) and pCVDKan-D (18) were used to construct the recombinant plasmids containing the inactivated flagellar loci. They were maintained in Escherichia coli JM109 and CC118 ( $\lambda$ pir), respectively. Recombinant E. coli strains were cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Luria Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$
ampicillin (pGEM-T Easy derived plasmids) or kanamycin (pCVDKan-D derived plasmids).

Virulent B. ovis PA was used as parental strain to obtain the panel of flagellar mutants and as reference strain for comparisons in the different assays. It was obtained from the bacterial culture collection maintained at the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Nouzilly, France. B. ovis strains were cultured in tryptic soy agar (TSA) or tryptic soy broth (TSB) (PronadisaLaboratorios Conda, Torrejón de Ardoz, Spain), supplemented with $0.3 \%$ yeast extract (YE) (Pronadisa-Laboratorios Conda, Torrejón de Ardoz, Spain) and 5\% horse serum (HS) (Gibco-Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, United States). When required for the mutagenesis procedure, TSA-YE-HS was supplemented with kanamycin (Kan) at a final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ or with $5 \%$ sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States). B. ovis strains were cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere.

## In silico DNA and Protein Analysis, Primers, and Nucleic Acid Techniques

Genomes of B. melitensis 16M (ATCC 23456) and B. ovis 63/290 (ATCC 25840) were analyzed from GeneBank data (accession numbers AE008917 and AE008918 for B. melitensis 16 M chromosome I and II, respectively, and accession numbers NC_009505 and NC_009504 for B. ovis 63/290 chromosomes). Gene data for motile Brucella sp. B13-0095 isolated from a Pac-Man frog were retrieved from the Pathosystems Resource Integration Center (PATRIC; genome ID 1867845.3; https:// www.patricbrc.org) (22). Orthologs were analyzed at the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG; https://www. kegg.jp) and protein and DNA alignments were performed with LALIGN (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/psa/lalign/) from the European Bioinformatics Institute (23). PSORTb v3.0.2 (Brinkman Laboratory, Simon Fraser University, British Columbia, Canada; https://www.psort.org/psortb/) was used to predict protein subcellular localization (24). Gene Construction Kit (GCK 4.5; Textco Biosoftware, Raleigh, NC, United States) was used as assistant tool for the analysis of nucleotide sequences and schematic drawing of the flagellar loci.

DNA primers (IDT, Leuven, Belgium) used for gene expression analysis and for the construction and characterization of mutant strains are described in Table 1. PCR amplification was performed with AccuPOL DNA polymerase (VWR, Leuven, Belgium), Red Taq DNA polymerase master mix (VWR, Leuven, Belgium) or Expand ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Long Template PCR System (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), depending on the experiment. For gene expression studies, RNA was extracted with RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) from $5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{CFU}$ of $B$. ovis that had been cultured in liquid medium for 16 or 49 h ( t 16 or t 49 ; exponential and stationary growth phase, respectively). Residual DNA was removed with RQ1 DNase (Promega, Madison, WI, United States) and cDNA was synthetized with the first strand cDNA synthesis kit for RT-PCR (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) using the random hexamers provided with the kit as primers for reverse transcriptase (RT). Parallel control reactions were performed in the same conditions but omitting RT. Subsequent PCR reactions were performed (using the cDNA as template and

TABLE 1 | Primers used in this work for the construction and verification of $B$. ovis PA flagellar mutants.

| Primer name | Nucleotide sequence $5^{\prime}$-3'a | Target locus or gene ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction of B. ovis PA flagellar mutants |  |  |
| Flg1MUT-F | AAATGCCCGGGATCATGT | Locus I |
| Flg1OVL-R | ATTGGCCTTGTTGTCGGA | Locus I |
| Flg10VL-F | TCCGACAACAAGGCCAATGC CCGATGATCCGCATTA | Locus I |
| Flg1MUT-R | GATTCTGGCTCTITGACG | Locus I |
| Flg2MUT-F | GCGGCAAGGCCATITTCT | Locus II |
| Flg2OVL-R | CCTTGCAGCCAGATCGAA | Locus II |
| Flg2OVL-F | TTCGATCTGGCTGCAAGGGG CTGGAACATTCTGGTT | Locus II |
| Flg2MUT-R | TGCAAGCATGAGCGTCAA | Locus II |
| Flg3MUT-F2 | GCTGCCAATGGCAAGACT | Locus III |
| Flg3OVL-R | CGCATCATCAACACACGG | Locus III |
| Flg3OVL-F | CCGTGTGTTGATGATGCG GACAGACAGGCGCAAAAC | Locus III |
| Flg3MUT-R | GGCGCGAGCTTGTATGTC | Locus III |
| Additional primers for the verification of recombinant plasmids and mutants |  |  |
| Universal-F | GTITCCCAGTCACGAC | pGEM-T Easy |
| Universal-R | CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC | pGEM-T Easy |
| Flg1-F | AATGCTTCGTACTGGTCC | Locus I |
| Flg1-R | TCCCTTGAGCTGTTCGAT | Locus I |
| Flg2-F | TGAAGGGGCTCAATCAGA | Locus II |
| Flg2-R | GATCGCTTTGTTCATGCT | Locus II |
| Flg3-F | CCTATCCTTGGTTTCCGC | Locus III |
| Flg3-R | CGATGCAGGATGCAGTTG | Locus III |
| Primers for RT-PCR or qRT-PCR |  |  |
| FliC RT-F | CAAACTCGTCGGCTCTGA | fliC (locus I) |
| Flg1OVL-R | ATTGGCCTTGTTGTCGGA | fliC (locus I) |
| FliF RT-F | TTGATGGGTGCGATCCTC | fliF (locus I) |
| FliF RT-R | CCTTGCCGATTGGAACGA | fliF (locus I) |
| FtcR RT-F | AGCCTTCCTGATTGGTGA | ftcR (locus I) |
| FtcR RT-R | ATTTCGCGGACATGAACG | ftcR (locus I) |
| FlgE RT-F | CGGAAACGCAATTCTCCT | flgE (locus I) |
| FlgE RT-R | TTGTCCGGCACGAAAGAA | flgE (locus I) |
| FlbT RT-F | CATCAATGGCGCGGTTCT | flbT (locus I) |
| FlbT RT-R | AACATGCCTITCAGCATC | flbT (locus I) |
| FlgJ RT-F | AGGGCTGACGCAGGATAA | flgJ (locus I) |
| FlgJ RT-R | AAAGTCGCAGTCGTGTCG | flg J (locus I) |
| FlgG RT-F | TGACGCTTGACGGCAATC | flgG (locus II) |
| FlgG RT-R | GTTCGAGACCGGCTTCAT | flgG (locus II) |
| FlhB RT-F | ATCGAAACCGGCAATGGC | flhb (locus III) |
| FlhB RT-R | CCGCAAGCGTCATCGTCT | flhb (locus III) |
| FlgF RT-F | GCTGATCAAGACCGACAA | flgF (locus III) |
| FlgF RT-R | GACATCGAGGATCGCATT | flgF (locus III) |
| 16S-RT Fw | TCTCACGACACGAGCTGACG | 16S |
| 16S-RT Rv | CGCAGAACCTTACCAGCCCT | $16 S$ |

a panel of primer pairs targeting genes in the three flagellar loci) either with the Expand ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Long Template PCR System for endpoint RT-PCR or with the KAPA SYBR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Fast Master Mix (Kapa Biosystems, Cape Town, South Africa) for relative quantification by real time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). Four biological replicates, with three technical replicates each, were used in qRT-PCR assays that were performed in a StepOnePlus ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ device (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States). Gene expression levels were determined, with the StepOne ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ software v2.3, by the $2^{-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}}$ method with the $16 S$ gene as internal reference.

## Mutagenesis Procedure

Mutant strains for the three main flagellar loci (Table 2) were obtained by in-frame deletion with overlapping PCR as described previously (18). Briefly, for removal of the entire locus I, the $5^{\prime}$ end and upstream DNA (about 700 bp ) was PCR amplified with primers Flg1MUT-F and Flg1OVLR and AccuPOL DNA polymerase. Similarly, the $3^{\prime}$ end and downstream DNA was amplified with primers Flg1OVLF and Flg1MUT-R. Both fragments were fused, through the complementary regions of primers Flg1OVL-F and Flg1OVLR (Table 1), with an overlapping PCR reaction with primers Flg1MUT-F and Flg1MUT-R and the Expand ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Long Template PCR System. The resulting DNA fragment was ligated in pGEMT Easy, verified by DNA sequencing, and then cloned in pCVDKan-D, a plasmid that confers resistance to kanamycin and sensibility to sucrose (18). The recombinant plasmid was introduced in parental B. ovis PA by electroporation. B. ovis PA colonies bearing the plasmid integrated in the chromosome, that consequently contains one copy of the wild type locus and one copy of the modified locus, were detected by plating on TSA-YE-HS plates containing kanamycin. Colonies were verified by PCR with appropriate primers to detect both copies of locus I (intermediate strain). Colonies suffering a second recombination event, leading either to the desired mutant strain or to a strain reverting to the wild type genotype, were detected by plating the intermediate strain on TSA-YE-HS plates containing sucrose. The differentiation between the mutant strain lacking flagellar locus I and the intermediate or wild type strains was performed by a series of PCR reactions with Red Taq DNA polymerase master mix and primers located inside and/or outside the deleted region. Mutants lacking the entire locus II or the entire locus III (Table 2) were obtained similarly with their specific primers (Table 1). The single mutants for each flagellar locus served as parental strains for a second round of mutation leading to the deletion of an additional flagellar locus. The double mutants obtained (Table 2) were subsequently used as parental strains to obtain the panel of triple mutants of B. ovis PA lacking the three main flagellar loci (Table 2).

## Growth, Autoagglutination, and Susceptibility Assays

Growth of mutant strains in solid and liquid medium was analyzed as previously described (26). Briefly, to evaluate growth in solid medium, bacterial suspensions in PBS with values of optical density at $600 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}\right)$ of 0.2 were appropriately diluted and plated on TSA-YE-HS plates to determine the

TABLE 2 | Most relevant B. ovis PA mutants in flagellar loci obtained in this work ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
Deleted loci and order of deletion
bp deleted
B. ovis PA single mutants (one entire locus deleted)

| B. ovis $\Delta$ flg1 | Locus I completely deleted | 18459 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B. ovis $\Delta$ flg2 | Locus II completely deleted | 6320 |
| B. ovis $\Delta$ flg3 | Locus III completely deleted |  |
| B. ovis PA double mutants (two entire loci deleted) |  |  |
| B. ovis $\Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 2$ | Loci I and II completely deleted |  |
| B. ovis $\Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 3$ | Loci I and III completely deleted | 24779 |
| B. ovis $\Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 1$ | Loci II and I completely deleted | 26195 |
| B. ovis $\Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 3$ | Loci II and III completely deleted | 24779 |
| B. ovis $\Delta f l g 3 \Delta f l g 1$ | Loci III and I completely deleted | 14056 |
| B. ovis $\Delta f l g 3 \Delta f l g 2$ | Loci III and II completely deleted | 26195 |

B. ovis PA triple mutants (three entire loci deleted)
B. ovis $\Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 3$

Loci I, II, and III completely deleted 32515
B. ovis $\Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 3 \Delta f l g 2$
B. ovis $\Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 3$

Loci I, III, and II completely deleted 32515
Loci II, I, and III completely deleted 32515
B. ovis $\Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 3 \Delta f l g 1$

Loci II, III, and I completely deleted 32515
B. ovis $\Delta f l g 3 \Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 2$

Loci III, I, and II completely deleted
32515
B. ovis $\Delta f l g 3 \Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 1$

Loci III, II, and I completely deleted
32515
${ }^{a}$ Intermediate strains obtained during mutagenesis are not cited.
${ }^{b}$ Mutant strains phenotypically characterized in this work are highlighted in blue bold characters. Order of citation of the deleted loci in the strain name corresponds to the order of deletion of each locus (i.e., B. ovis $\Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 1$ was obtained from parental B. ovis PA by deletion of locus II to obtain B. ovis $\Delta f l g 2$ and, then, by deletion of locus I from the $\Delta f l g 2$ single mutant).
numbers of $\mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{ml}$ after 5 days incubation. Growth curves in liquid TSB-YE-HS were also established by measuring the evolution of $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ scores and $\log \mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{ml}$ numbers of bacterial suspensions starting at $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ values of 0.05 and incubated under agitation for 170 h .

To evaluate the autoagglutination ability, bacterial suspensions in TSB-YE-HS of $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ values of $0.8(100 \%$ $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ ) were incubated for 48 h under static conditions to measure the evolution of the $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ scores $(18,27)$. Susceptibility to polymyxin B , sodium deoxycholate and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (all from Sigma-Aldrich) was measured using a disc assay as follows. Bacterial suspensions $(100 \mu \mathrm{l})$ with $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ values of 0.2 were plated on TSA-YE-HS. Discs of 0.9-mm diameter (Los Productos de Aldo, Spain) were then placed in the middle of the plate and soaked with $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of polymyxin $\mathrm{B}(250000 \mathrm{UI} / \mathrm{ml})$, sodium deoxycholate ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) or $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The diameter of the growth inhibition halo was recorded in quadruplicate for each plate after a 5-day incubation period and the results expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of three plates.

## Virulence Evaluation in Cellular Models and Mice

Intracellular behavior of mutant strains was studied in J774.A1 macrophages and HeLa cells as previously described (19). Briefly, $2 \times 10^{4}$ J774.A1 macrophages/well or $1.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{HeLa}$ cells/well were cultured in 96 -well plates for 24 h . Bacteria $\left(4 \times 10^{6}\right.$ or 8 $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{CFU} /$ well for J774.A1 or HeLa cells, respectively) were allowed to internalize for 2 h in the cell lines. Extracellular bacteria were killed with gentamycin and intracellular bacteria
were enumerated in three wells per bacterial strain after lysis of the eukaryotic cells and plating on TSA-YE-HS ( t 0 ). The remaining wells were incubated in the presence of gentamycin to evaluate intracellular bacterial numbers at 20 and 44 h (t20 and t44) post-infection (p.i.).

Virulence in mice was evaluated in 6-week old female BALB/c mice (Charles River Laboratories, Chatillon-sur-Chalaronne, France) received 1 week before. They were intraperitoneally inoculated with $10^{6} \mathrm{CFU}$ of parental B. ovis PA or the flagellar triple mutants B. ovis $\Delta \mathrm{flg} 1 \Delta \mathrm{flg} 2 \Delta \mathrm{flg} 3$, B. ovis $\Delta \mathrm{flg} 2 \Delta \mathrm{flg} 1 \Delta \mathrm{flg} 3$ or B. ovis $\Delta \mathrm{flg} 3 \Delta \mathrm{flg} 2 \Delta \mathrm{flg} 1$. Bacterial numbers in spleen were determined -as previously described (28)- in 5 mice per group at 3,7 , and 11 weeks p.i. (W3, W7, and W11), which in B. ovis PA corresponds to the peak of infection in the acute phase, to the chronic phase and to the decline phase of infection, respectively $(26,27)$.

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical comparisons were performed with one-way ANOVA and Fisher's Least Significant Differences test on a GraphPad Prims Software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, United States). Statistically significant differences ( $P<0.01$ ) were established with a $99 \%$ confidence interval.

## RESULTS

## Genomic Organization and Transcription of the Flagellar Loci in B. ovis

According to the annotated whole genome sequence of the B. ovis reference strain (29), the three flagellar loci of B. ovis


FIGURE 1 | Genomic organization (A) and transcription (B,C) of the three main flagellar loci detected in the B. ovis genome. Flagellar genes targeted in transcription analysis with $B$. ovis PA strains ( $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ ) are represented with a black pattern ( $\mathbf{A}$ ). Mutagenesis procedure deleted $99 \%$ of each locus ( $\mathbf{A}$ ) in flagellar mutants listed in
Table 2. End-point RT-PCR (B) was performed with cDNA obtained by retrotranscription of RNA extracted at t16 from B. ovis PA or the $\Delta f / g 1 \Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 3$ triple mutant (RT+ reactions). Reactions of cDNA synthesis lacking RT were used as controls of DNA absence (RT- reactions). Amplification with fliC primers in the $\Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 3$ triple mutant (B) was expected, since both primers hybridize adjacent but externally to the deleted fragment (the deletion removes $80 \%$ of $f l \mathrm{C}$ ). qRT-PCR (C) with fliF was performed with RNA extracted at t 16 and t 49 from $B$. ovis PA parental strain. Gene expression levels (C) were determined, with the StepOne ${ }^{T M}$ software v 2.3 , by the $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ method with the $16 S$ gene as internal reference and t16 results as control condition. Four biological replicates, with three technical replicates each, were analyzed and the results are expressed as means $\pm$ SD.
(Figure 1A) present a similar organization to that described for B. melitensis 16 M (11) and are also located in chromosome II. A search in the PATRIC genome of motile Brucella sp. B130095 revealed the presence of additional flagellar genes motA, $m o t B$, $f l i J$, and $f l i O$, that were also detected in chromosome I of B. melitensis 16M and B. ovis 63/290 (Table 3). Hypothetical $m o t A$ and $\operatorname{mot} B$ genes were previously identified in locus III and locus I, respectively, of Brucella chromosome II (1, 11, 13) (Table 3) but the four hypothetical flagellar genes detected in chromosome I have not been reported before in studies targeting the Brucella flagellum (1, 11, 13). According to the flagellum structure described for Gram-negative bacteria (30-37), FliO would be part of the export gate (Figure 2) that extends from the membrane-supramembrane (MS ring) of the flagellum to the cytoplasm. FliJ, together with FliI and FliH, would constitute the ATPase complex (Figure 2) of the type III export machinery, although no gene potentially encoding FliH have been detected in the Brucella genomes. Similarly, fliD that encodes the filament cap protein in flagellated bacteria (Figure 2), has not been detected in the genus Brucella.

When compared to the genome of motile flagellated Brucella sp. B13-0095 isolated from a Pac-Man frog (13), B. melitensis 16 M and B. ovis exhibited a different pattern of defective genes (Table 3, Figure 2) with the characteristics listed in Table 4. In the $B$. melitensis 16 M genome, six flagellar genes with premature stop codons or frameshifts have been detected (Tables 3, 4). Additionally, the hypothetical start codon and ribosome binding site of the $B$. melitensis 16 M flgA gene -coding for a putative chaperone for the FlgI P-ring protein (whose gene is frameshifted when compared to Brucella sp. B13-0095 flgI)- are lost due to a deletion of 18 nt . In B. ovis $63 / 290$, five flagellar genes contain internal in-frame deletions shortening the encoded protein (Table 3, blue lettering, and Table 4) and six additional genes (Figure 1A, Table 3, red lettering, and Table 4) contain premature stop codons or frameshifts. However, flagellin fliC gene is not annotated as pseudogene in the whole genome sequence of $B$. ovis. Since in this work we have used virulent $B$. ovis PA, the possibility of some differences with $B$. ovis $63 / 290$ cannot be discarded. However, previous genomic studies with $B$. ovis PA provided the same results as B. ovis $63 / 290(18,19,38,39)$ and all sequences we have determined in this work for the

TABLE 3 | Flagellar genes detected in the genomes of Brucella sp. B13-0095, B. melitensis 16M and B. ovis 63/290a.

| Gene identification in genome of Brucella spp. |  |  | Protein name (position in flagellum) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Predicted subcellular localization (PSORTb) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B13-0095 | Bme 16M | Bov 63/290 |  |  |
| Chromosome II Locus I |  |  |  |  |
| BA060_07860 | BMEIIO150 | BOV_A1052 | FliC (filament) | Extracellular |
| BA060_07855 | BMEIIO151-52 | BOV_A1051 | FliF (MS-ring) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_07850 | BMEIIO153 | BOV_A1050 | Hypothetical (unknown) | Cytoplasm |
| BA060_07845 | BMEIIO154 | BOV_A1049 | MotB (stator) | Periplasm/cytoplasmic memb. |
| BA060_07840 | BMEIIO155 | BOV_A1048 | MotC (stator) | Periplasm |
| BA060_07835 | BMEIIO156 | BOV_A1047 | FliK (hook molecular ruler) | Unknown |
| BA060_07830 | BMEIIO157 | BOV_A1046 | Lytic transglycosylase (unknown) | Not cytoplasm |
| BA060_07825 | BMEIIO158 | BOV_A1045 | FtcR (regulator) | Cytoplasm |
| BA060_07820 | BMEIIO159 | BOV_A1044 | FlgE (hook) | Extracellular |
| BA060_07815 | BMEIIO160 | BOV_A1043 | FlgK (hook-filament junction) | Outer membrane |
| BA060_07810 | BMEIIO161 | BOV_A1042 | FlgL (hook-filament junction) | Unknown |
| BA060_07805 | BMEII0162 | BOV_A1041 | FlaF (regulator) | Unknown |
| BA060_07800 | BMEII0163 | BOV_A1040 | FlbT (regulator) | Cytoplasm |
| BA060_07795 | BMEIIO164 | BOV_A1039 | FlgD (cap foldase for hook) | Extracellular |
| BA060_07790 | BMEIIO165 | BOV_A1038 | FliQ (export gate) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_07785 | BMEII0166-67 | BOV_A1037 | FlhA (export gate) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_07780 | BMEII0168 | BOV_A1036 | FliR (export gate) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_07775 | BMEII0169 | BOV_A1035 | Hypothetical (unknown) | Unknown |
| BA060_07770 | BMEII0170 | BOV_A1034 | FlgJ (cap foldase for rod) | Unknown |
| BA060_07765 | BMEII0171 | BOV_A1033 | FlgN (chaperone for FlgK) | Cytoplasm |
| Chromosome II Locus II |  |  |  |  |
| BA060_08780 | BMEII1089 | BOV_A0135 | FlgB (rod) | Unknown |
| BA060_08785 | BMEll1088 | BOV_A0136 | FlgC (rod) | Periplasm |
| BA060_08790 | BMEll1087 | BOV_A0137 | Flie (rod) | Unknown |
| BA060_08795 | BMEII1086 | BOV_A0138 | FlgG (rod) | Periplasm |
| BA060_08800 | BMEII1085 | BOV_A0139 | FlgA (chaperone for Flgl) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_08805 | BMEll1084 | BOV_A0140 | Flgl (P-ring) | Periplasm |
| BA060_08810 | BMEII1083 | BOV_A0141 | MotE (chaperone for stator MotC) | Not cytoplasm |
| BA060_08815 | BMEII1082 | BOV_A0142 | FlgH (L-ring) | Outer memb. |
| BA060_08820 | BMEll1081 | BOV_A0143 | FliL (stator) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_08825 | BMEII1080 | BOV_A0144 | FliP (export gate) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| Chromosome II Locus III |  |  |  |  |
| BA060_08660 | BMEll1114 | BOV_A0112 | FlhB (export gate) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_08665 | BMEll1113 | BOV_A0113 | FliG (C-ring) | Cytoplasm |
| BA060_08670 | BMEll1112 | BOV_A0114 | FliN (C-ring) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_08675 | BMEll1111 | BOV_A0115 | Hypothetical (unknown) | Not cytoplasm |
| BA060_08680 | BMEll1110 | BOV_A0116 | FliM (C-ring) | Cytoplasm |
| BA060_08685 | BMEll1109 | BOV_A0117 | MotA (stator) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_08690 | BMEII1108 | BOV_A0118 | DUF1217 domain protein (unknown) | Unknown |
| BA060_08695 | BMEll1107 | BOV_A0119 | FlgF (rod) | Periplasm |
| BA060_08700 | BMEII1106-05 | BOV_A0120 | Flil (ATPase complex) | Cytoplasm |


|  | Gene identification in genome of Brucella spp. |  | Protein name (position in <br> flagellum) | Predicted subcellular <br> localization (PSORTb) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B13-0095 | Bme 16M | Bov 63/290 |  |  |
| Chromosome I genes |  |  | FliO (export gate) | Unknown |
| BA060_12400 | BMEI0948 | BOV_1003 | FliJ (ATPase complex) | Cytoplasm |
| BA060_11245 | BMEI0422 | BOV_1543 | MotA (stator) | Cytoplasmic membrane |
| BA060_01660 | BMEI0325 | BOV_1655 | MotB (stator) | Cytoplasmic membrane |

${ }^{a}$ Brucella sp. B13-0095 is a motile strain isolated from a Pac-Man frog (Ceratophrys ornata) (13), B. melitensis 16 M is the type strain of the genus and is able to build a sheathed flagellum in particular culture conditions and B. ovis 63/290 is the B. ovis type strain. Red lettering indicates premature stop codons or frameshifts and blue lettering indicates internal in-frame deletions, when compared to the genes of Brucella sp. B13-0095.
${ }^{b}$ Protein identification according to the annotation in the B. ovis 63/290 or Brucella sp. B13-0095 (for chromosome I genes) genomes. Position in flagellum as shown in Figure 2 according to the general structure described for flagella of Gram-negative bacteria (30-37).
${ }^{\text {c P PSORTb }}$ v3.0.2 predicts subcellular localization of bacterial proteins (https://www.psort.org/psortb/). The analysis was performed with the proteins of B. ovis $63 / 290$ or Brucella sp. B13-0095 (for B. ovis 63/290 frameshifted proteins).
construction of flagellar mutants (including internal sequences not reported here) were identical to those of B. ovis 63/290.

To evaluate whether flagellar loci are transcribed in B. ovis PA, end-point RT-PCR was performed with RNA extracted at the exponential phase of growth and primers targeting nine genes distributed in the three main loci. Transcription of all evaluated genes was detected in the parental strain B. ovis PA (Figure 1B), while no amplification was observed with cDNA obtained from the $\Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 3$ mutant, except for $f l i C$ (Figure 1B). This exception was expected because the selected primers for RT-PCR amplify a 164 nt fragment of the $5^{\prime}$-end of $f l i C$ that is externally bordering the deleted DNA fragment of locus I (deletion removes $99 \%$ of locus I, which includes $80 \%$ of fliC ). Studies of relative expression in TSB-YE-HS liquid medium of the locus I fliF gene (performed by qRT-PCR using 16 S as internal reference gene) showed that $f l i F$ is down regulated (with about 3.5 -fold reduction) in the stationary growth phase (t49) when compared to the exponential growth phase (t16) (Figure 1C).

## Construction, Growth, and OM-Related Properties of $B$. ovis PA Flagellar Mutants

Three initial B. ovis PA mutants were constructed (B. ovis $\Delta$ flg1, $\Delta f l g 2$, and $\Delta f l g 3$ ), each with one of the three main flagellar loci deleted (locus I, II, and III, respectively). Despite the high size of the deleted fragment, mainly in B. ovis $\Delta$ flg1 (about 18 kb deleted), no difficulties were found to obtain the three mutants. Similarly, double and triple mutants combining the deletion of two or the three flagellar loci, were obtained ( 32.5 kb deleted in triple mutants). All possible combinations of double and triple mutants were obtained in order to set out a panel of mutants that could be analyzed in case of discovering a differential behavior in one mutant and thus minimize the risk of attributing differences caused by other undesired mutations to the absence of flagellar loci.

No differences in growth in solid medium were observed in single, double or triple mutants that showed similar CFU/ml values for bacterial suspensions of $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.2$ than the parental strain (data not shown). Equivalent results among
strains were also observed in TSB-YE-HS liquid medium with a similar evolution of $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ values and CFU/ ml with time (Supplementary Figure 1). In the autoagglutination assay no differences were found among strains since, as expected for the parental B. ovis PA strain (26), all of them remained in suspension (Supplementary Figure 2). According to these results, only three triple mutants (B. ovis $\Delta f \lg 1 \Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 3, \Delta f l g 2 \Delta f l g 1 \Delta f l g 3$ and $\Delta f \lg 3 \Delta \mathrm{flg} 2 \Delta \mathrm{flg} 1$, which have the three flagellar loci deleted in a different order) were initially selected for the remaining studies. The other mutants would only be analyzed if differences were found with the triple mutants.

Properties related to the OM, and that have also been related to survival in the host, were evaluated in the selected triple mutants in comparison with B. ovis PA. Diameters of growth inhibition halos obtained by exposure to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, sodium deoxycholate or polymyxin B did not show relevant differences between the three triple flagellar mutants and the parental strain (Supplementary Figure 3).

## Virulence of B. ovis PA Flagellar Mutants

Since $B$. ovis is an intracellular pathogen ( $6,17,19,26,40$ ), the behavior in J774.A1 and HeLa cells of the triple mutants was evaluated in comparison to that of the parental strain. Removal of the three main flagellar loci in B. ovis PA did not affect the internalization of the bacterium or its intracellular evolution (Figures 3A,B). These results were somehow expected since flagellar mutants of B. melitensis 16 M did not show an altered intracellular pattern (11), although it must be taken into account that each one of these mutants was only defective in one single gene.

On the contrary, while single gene mutants of $B$. melitensis 16 M were unable to establish a chronic phase of infection in mice (11), the three triple mutants of B. ovis PA analyzed, lacking the 32.5 kb of the three main flagellar loci, did not show attenuation in BALB/c mice (Figure 3). Thus, both the splenic bacterial counts (Figure 3C) and the spleen weight (Figure 3D) of the flagellar mutants showed the same temporal evolution than those observed with the parental strain.


FIGURE 2 | Schematic representation of the $B$. melitensis and $B$. ovis flagellum. The figure was elaborated according to the classic structure of the flagellum of Gram-negative bacteria (30-37) and the flagellar genes detected in the Brucella genomes ( 39 genes distributed in the three main flagellar loci of chromosome II and 4 genes found in chromosome I). Additional proteins detected in the flagellar loci intervening in flagellum biosynthesis or with unknown function are framed at the bottom of the figure. Flagellar proteins encoded by genes that, when compared to those of motile Brucella sp. B13-0095, contain frameshifts, premature stop codons or mutations affecting the start codon are written in red bold characters and represented by unfilled forms with red borders. This pattern has also been used for FliD and FliH, which have not been found in the Brucella genomes. B. ovis proteins with internal in-frame deletions in the encoding genes are written in blue bold characters. B. ovis motB in chromosome II contains a frameshift; the figure represents a MotB homolog encoded in chromosome I that is shorter than the $B$. melitensis ortholog due to an internal in-frame deletion in the encoding gene. C-ring (cytoplasmic ring), MS-ring membrane supramembrane ring, P-ring (peptidoglycan ring), L-ring (lipopolysaccharide ring), IM (inner membrane), PG (peptidoglycan), OM (outer membrane).

## DISCUSSION

Since the classical Brucella species lack motility (41) and display random patterns of pseudogenization (1), it is tempting to hypothesize that flagellar loci, which are conserved in the genus Brucella, are remnants of an environmental ancestor that no longer required motility after the evolutive adaptation to the animal host and to an intracellular lifestyle. However, the detection of a flagellum in $B$. melitensis 16 M and the involvement of flagellar genes in its virulence in mice $(11,42,43)$ and probably in goats (44) raises a new perspective. Moreover, although the relevance for virulence remains unexplored, the recently reported motility of atypical Brucella strains (1, 2, 1214) and their ability, at least for amphibian isolates, to build a
polar flagellum (1) also encourages additional studies to elucidate the function of flagellar genes in the genus Brucella. In this work, we have selected a virulent B. ovis strain to construct and characterize a panel of mutants in flagellar loci with a main focus in virulence.

Although the profile of defective flagellar genes detected in B. ovis (Figure 2, Tables 3, 4) makes the assembly of a flagellum unlikely, B. melitensis 16 M also contains defective genes (Figure 2, Tables 3, 4) that would not be compatible with the synthesis of a flagellum. Therefore, it is probable that either the modified proteins are functional or $B$. melitensis 16 M is able to synthetize at least some whole-length molecules by suppression of the stop codons (i.e., $f l i F$ and $\operatorname{flh} A$ ) (11) and compensation of DNA frameshifts (i.e., flgI, fliG, flgF, and fliI) by transcription

TABLE 4 | Defective flagellar genes in $B$. melitensis 16 M and $B$. ovis $63 / 290^{a}$.

| Gene identification in the genome of Brucella spp. |  | Protein | Relevant gene defect(s) when compared to motile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. melitensis 16M |  |  |  |
| Locus I | BMEII0151-52 | FliF | 1 nt substitution leading to premature stop codon |
|  | BMEII0166-67 | Flha | 1 nt substitution leading to premature stop codon |
| Locus II | BMEII1085 | FlgA | 18 nt deletion involving start codon and probable ribosome binding site |
|  | BMEII1084 | Flgl | First 6 nt differ affecting start codon 1 nt deletion leading to frameshift |
| Locus III | BMEII1113 | FliG | Internal 83 nt deletion with frameshift |
|  | BMEll1107 | FlgF | 1 nt deletion leading to frameshift |
|  | BMEII1106-05 | Flil | 1 nt insertion leading to frameshift |
| B. ovis 63/290 |  |  |  |
| Locus I | BOV_A1052 | Flic | Internal 48 nt in-frame deletion <br> C-terminal 203 nt deletion leading to frameshift and affecting the intergenic FliC-FliF region |
|  | BOV_A1049 | MotB | 35 nt deletion leading to frameshift |
|  | BOV_A1046 | Lytic transglyc. | 1 nt deletion leading to premature stop (frameshift) codon 71 nt deletion |
|  | BOV_A1044 | FlgE | Internal 57 nt in-frame deletion |
|  | BOV_A1043 | FlgK | Internal 42 nt in-frame deletion Internal 18 nt in-frame deletion |
|  | BOV_A1037 | FlhA | Internal 36 nt in-frame deletion |
|  | BOV_A1036 | FliR | Internal 48 nt in-frame deletion |
| Locus II | BOV_A0140 | Flgl | First 6 nt differ affecting start codon 1 nt deletion leading to frameshift |
| Locus III | BOV_A0116 | FliM | 1 nt substitution leading to premature stop codon |
| Chrom. I | BOV_1655 | MotA | Internal 31 nt deletion leading to frameshift |
|  | BOV_1656 | MotB | Internal 87 nt in-frame deletion |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Compared to the genes of motile Brucella sp. B13-0095 isolated from a Pac-Man frog (Ceratophrys ornata) (13).
${ }^{b}$ Relevant defects included in the table are nucleotide deletions, insertions or substitution leading to in-frame deletions, frameshift or premature stop codons. Nucleotide substituions that do not introduce premature stop codons are not considered as relevant defects.
slippage or ribosomal frameshift (45). Accordingly, the defects observed in some B. ovis flagellar genes do not necessarily imply the impossibility of assembling a complete or partial flagellar structure that could contribute to virulence.

We have detected that B. ovis PA is able to transcribe flagellar genes located in the three main loci and that, as described for B. melitensis 16 M (11), the transcription level is higher in the exponential growth phase, at least for $f l i F$ (Figures 1B,C). To our knowledge, no other studies have evaluated expression of flagellar genes in B. ovis, either in culture medium or inside phagocytes, and the sole study that analyzed the intracellular transcriptome of B. ovis did not report upregulation or downregulation of flagellar genes (46). However, expression of B. ovis flagellar genes in an intracellular environment, as it has been reported for $B$. melitensis 16M (11), cannot be discarded. Although this aspect would merit further attention, our results clearly demonstrate that the entire three main flagellar loci of B. ovis PA (accounting for 39 genes) are dispensable for all properties evaluated, including intracellular survival and virulence in the mouse model (Figure 3 and Supplementary Material). Therefore, most likely B. ovis does not build a flagellum or, if it does, it would not be required for the establishment of infection.

Since the mechanism/s responsible for the contribution of flagellum to virulence of $B$. melitensis 16 M has not been elucidated, it is difficult to hypothesize about how the presumed absence of flagellum in B. ovis has influenced the host-pathogen interaction. Flagella may be involved in the four main stages of the infectious process of bacterial pathogens (47): (i) reaching the host or target site (ii) colonization and invasion, (iii) maintenance and replication, and (iv) dispersal to new hosts. The absence of motility (at least in vitro) and of chemotactic systems would exclude the first role in the classical Brucella species. Role in adhesion and invasion of host cells (at least in cell cultures) is also unlikely, since B. melitensis flagellar mutants show no internalization defects in HeLa cells or in bovine peritoneal macrophages (11). The same cellular models also revealed that, even though the fliF promoter is induced intracellularly, the flagellum is not required for intracellular replication of $B$. melitensis 16 M (11). Additionally, attenuation in mice of $B$. melitensis 16 M flagellar mutants was not detected at 1 W p.i., but only at later time points $(11,43)$. However, in vivo mouse imaging technology showed that a luminescent B. melitensis 16M flagellar mutant, lacking four genes coding for rod proteins, had a limited ability to disseminate from the point of intraperitoneal


FIGURE $\mathbf{3} \mid$ Virulence of $B$. ovis PA triple mutants in flagellar loci. Virulence assays were performed in J774.A1 (A) and HeLa (B) cell lines and in BALB/c mice (C,D). Parental B. ovis PA was included in the experiments for comparisons. In cell assays ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ ), the results are expressed as the means $\pm$ SD ( $n=3$ ) of the log CFU/well at each time point. Virulence in mice is expressed as the means $\pm$ SD $(n=5)$ of the $\log$ CFU/spleen at each time point (C). Spleen weight in the same mice is also represented (D).
inoculation (48). This impaired dissemination might be related to the impossibility of $B$. melitensis 16 M flagellar mutants to establish a chronic infection in mice (11). But also, if this behavior were reproduced in the natural host, the flagellum could be responsible, at least in part, for the tropism of B. melitensis 16 M by the placenta. This statement would be in accordance with the fact that $B$. melitensis infections frequently induces abortions (21) while B. ovis (that share with B. melitensis the preference for the ovine host) exhibits a marked tropism by the male genital tract and is seldom associated to abortions (20) despite its ability to internalize and replicate in trophoblasts (6). Moreover, a contribution of the flagellum to the zoonotic potential of $B$. melitensis, which is the highest of the genus, should also be considered. More studies involving flagellar genes in other Brucella species associated with abortions in their preferred hosts or able to infect humans would help to clarify these points.

The exacerbated virulence pattern, accompanied by histological damage in spleen, that was observed in BALB/c mice with a non-polar $\Delta f l i C$ mutant of $B$. melitensis 16 M constitutes an additional remarkable observation regarding flagellar genes (43). It was proposed that FliC flagellin of B. melitensis 16M triggers the innate immune response and that a tight regulation of flagellar expression in this strain is part of the stealthy strategy that allows to maintain a persistent infection without severely damaging host tissues (43). Some
other evidences point to the requirement for a finely tuned regulation of flagellar genes in B. melitensis 16 M to establish a persistent infection: (i) the large number of reported direct or indirect regulators of flagellar gene expression: FtcR, FlbT, and FlaF, which are encoded in flagellar locus I (Figure 1A), or VjbR, BlxR, RpoE1, BpdA, and YbeY (48-54), (ii) the results obtained in an in vivo model simulating the onset of B. melitensis 16 M infection in cattle (first 4 h ) showing that the three main flagellar loci were repressed while transcription of the rpoE1 repressor gene was activated (55), and (iii) the flagellum is sheathed by an extension of the outer membrane ending by a club-like structure that has been suggested to contribute to the assembly of FliC flagellin subunits (42) in the absence of the filament cap protein FliD (Figure 2) that has not been detected in the Brucella genomes; since the Brucella outer membrane is considered as part of its stealthy strategy to establish persistent infections (56), the flagellar sheath could contribute to limit FliC presentation to the immune system.

In the case of B. ovis PA and even if a flagellum is not assembled, flagellin is likely to be synthetized, since this strain is able to transcribe $f l i C$ (Figure 1B) and B. melitensis 16M synthesizes FliC even in the absence of deeper flagellar structural proteins such as FliF (basal body protein) or FlgE (hook protein) (53). Therefore, flagellin might be translocated to the cytoplasm of the host cell through the type-IV secretion system (encoded by
the $v i r B$ operon), as it has been suggested for $B$. melitensis 16 M , and induce an innate immune response mediated by cytosolic NCRC4 receptors (43). However, even if B. ovis PA produces flagellin and is able to translocate it into the host cell cytoplasm, it would not be relevant in the induction of a detrimental immune response for the bacterium because flagellar mutants behave as the parental strain (Figure 3). This fact could be related with the differences detected in the C-terminal residues of FliC in B. ovis when compared to the protein of B. melitensis (Tables 3, 4). Both N - and C -terminal domains are involved in the self-polymerization of flagellin subunits $(35,57)$, but Cend residues have also been proposed as targets for the innate immune response sensed by NLRC4 receptors (43). On the other hand, presentation of assembled flagellin subunits in a surface-exposed flagellar structure could be essential to induce the immune response (and/or to interact with its effectors), and the pattern of defective flagellar genes in B. ovis would not allow this requirement.

Another intriguing observation regarding the B. melitensis 16 M flagellum is the contrast between the exacerbated virulence in mice of the $\Delta f l i C$ mutant (unable to synthetize flagellin and therefore the filament of the flagellum) and the attenuation of the $\Delta f l i F$ mutant (unable to synthetize the MS-ring proteins) (43). This characteristic suggests that the flagellar export channel of $B$. melitensis 16 M could also be used for the transport of molecules required to maintain a chronic infection in the host. Whether the Type III machinery involved in the specialized export of the flagellar subunits $(32,33)$ contributes to the export of other molecules in B. melitensis 16M that might participate in virulence has not been elucidated. If this were the case and considering the full virulence of flagellar mutants (Figure 3), this possibility does not seem to occur in B. ovis either by a naturally defective channel or by the absence of the hypothetical virulence determinants.

The demonstration that flagellar genes are dispensable for $B$. ovis virulence in mice (Figure 3) constitutes a new particular characteristic of this rough species to add to the previously reported differences with other brucellae (16-19). To build a profile of differential characteristics for each Brucella species would contribute to decipher the mechanisms underlaying the differences of pathogenicity and host preference that exist between the classical Brucella species despite their high similarity at the DNA level. However, although the mouse model usually mimics the results obtained in the natural host for attenuated mutants, it has limitations (58, 59), and Brucella mutants exhibiting whole virulence in the mouse model but attenuated in the natural host have been reported (60).
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