

The significance of bent mountain belts

La importancia de los cinturones orogénicos curvados

S.T. Johnston¹, A.M. Monahan¹, G. Gutiérrez-Alonso² and A.B. Weil³

- 1 School of Earth & Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, PO Box 3055 STN CSC, Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3P6 Canada, stj@uvic.ca
2 Departamento de Geología, Universidad de Salamanca, 37008 Salamanca, Spain, gabi@usal.es
3 Department of Geology, Bryn Mawr College, 101 North Merion Avenue, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania 19010-2899, U.S.A, aweil@brynmawr.edu

Abstract: Mountain belts, also called orogens, are the factories where stable continental crust is originated. They run along thousands of kilometres on the Earth's surface and depict an almost linear geometry, occasionally interrupted by curved tracts. We search the importance of those curved tracts in the mountain belts, if they constitute a tectonic structure by themselves and, if so, what is its relevance. The relevance of the curved mountain belts is of timely importance in understanding whether they are caused by crustal or lithospheric processes, if they are the answer to sudden stress changes, if they provide efficient pathways for fluid circulation in the crust and, fundamentally, what processes cause them.

Key words: Orogens, lithosphere, mountain belts, oroclinal.

Resumen: Los sistemas montañosos u orógenos constituyen los lugares en los que se origina la corteza continental y se extienden a lo largo de miles de kilómetros de la superficie terrestre mostrando una geometría lineal que se interrumpe en ocasiones por la presencia de curvas en su trazado. Desde nuestro punto de vista es importante preguntarse si estas curvas suponen un accidente tectónico de por sí, y si este es importante o no. La importancia de las mismas es crucial a la hora de entender si son el resultado de procesos corticales o litosféricos, si suponen cambios bruscos en el régimen de esfuerzos de la zona, si suponen un lugar que favorezca la circulación de fluidos en la corteza y, sobre todo, que procesos son los causan dichas curvaturas.

Palabras clave: Orógenos, litosfera, cadenas montañosas, oroclinales.

There is perhaps no more significant continental geological feature than mountains. Mountain systems, or Orogens, and their eroded materials are the factories in which stable continental crust is manufactured; they exert a first order control on local and global climate; and they are host to the bulk of Earth's economic resources, agricultural and mineral deposits. Much of human history, including the development of distinct populations and the related construction of political boundaries, revolves around our interactions with and migrations along and across mountain belts. Understanding the origin and evolution of mountain systems is, therefore, of great geological, economic and social significance. Our goal is, through the study of map- or plan-view bends of mountain belts, to develop an improved understanding of the lithospheric-scale plate tectonic interactions that initially give rise to and which subsequently modify great mountain systems.

Orogens extend hundreds to thousands of kilometers across Earth's surface, and while roughly linear in plan, all are, to some degree, curved or bent when observed in map view. The question is, are these bends tectonically significant features? If such bends were restricted to minor deflections, both in terms of scale and magnitude, we would ascribe little significance to them. This is, however, not the case. For example, the western end of the Paleozoic Variscan Orogen of Europe is

characterized by a 180° hairpin bend that affects a 500 km wide mountain system (Fig. 1). This Iberian bend of the Variscan mountain system formed at 300 Ma, coincident with the Carboniferous-Permian boundary, is temporally associated with a massive thermal and magmatic event present in much of the crust central Pangea, and may be the single largest structure ever mapped on Earth (Weil, 2006). Subsequent erosion has resulted in significant local relief, providing us with the opportunity to observe the three dimensional geometry of the orocline. Other equally impressive bends, like the Vrancea region in the Carpathians or the Kohistan arc in the Himalaya, are dynamic, youthful features whose formation is ongoing. Geophysical monitoring of these deforming regions, particularly the Carpathians, provide us with an opportunity to understand the role played by the lithospheric mantle in bending mountain belts. Some of the greatest topographic relief on Earth is to be found associated with the still evolving tight bends. For instance, the tight bends (commonly referred to as syntaxes) that adorn the eastern and western ends of the Himalaya, are characterized by tremendous topographic relief, elevated heat flow, and are the sites of exhumation of large tracts of highly metamorphosed lower crustal rocks (Zeitler *et al.*, 2001). Earth's second largest and highest plateau, the Altiplano of South America, sits astride and arose during formation of the great Bolivian bend of the Andes (Isacks, 1988).

Despite the scale of these structures, and their spatial and genetic association with crustal-scale exhumation, magmatic, thermal and mineralizing events, there remains little consensus regarding the processes responsible for producing bends of orogens. Hence the question remains, are they tectonically significant? Thomas, in his groundbreaking papers on the Appalachians (Thomas, 2006 and references therein) established as a basic assumption in the interpretation of bent mountain belts, that being that the map-view geometry of an orogen is a reflection of the primary shape of the pre-collisional continental rifted margin.

Hence the salients and recesses that characterize the Appalachian mountains are commonly interpreted to reflect the geometry of the reentrants and promontories that characterized the Iapetan passive margins of Laurentia. However, a number of observations are inconsistent with such an endogenic interpretation of the bends of the Appalachians. For example, paleomagnetic data, while hotly debated, require that at least parts of the orogen began as more linear features that were subsequently bent (Stamatatos *et al.*, 1996).




FIGURE 1. The Western European Variscan Belt showing geological zones or domains. The hairpin shaped Iberian Orocline, a major bend affecting the Variscan orogen. After Martínez Catalán *et al.*, 2007.

Turning again to the Iberian bend of the Variscan Orocline provides further insight into this debate. In most all published interpretations, the Variscan mountain system, which is inferred to have developed in response to the collision of Gondwana with Laurasia forming Pangea, is depicted as simply wrapping around an intact paleogeographic promontory that characterized the northern margin of Gondwana (see Martínez Catalán *et al.*, 2002 and references therein). Interpretation of the Iberian bend as a primary paleogeographic feature cannot, however, be reconciled with paleomagnetic data showing that the bend resulted from buckling of an originally much more linear mountain system (Weil, 2006). This latter, secondary or exogenic tectonic interpretation of the Iberian bend requires that the Variscan belt of northern Iberia formed a linear “ribbon continent” that buckled, probably during the collision between Laurentia and Gondwana. Such an interpretation requires that the Iberian ribbon continent

was a tectonic element distinct from either Gondwana or Laurentia, which seems in conflict with geological data that ties Iberia to Gondwana. Alternatively, the ribbon continent may have formed an elongate archipelago that rooted into Gondwana to the southeast, consistent with the strong stratigraphic ties to Gondwana, and extended north across the Rheic ocean that separated Gondwana and Laurentia. Resolution of this debate is central to determining the causes of the Carboniferous-Permian boundary magmatic and thermal event that affected much of Iberia, and is therefore of significant local interest; exploration strategies remain dependent upon having a broad understanding of the processes responsible for thermally driven fluid flow. The more fundamental issue is that understanding the paleogeographic and tectonic evolution that led to the formation of Pangea is dependent upon our successfully resolving the origin of, and determining how to palinspastically undo the Iberian bend.




FIGURE 2. Paleogeographic model showing the development of the oroclines of Alaska as a result of Late Cretaceous margin parallel displacement of a ribbon continent in the North American Cordillera. After Johnston, 2001.

The association of bent mountain systems and problematic paleogeographic reconstructions is common. For instance, there is little agreement concerning the Late Cretaceous to early Tertiary paleogeographic evolution of the Cordillera of western North America. This enduring discrepancy is rooted in conflicting interpretations of geological and paleomagnetic data sets. Paleomagnetic data for Cretaceous strata imply that much of the orogen lay far (2000 to 3000 km) to the south during deposition, and requires significant Late Cretaceous dextral translation to bring the terranes to their current position. Mapping has, however, failed to reveal the strike-slip faults along which such displacements are inferred to have occurred. Either the paleomagnetic data are being interpreted incorrectly, or structures accommodating thousands of kilometers of margin-parallel displacement are being systematically overlooked (Johnston, 1999). Intriguingly, the northern end of the Cordilleran orogen is characterized by a number of large bends, that may hold the key to resolution of this enigma. Box (1985), demonstrated that in Alaska the mountain system was characterized by a series of significant 'bends' that described a Z pattern, with an east-west trending belt that extends west from Yukon across southern Alaska that turns to the northeast across central Alaska before turning west across Arctic Alaska. Although originally interpreted as reflecting the primary geometry of the continental margin, Johnston (2001) subsequently suggested that the bends were oroclines and demonstrated that palinspastic restoration of the bends to a linear geometry restored the more southerly portions of the orogen to the latitudes suggested by the paleomagnetic data (Fig. 2).

Understanding how bends of mountain systems develop is, therefore, a fundamental first order Earth System problem whose resolution is central to

understanding the paleogeographic and tectonic evolution of Earth. Major unresolved questions include:

1. Are bends lithospheric scale features, involving bending and buckling of entire tectonic plates, or are they thin-skinned, ending down against crustal detachments?
2. Is the stress field responsible for bend development orogen-normal or orogen-parallel?
3. Are bends of orogenic belts the result of the deformation in response to the same stress field responsible for orogen formation in the first place?
4. Are small-scale bends, for instance those affecting individual thrust faults and local portions of orogenic belts, attributable to the same processes responsible for whole-scale buckling of complete orogenic belts?
5. What drives the formation of large-scale bends of orogenic belts, and how are such bends accommodated within the surrounding crust and lithosphere?
6. Do bends of orogenic belts play a role in focusing and localizing crustal-scale fluid flow, and hence in the distribution and character of orogenic mineral deposits?
7. How do we palinspastically restore bends of mountain belts? Such restorations are crucial to understanding the paleogeographic implications of bend development.
8. Are there multiple different processes that result in similar looking bends, or can we identify some plate tectonic setting or process common to all bends of orogenic belts?
9. Can bends of orogenic belts develop at any time, or do they follow closely in time after the crustal-thickening events responsible for orogen development in the first place?

10. Has bending of orogenic belts been an important process throughout Earth history, or are orogens of certain ages more likely to be characterized by map-view bends?
11. Is bending restricted to orogenic belts, or can we identify pre-collisional bends that were antecedent to subsequent collisional orogenesis?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

G.G.-A. funding comes from Spanish Education and Science Ministry Project Grant CGL2006-00902 (O.D.R.E.)

REFERENCES

- Box, S.E. (1985): Early Cretaceous orogenic belt in northwestern Alaska: Internal organization, lateral extent, and tectonic interpretation, *in* (Howell, D.G., ed.), *Tectonostratigraphic terranes of the Circum-Pacific region*, Volume 1: Earth Science Series: Houston, USA, Circum-Pacific Council for Energy and Mineral Resources, 137-145.
- Isacks, B.L. (1988): Uplift of the central Andean plateau and bending of the Bolivian orocline: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 93, 3211-3231.
- Johnston, S.T. (1999): Large scale coast-parallel displacements in the Cordillera: A granitic resolution to a paleomagnetic dilemma? *Journal of Structural Geology*, 21, 1103-1108.
- Johnston, S.T., (2001): The Great Alaskan Terrane Wreck: Reconciliation of paleomagnetic and geological data in the northern Cordillera. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 193, 259-272.
- Martínez Catalán, J.R., Hatcher, R.D.J., Arenas, R., y Díaz García, F., (2002): *Variscan - Appalachian dynamics: the building of the Late Paleozoic basement*, Denver CO, Geological Society of America.
- O'Brien, H.E., Irving, A.J., y McCallum, J.S. (1991): Eocene Potassic Magmatism in the Highwood Mountains, Montana: Petrology, Geochemistry, and Tectonic Implications. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96, 13237-13260.
- Stamatakos, J., Hirt, A.M., y Lowrie, W. (1996): The age and timing of folding in the central Appalachians from paleomagnetic results. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 108, 815-829.
- Thomas, W.A., (2006): Tectonic inheritance at a continental margin. *GSA Today*, 16, 4-11.
- Weil, A.B. (2006): Kinematics of orocline tightening in the core of an arc: Paleomagnetic analysis of the Ponga Unit, Cantabrian Arc, northern Spain: *Tectonics*, 25, TC3012.
- Zeitler, P.K., Meltzer, A.S., Koons, P.O., Craw, D., Hallet, B., Chamberlain, C.P., Kidd, W.S.F., Park, S.K., Seeber, L., Bishop, M., y Shroder, J. (2001): Erosion, Himalayan geodynamics, and the geomorphology of metamorphism: *GSA Today*, 11, 4-9.