Influence of the number of daily pills and doses on adherence to antiretroviral treatment: a 7-year study
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SUMMARY
What is known and objective: Antiretroviral treatment (ART) is hampered by complicated regimens, high pill burden, drug–drug interactions, and frequent short- and long-term adverse effects, leading to decreased adherence. Over recent years, considerable effort has been directed at developing regimens that are less burdensome. We undertook a 7-year retrospective study of the records of 264 HIV-infected subjects enrolled in a pharmaceutical care programme to document the progress made and to study the influence of the number of ART pills and doses on the level of treatment adherence.

Methods: Antiretroviral dispensing records were analysed for the number of pills and doses administered and the ART adherence rate estimated.

Results and discussion: In 2005, the patients took a mean of 6.2 pills daily (CI 95%: 5.9–6.4), and 92.5% of them were on a twice-a-day (BID) dosage regimen. By 2012, the mean number of pills was reduced to 4.1 (CI 95%: 3.8–4.4), and only 50.9% were on a BID regimen. No statistically significant relation was observed between number of daily pills and doses and ART adherence reached by the patients in any of the analyses performed.

What is new and conclusions: There has been a continuous reduction in the number of pills and doses of antiretrovirals taken by individual patients over the last 7 years due largely to the introduction of improved treatments and regimens. More daily pills or doses was not associated with worse ART adherence in our pharmaceutical care programme.

WHAT IS KNOWN AND OBJECTIVE
Antiretroviral treatment (ART) aims to improve survival and quality of life of the patients with HIV infection and reduce transmission of the infection. These are achieved by maintaining the CD4 lymphocytes count within the normal range and obtaining complete control of viral replication.

ART has been steadily improving particularly since the introduction of potent combination therapy in 1996. New drugs with new mechanisms of action, improved potency and activity even against multidrug-resistant viruses, dosing convenience, and tolerability have been approved. These newer drugs have dramatically reduced HIV-associated morbidity and mortality and have transformed HIV infection from a rapidly lethal condition into a chronic one. However, the success of ART has been hampered by complicated regimens, high pill burden, drug–drug interactions, and frequent short- and long-term adverse effects, leading to decreased adherence to prescribed regimens. Currently, lack of adherence to ART continues to be one of the principal factors in therapeutic failure and the development of viral resistance.2,3

Adherence is the result of a complex process that involves acceptance of the diagnosis, perception of the need to correctly carry out the treatment, and motivation to do so. Furthermore, possession of appropriate skills, ability to overcome any difficulties that appear and maintenance of the level of achievement reached over time are necessary.3 The development of strategies for improving ART adherence requires knowledge of the influential factors and how these factors exert their effects. In general, it is accepted that motivational and daily-habit-modifying strategies are the most effective ones.2,5

Several studies have shown that the characteristics of the antiretroviral regimens may affect ART adherence. These studies have described a direct association between antiretroviral treatment non-adherence and burden in the number of pills.10,11 Higher adherence levels have been reported in patients on a once-daily (OD) vs. twice-daily (BID) or three-times-a-day (TID) regimens.12,13 Due to these findings, a concerted effort has been made to reduce both pill burden and dosing frequency.14,15 Two types of improvements are possible. The first is based on optimizing the presentation of each medication by increasing the amount of drug contained in each pill or increasing its half-life. The second involved including two or more antiretrovirals into one pill.

This study aimed to analyse the evolution over time of the number of ART pills and doses taken by individual patients and the influence of these factors on the level of treatment adherence by a group of HIV-infected patients participating in a pharmaceutical care programme.

METHODS
A 7-year long retrospective study with data from HIV-infected patients treated in the Pharmacy Service outpatient unit of the
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University Hospital of Salamanca, Spain was conducted (April 2005-April 2012). Inclusion criteria were as follows: patients with confirmed HIV infection who had been receiving ART in our hospital during the entire study period.

Adherence measures

Many scientific studies and consensus documents have recommended the use and combination of at least two adherence evaluation methods to yield reliable results.34 Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) (direct method), antiretrovirals dispensing records (DR) and simplified medication adherence questionnaire (SMAQ) (indirect methods) are routinely used in our care practice.

A previous 5-year study of ours showed a correlation between DR and SMAQ (measurement of self-reported adherence by the patient) during the study period.19 The current study used the DR method because it makes possible the quantification of adherence as a continuous variable. Adherence was calculated, for 6-month periods beginning from the initiation of the study (April 2005). The adherence level for each period was obtained from the number of pills taken during that period divided by the number of pills prescribed during the same period. Levels that exceeded 100% were rounded down to 100%. Patients who consumed more than 95% of the medication prescribed were considered ‘adherent patients’.19

Daily pills and doses

Antiretroviral treatment was characterized by the number of pills and doses per day that the patient needed per day. This number was obtained from the EHR for each patient using 6-month periods beginning at the onset of the study. This was compared with adherence in these same periods. For patients who had switched treatment, the number of pills and doses corresponding to the longest period within the 6-month period evaluated was used.

The analysis strategies were based on the study of the following relationships:

1. Relationship between the number of daily pills or doses with the level of adherence. Adherence was used as a continuous variable and categorized into two levels: 95% vs. <95%.

2. Relationship between the change in the number of daily pills or doses with the change in adherence level.

3. Relationship between the number of daily pills or doses with adherence level stratified into four categories at the start and end of the study (<60%, 60-79%, 80-94%, 95-100%).19 The percentage of patients in the total population with the same number of pills or doses was calculated in each case. The cut-off number for four categories corresponds to the number that optimized sampling sizes: four and three for the baseline and final situation, respectively. Cut-off for dose number was one.

Statistical analyses

The chi-square test for independence, also called Pearson’s chi-square test, was used to study if there was a relationship between categorical variables. Spearman’s correlation was used to study the relationship between quantitative or ordinal variables and Bonferroni correction was conducted. The Z test was used for the comparison of proportions. Furthermore, logistic regression models were constructed to investigate the association of the number of pills or doses with the dichotomous outcome of adherence (<95% vs. ≥95%). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 20.0.0.20 and Epidat 3.17 were used for the statistical analyses.

RESULTS

A total of 264 patients who received pharmacological treatment during the entire study period were included. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the total study population are given in Table 1.

Evolution of the number of daily pills and doses during the study

During the 7 years of the study, 3610 records of the number of pills and the same number of records for doses in the patients enrolled were obtained. Evolution of the number of daily pills or doses during the study is shown in Fig. 1(a) and Table S1.

In addition, the evolution in the number of pills at the beginning and end of the study based on whether treatment was administered in a COI, PR or TF regimen was studied. The results are shown in Table 2.

The evolution in time of the percentage of the patients who were using the less complex regimen, that is less than five antiretroviral pills per day and/or a single dose per day, was also analysed (Fig. 1(b)).

Relation between pills and doses with adherence

Three different strategies were used to analyze the possible relation between the number of pills or doses and adherence:

Relation between the number of daily pills or doses with adherence in each studied period. No significant relation was observed when adherence was studied as a continuous variable. However, all the estimated correlations have the expected sign (p < 0.05), indicating a decrease of adherence when the number of daily pills or doses increases.

On the other hand, when adherence was categorized into two levels, no clear pattern was found in the logistic regression analysis to relate number of daily pills and doses with adherence or non-adherence.

Relation between the change in number of daily pills and doses with the change in adherence in each studied period. No statistically significant relation was found between the change in number of pills and
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Fig. 1. Mean number of daily pills and doses during the study period (a) and percentage of patients taking less than five pills daily and that of patients on the OD regimen (b). AR, antiretrovirals; OD, once a day.

Table 2. Number of patients and number of daily pills at the beginning and end of the study, based on type of administration regimen (OD, BID or TID).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration regimen</th>
<th>OD</th>
<th>BID</th>
<th>TID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of daily pills</td>
<td>3.5 (2-5)</td>
<td>2.8 (1-6)</td>
<td>6.2 (2-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OD, once a day; BID, twice a day; TID, three times a day.

Discussion

Relation between number of daily pills and doses with adherence stratified into four categories at the onset and end of the study. Regardless of number of pills, 157 patients showed high pill burden at the beginning of the study (>3 pills) and 140 patients at the end of it (<3 pills). It can be observed that: (i) the percentage of adherent patients was greater than the non-adherent, both globally and by the number of pills; (ii) the percentage of patients with optimal adherence was slightly greater in the patients who took fewer pills, and (iii) there were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in any of the four adherence sections (Fig. 2).

No statistically significant differences were observed between both groups in any of the four adherence sections in relation to dose (Fig. 3).

The results of analysis at the end of the study established that: (i) there was no patient group in which adherence was less than 60%, (ii) the percentage of patients in the ≥75% adherence range increased considerably ($P = 0.0015$), and (iii) number of daily pills or doses did not affect adherence reached by the patients.

Evolution of the number of daily pills and doses during the study

The study was initiated in 2015. As can be seen in Table 2, at that time, the patients were taking a mean of 6-2 pills daily, 92-9% of
them with a BID regimen. At the end of the study (2012), mean number of pills that the patients were taking decreased to 4.3 [Fig. 1(a)] and only 50-90% of them with a BID regimen. The reduction observed in the frequency of use of BID regimen was associated to a considerable increase in the CID regimens. This latter increased from 64% in the year 2003 to 40-1% in 2012. The tendency observed in the reduction of the number of doses was been observed in the TID regimen too. These went from 6.9% at the onset of the study to disappearing at the end of it. As can be seen in Fig. 1(b), it should also be stressed that only 32.0% of the patients in 2003 were taking less than five pills and that this amount practically doubled by the end of the study (60-4%).

The spectacular increase of simpler regimens in our population in the period studied can be explained by the marketing of new formulations, by the low frequency of drug resistance mutations in our patient population, and by a high proportion of patients on their first treatments. People with first-line regimens are more likely to receive a reduced number of pills and doses. This may in turn have accounted for the high rates of adherence recorded in our population, even at baseline measurement and the prolonged efficacy of treatment.

Consensus does exist that patients prefer the simpler regimens, ideally CID, and those with only one pill.25-29

However, no consensus has been found on whether this reduction in the number of pills and doses objectively leads to improvement in patient adherence with their antiretroviral medication.11,12,28

Relation between daily pills and doses with adherence

Based on the existing controversy in the literature on the influence of the number of pills and doses on adherence to ART, we carried out this study over a 7-year period. Bearing in mind that the results obtained often depended on the type of analysis performed, on the criteria used and even on the statistical analyses chosen, we considered that it was necessary to analyse the possible influence from different points of view in order to obtain general conclusions that attempt to clarify the information existing up to date.

When the relation between number of pills or doses with adherence was studied, no statistical significance was observed in any of the periods studied. When the changes in the number of pills or doses were compared with changes in adherence, no statistically significant relation between them was observed.
Finally, no relation was observed between number of pills or doses and whether the patient was ART adherent or non-adherent. For the number of pills, it appears that the number of times the patient takes the pills per day is more important than the number of pills as more frequent dosing may interfere with the patient’s daily activities. Our results on number of pills and doses are similar to those reported in a recent meta-analysis.52 Finally, we would like to think that the good results observed reflect positively on the continuous 7-year pharmaceutical care programme. Thus, the patients were instructed on the importance of taking their antiretroviral medication correctly and about not forgetting to take it. In this sense, it is possible that these results cannot be extrapolated to care centres in which this type of follow-up does not exist or to settings in which the antiretroviral drugs are not dispensed centrally in hospital pharmacies. However, our study design does not allow the impact of our programme to be independently estimated.

Many factors influence ART adherence, including demographic factors, clinical management and drug adverse effects and again these could not be assessed independently in our study. Currently, due to important and growing economic restrictions on health care costs, there is a tendency to “break up” fixed-dose combinations of antiretrovirals52 to enable the use of antiretroviral drugs individually, especially with less expensive generic drugs.53 The savings can be considerable. Our study results suggest that this strategy is unlikely to affect patient adherence, at least in our setting.

WHAT IS NEW AND CONCLUSION
The number of daily pills during the 7-year study period decreased from about six to four. An increase was observed in the frequency of CDI regimens instead of BID regimens. No relationship was found between number of daily pills or doses of the antiretroviral medication and adherence in our pharmaceutical care programme.
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